Appendix E.3

Geochemistry Report



Goldboro Project: Geochemical Characterization & Source Terms

Prepared for: Anaconda Mining Inc. 20 Adelaide St. East, Suite 915 Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5C 2T6

Prepared by: Lorax Environmental Services Ltd. 2289 Burrard St. Vancouver, BC, V6J 3H9

> May 24, 2022 Project No. A606-1



Executive Summary

The Goldboro Gold Project (the Project), owned by Anaconda Mining Inc. (Anaconda), is a turbidite-hosted gold deposit located on the eastern shore of Nova Scotia, approximately 175 km northeast of the city of Halifax. Planned Project infrastructure as outlined under the 2021 Feasibility Study (Nordmin, 2022) includes a tailings management facility (TMF), two open pits, four waste rock storage areas (WRSA; one of which is currently designed to only contain till), segregated till and organics stockpiles, a processing plant, a polishing pond, and a water treatment plant (WTP). Lorax initiated a comprehensive metal leaching and acid rock drainage (ML/ARD) program in 2020 to support the Project's Feasibility Study (FS) and Environmental Assessment Registration Document (EARD) required for provincial permitting. This program includes static and kinetic testing of waste rock, ore, tailings, and overburden. The geochemical data generated form the basis for the generation of contact water chemistry predictions (*i.e.*, geochemical source terms) in support of the site water quality model prepared by others.

Geochemical characterization aims to understand and minimize the potential for and effects of ML/ARD that result from the exposure of sulphide-bearing mine materials during the construction and operation of mines. Samples submitted for static testing include waste rock (n = 174), ore (n = 14), tailings (n = 8), historic tailings (n = 6), and overburden (soil and till; n = 28). All samples were submitted for acid-base accounting (ABA) and solid phase metals analysis, while a subset of samples underwent more advanced geochemical and mineralogical analyses. Composite ore samples (n = 5) were also collected from the existing stockpile left on site after the 2018 bulk sampling program. A total of eight (8) tailings samples were submitted for geochemical testwork, two of which represent "master" composite samples considered representative of bulk tailings material to be produced during operations.

Total S contents for waste rock range from <0.005% to 1.7% with a relatively low median value of 0.05%. Mineralogical investigations identified pyrite, pyrrhotite, and arsenopyrite as being the most common sulphide minerals. Both ore and tailings are relatively enriched in total S compared with the waste rock population exhibiting median contents of 0.51% and 0.27%, respectively. Historic tailings have a similar median total S (0.29%). The general absence of sulphate phases observed during geochemical and mineralogical analyses suggests that the majority of the sulphur inventory is hosted in sulphide phases and can therefore be assumed to contribute to the material's acid potential (AP). Overburden materials show a lower median total S content of 0.041%; however due to the

ii

high organics inventory in soil materials in particular, the speciation of sulphur in these materials is likely less straightforward than for bedrock.

The available neutralization potential (NP) of waste rock and ore samples is relatively low overall with a median content of 4.0 kg CaCO₃/t (range: <0.1 - 26 kg CaCO₃/t). This is consistent with mineralogical testwork which yielded a carbonate abundance of <1.0% in all but one sample. Carbonate minerals identified include calcite, dolomite, and ankerite. Tailings (<10 kg CaCO₃/t), historic tailings (<3 kg CaCO₃/t), and overburden (<2 kg CaCO₃/t) also have low NP.

Operationally, the distinction and quantification of potentially acid generating (PAG) and non-PAG (NPAG) material is important for mine planning since the exposure of PAG mine rock or tailings is expected to have negative impacts on mine site drainage quality. The ARD characteristics of Goldboro geologic materials was defined through the net potential ratio (NPR = NP/AP) as follows:

- PAG1: NPR < 1 or $1 \le NPR \le 2$ and total $S \ge 0.2$ wt. %
- PAG2: $1 \le NPR \le 2$ and total S < 0.2 wt. %
- NPAG: NPR > 2

NP values used for this calculation were adjusted conservatively to account for limited exposure of carbonate phases in blasted mine rock. Among the static test population, the majority of waste rock was classified as NPAG (63%), while 37% of samples were deemed PAG. Ore, tailings, and historical tailings materials are dominantly PAG1. There is no significant geochemical trend with respect to PAG proportions across the different lithological units. Rather, the distribution of sulphur contents and PAG propensity, like gold, appear to be controlled structurally with higher PAG proportions occurring within or near the hinges of the geologic anticline. It was found that assay Ca/S ratios provide a good surrogate for NPR and, consequently, PAG and NPAG tonnages were derived via geologic block modelling using the much larger exploration assay database. This approach is thought to increase the confidence in PAG occurrence in the two open pits significantly and was used for the development of PAG and NPAG tonnages to inform the mine schedule. Overburden was generally classified as PAG, although further characterization is recommended due to the unique geochemical characteristics of these oxidized materials.

Solid phase metals analysis results were compared to Average Upper Continental Crustal Abundance (AUCCA; Rudnick and Gao, 2014) to provide a general indication of metal enrichment across the Goldboro deposit. Arsenic generally exceeds 3x the AUCCA and is commonly elevated by more than 10x in waste rock, ore, and tailings samples. Other parameters that show less frequent enrichment above 3x their respective AUCCA values

include Cd, Cr, Mo, Pb, Sb, and Zn. Metal contents were generally lower in the overburden samples.

All waste rock and tailings SFE leachates had circumneutral to slightly alkaline pH. Solute concentrations were compared to Nova Scotia Tier 1 Environmental Quality Standards (EQS) for Surface Water and Groundwater Discharging to Surface Water (NSE, 2021). Leachate concentrations in contact with waste rock were generally low (commonly below the detection limit), except for Al and As concentrations which are above their respective Tier 1 EQS in the majority of samples including tailings. Cobalt, Cu, and Fe concentrations were above their respective Tier 1 EQS in one or more tailings samples which is likely an artifact of mill processes (*e.g.*, cyanidation). Overburden as well as historic tailings SFE leachates had slightly acidic pH (<6.5), with several metal concentrations above their respective and historic tailings under mildly acidic conditions. Historic tailings samples will be relocated to the lined TMF where metal leaching will be mitigated by covering with fresh run-of-mine (ROM) tailings and saturating tailings during early operations.

Ongoing field (n = 4) and laboratory (n = 8) kinetic test programs were initiated in 2021 using representative sub-samples for a range of Goldboro material types. To date, humidity cell leachate pH from all waste rock samples has remained circumneutral. Sulphate loading rates generally show a decreasing trend and the PAG humidity cells have higher sulphate loading rates relative to the NPAG humidity cells. This observation is in agreement with the higher solid-phase total S content in PAG samples. In contrast to sulphate, NPAG and PAG2 humidity cells were found to release the highest As loads and therefore elevated As concentrations are not expected to be limited to waste rock with a high ARD risk. Other metal loading rates are generally low and comparable across the different humidity cells; however, increased metal loading rates are anticipated for PAG humidity cells once acidic conditions are established. Time to onset of acidic conditions for the PAG samples was estimated between 2 and 26 years, based on an average NP depletion rate.

All field bin leachates remain circumneutral (field pH 6.7 to 8.3) and have relatively low sulphate concentrations (<80 mg/L). Both sulphate and metal concentrations show a decreasing trend which is attributed to the rinsing of soluble surface oxidation products in the early experimental stages. Arsenic concentrations exceed the Tier 1 EQS in all leachate samples. The highest As concentrations (>1.0 mg/L) are consistently being produced by FB-1 (bulk sample ore) while waste rock field bins have released lower As concentrations of ≤ 0.11 mg/L to date.

Tailings kinetic testing includes a humidity cell and a saturated column. The humidity cell leachate has remained slightly alkaline with a decreasing trend. Several parameters have

shown an increase in loading rates since approximately week 5 (*e.g.*, sulphate, cyanide species, As, Cd, Pb, Mo). The time to onset of acidic conditions was estimated at approximately one year.

The saturated tailings column simulates the geochemical behaviour of tailings under conditions representing the planned storage design for the Goldboro TMF. Effluent from the column has remained circumneutral to slightly alkaline (pH 7.6 to 8.1). Sulphate concentrations are high (1,240 to 2,330 mg/L) but are showing a decreasing trend. Arsenic concentrations have stabilized around 1.4 mg/L in the more recent tailings effluent samples. Other metals (*e.g.*, Cd) generally show a decreasing trend approaching influent (process water) concentrations. Long term total cyanide concentrations in column effluent will need to be re-evaluated once more stable conditions have been achieved following the switch of column influent to lower-cyanide process water in December 2021.

The contact water chemistry for most mine components was modelled by upscaling humidity cell loading rates to full scale site dimensions and calibrated with field bin and site analogue data. These mine components include:

- WRSAs (exclusive of overburden stockpile);
- Pit backfill;
- Pit walls;
- ROM ore stockpile;
- TMF embankments;
- PAG1 exposures in TMF (temporary); and
- TMF closure cover.

Nitrogen leaching predictions associated with the flushing of explosives residue from blasted materials are based on the mine schedule, site analogue as well as explosive type and characteristics.

Source terms for tailings contact water were developed using representative tailings supernatant samples produced during bench-scale metallurgical testing. TMF seepage chemistry predictions relied on results from saturated column testing, while overburden runoff chemistry was conservatively predicted using material-specific SFE data.

Table of Contents

Ех	EXECUTIVE SUMMARYI				
TA	BLE OF C	ONTENTS	V		
Lı	ST OF AC	RONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS	XII		
1.	INTRODU	JCTION	1-1		
	1.1 Ov	ERVIEW	1-1		
	1.2 Ge	DLOGICAL SETTING	1-3		
2	Μετυοι		2_1		
4.		adi ing Methods	2_1		
	2.1 5A	MIERO METHODS	2-1		
	2.1.1	TAILINGS	2-3		
	2.1.2	HISTORIC TAILINGS SAMPLES	2-4		
	2.1.3	OVERBURDEN			
	2.2 ST	TIC TEST METHODS	2-7		
	2.2.1	Mineralogy	2-7		
	2.2.2	ACID-BASE ACCOUNTING	2-7		
	2.2.3	SOLID-PHASE ELEMENTAL ABUNDANCE	2-8		
	2.2.4	SHAKE FLASK EXTRACTION	2-9		
	2.3 Kir	ietic Test Methods	2-9		
	2.3.1	HUMIDITY CELLS	2-9		
	2.3.2	FIELD BINS	2-10		
	2.3.3	SATURATED COLUMN	2-12		
	2.3.4	ORE STOCKPILE WATER QUALITY	2-13		
3	STATIC'	Γεςτ Βεςιπ τς	3-1		
5.	31 M	ve Rock	3-1		
	311	MINFRALOGY	3-1		
	3.1.2	ACID-BASE ACCOUNTING			
	3.	2.1 LITHOLOGICAL SAMPLE POPULATIONS (PHASE LONLY)			
	3.	2.2 KINETIC TEST SUBSAMPLES			
	3.1.3	Solid Phase Metals			
	3.	1.3.1 LITHOLOGICAL SAMPLE POPULATIONS (PHASE I ONLY)			
	3.	1.3.2 KINETIC TEST SUBSAMPLES	3-24		
	3.1.4	SHAKE FLASK EXTRACTIONS	3-29		
	3.1.5	QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL			
	3.2 TA	LINGS	3-33		
	3.2.1	MINERALOGY	3-33		
	3.2.2	ACID-BASE ACCOUNTING	3-34		
	3.2.3	SOLID PHASE METALS	3-36		
	3.2.4	SHAKE FLASK EXTRACTIONS			
	3.2.5	PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION	3-39		
	3.3 His	TORIC TAILINGS	3-39		
	3.3.1	ACID-BASE ACCOUNTING	3-39		
	3.3.2	SOLID PHASE METALS	3-40		
	3.3.3	SHAKE FLASK EXTRACTIONS	3-40		
	3.4 Ov	ERBURDEN	3-42		
	3.4.1	ACID-BASE ACCOUNTING	3-42		
	3.4.2	SOLID PHASE METALS	3-42		
	3.4.3	SHAKE FLASK EXTRACTIONS	3-43		
4.	KINETIC	TEST RESULTS	4-1		
	4.1 M	че Rock	4-1		

Rı	EFERENCES	R-1
7.	CLOSURE	7-1
	6.2 Recommendations	6-4
υ.	6.1 CONCLUSIONS	0-1
6	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	6.1
	5.4.2 Results	
	5.4.1 APPROACH	
	5.4 OVERBURDEN STOCKPILES	5-22
	5 3 4 RESULTS	
	5.3.2 TMF SEEPAGE	
	5.3.1 APPROACH	
	5.5 I AILINUS IVIANAGEMENT FACILITY	
	5.2.0 KESULTS	
	5.2.5.2 CLOSURE	
	5.2.5.1 UPERATIONS	
	5.2.5 PREDICTION OF PIT WALL BLAST RESIDUE LEACHING	
	5.2.4 SOLUBILITY LIMITS AND MODEL CALIBRATION	
	5.2.3 CONVERSION OF LOADS TO CONCENTRATIONS	
	5.2.2 SCALING OF HUMIDITY CELL LOADING RATES	5-14
	5.2.1 DERIVATION OF HUMIDITY CELL LOADING RATE	5-14
	5.2 PIT WALLS	5-14
	5.1.6 Results	5-12
	5.1.5.2 Closure	5-11
	5.1.5.1 OPERATIONS	5-9
	5.1.5 PREDICTION OF WRSA BLAST RESIDUE LEACHING	5-9
	5.1.4 SOLUBILITY LIMITS AND MODEL CALIBRATION	5-7
	5.1.3 CONVERSION OF LOADS TO CONCENTRATIONS	5-7
	5.1.2 SCALING OF HUMIDITY CELL LOADING RATES	
	5.1.1 DERIVATION OF HUMIDITY CELL LOADING RATE	
	5.1 WASTE ROCK STORAGE FACILITIES	
5.	SOURCE TERMS	5-1
	4.2.2.3 METAL LEACHING RESULTS	
	4.2.2.2 CYANIDE AND NITROGEN SPECIES	
	4.2.2.1 PH AND SULPHATE	4-24
	4.2.2 SATURATED COLUMN	
	4.2.1.4 METAL RELEASE RATES	
	4.2.1.3 CYANIDE AND NITROGEN SPECIES	4-19
	4.2.1.2 SULPHATE PRODUCTION AND NP DEPLETION	4-18
	4.2.1.1 PH AND ALKALINITY	4-17
	4.2.1 HUMIDITY CELL	4-17
	4.2 TAILINGS	4-17
	4.1.3 BULK SAMPLE STOCKPILE RUNOFF WATER OUALITY	
	4.1.2 FIELD BINS	
	4.1.1.2 SOLITATET RODOCTION AND IT DEILETION	
	4.1.1.1 PTI AND ALKALINITY	
	4.1.1 HUMIDITY CELLS.	4-1
	4.1.1 HUMDITY CELLS	4.1

APPENDICES:

APPENDIX A: GEOLOGICAL CROSS-SECTIONS

APPENDIX B: MINERALOGY

APPENDIX B.1: QEMSCAN RESULTS (MINE ROCK)

APPENDIX B.2: TIMA RESULTS (TAILINGS)

APPENDIX C: STATIC TEST RESULTS

APPENDIX C.1: WASTE ROCK AND ORE STATIC TEST RESULTS

APPENDIX C.2: TAILINGS STATIC TEST RESULTS

APPENDIX C.3: HISTORIC TAILINGS STATIC TEST RESULTS

APPENDIX C.3: OVERBURDEN STATIC TEST RESULTS

APPENDIX D: KINETIC TEST RESULTS

APPENDIX D.1: WASTE ROCK HUMIDITY CELL RESULTS

APPENDIX D.2: FIELD BIN RESULTS

APPENDIX D.3: TAILINGS HUMIDITY CELL RESULTS

APPENDIX D.4: SATURATED TAILINGS COLUMN RESULTS

APPENDIX E: 2021 BULK SAMPLE STOCKPILE RUNOFF WATER QUALITY

APPENDIX F: SOURCE TERMS

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1-1:	PLANNED GENERAL ARRANGEMENT	1-2	
FIGURE 1-2:	Typical geological cross section at 9300E (looking east) through the deposit area (from Nordmin, 2022)	1-4	
FIGURE 2-1:	ORE STOCKPILE FROM THE 2018 BULK SAMPLING CAMPAIGN.		
FIGURE 2-2:	EXAMPLE OF AN OVERBURDEN TEST PIT (LXGB-2021-TMFOB-02) DISPLAYING DISTINCT ORGANICS (TOP, DARK) AND TILL (BEIGE) HORIZONS	2-5	
FIGURE 2-3:	OVERBURDEN TEST PIT LOCATIONS	2-6	
FIGURE 2-4:	FIELD BIN SETUP AT THE GOLDBORO PROJECT SITE	-11	
FIGURE 3-1:	MODAL ABUNDANCES OF ROCK-FORMING (TOP), SULPHIDE (MIDDLE), AND CARBONATE (BOTTOM) MINERALS DETERMINED BY QEMSCAN ANALYSIS	3-2	
FIGURE 3-2:	PHOTOMICROGRAPHS OF TEXTURES TYPICALLY OBSERVED IN THE GOLDBORO MINERALOGY SAMPLES	3-3	
FIGURE 3-3:	COMPARISON OF MINERALOGICAL DATA WITH SOLID-PHASE ELEMENTAL ABUNDANCE FOR ARSENIC AND SULPHUR.	3-5	
FIGURE 3-4:	PHOTOMICROGRAPHS OF VARIOUS SULPHIDE MINERALS OBSERVED IN THE GOLDBORO MINERALOGY SAMPLES	3-7	
FIGURE 3-5:	PHOTOMICROGRAPHS OF CARBONATE OCCURRENCES IN THE GOLDBORO MINERALOGY SAMPLES	-10	
FIGURE 3-6:	SULPHIDE S VERSUS TOTAL S (LEFT) AND SULPHATE S VERSUS TOTAL S (RIGHT) FOR MINE ROCK SAMPLES	-14	
FIGURE 3-7:	MODIFIED NEUTRALIZATION POTENTIAL (NP) VERSUS CARBONATE NEUTRALIZATION POTENTIAL (CANP) (LEFT) AND CORRECTED MODIFIED NP VERSUS CANP (RIGHT) FOR PHASE I AND PHASE II SAMPLES	-14	
FIGURE 3-8:	OPERATIONAL NPR VERSUS TOTAL S CONTENT FOR PHASE I AND PHASE II SAMPLES. SAMPLES ARE GROUPED BY NPR DESIGNATION	-15	
FIGURE 3-9:	SULPHIDE S VERSUS TOTAL S FOR PHASE I SAMPLES	-18	
FIGURE 3-10:	OPERATIONAL NPR VERSUS TOTAL S CONTENT FOR PHASE I SAMPLES	-18	
FIGURE 3-11:	BOX AND WHISKER PLOTS SHOWING THE HUMIDITY CELL AND FIELD BIN TOTAL S VALUES IN COMPARISON WITH THE RANGE DETERMINED FOR THE STATIC TEST POPULATION, GROUPED BY NPR DESIGNATION.	-22	
FIGURE 3-12:	BOX AND WHISKER PLOTS SHOWING THE HUMIDITY CELL AND FIELD BIN CORRECTED MODIFIED NEUTRALIZATION POTENTIAL (NP) IN COMPARISON WITH THE RANGE DETERMINED FOR THE STATIC TEST POPULATION, GROUPED BY NPR DESIGNATION	-22	
FIGURE 3-13:	ARSENIC VERSUS TOTAL S CONTENT FOR BY NPR DESIGNATION (TOP) AND LITHOLOGY (BOTTOM).	-26	
FIGURE 3-14:	BOX AND WHISKER PLOTS SHOWING THE HUMIDITY CELL AND FIELD BIN ARSENIC CONCENTRATION IN COMPARISON WITH THE RANGE DETERMINED FOR THE STATIC TEST POPULATION, GROUPED BY NPR DESIGNATION	.29	
FIGURE 3-15:	COMPARISON OF SFE ARSENIC CONCENTRATION AND SOLID PHASE ARSENIC CONTENT BY NPR DESIGNATION (TOP) AND BY LITHOLOGY (BOTTOM)	.33	
FIGURE 3-16:	PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION FOR 2020 AND 2021 MASTER COMPOSITE TAILINGS SAMPLES	.39	

TABLE OF CON GOLDBORO P	NTENTS ROJECT: GEOCHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION & SOURCE TERMS ix
FIGURE 4-1:	WASTE ROCK HUMIDITY CELL LEACHATE PH VALUES (TOP) AND ALKALINITY TIME SERIES (BOTTOM)
FIGURE 4-2:	SULPHATE LOADING RATES IN HUMIDITY CELLS OVER TIME
FIGURE 4-3:	AVERAGE STABLE SULPHATE LOAD VERSUS TOTAL SULPHUR CONTENT IN HUMIDITY CELL SAMPLES
FIGURE 4-4:	CARBONATE MOLAR RATIO TRENDS FOR WASTE ROCK HUMIDITY CELLS
FIGURE 4-5:	ESTIMATE OF TIME TO ONSET OF ACIDIC CONDITIONS IN GOLDBORO POTENTIALLY ACID GENERATING (PAG) SAMPLES
FIGURE 4-6:	WASTE ROCK HUMIDITY CELL ARSENIC LOAD OVER TIME
FIGURE 4-7:	AVERAGE ARSENIC LOAD FOR LAST THREE AVAILABLE TEST CYCLES VS INITIAL SOLID PHASE ARSENIC CONTENT
FIGURE 4-8: A	AVERAGE ARSENIC LOAD VS PH FOR THE LAST THREE AVAILABLE TEST CYCLES
FIGURE 4-9:	Waste rock humidity cell cadmium load over time
FIGURE 4-10	WASTE ROCK HUMIDITY CELL MOLYBDENUM LOAD OVER TIME
FIGURE 4-11	TIME SERIES PLOTS OF PH (TOP), SULPHATE (MIDDLE), AND ARSENIC (BOTTOM) IN FIELD BIN LEACHATES
FIGURE 4-12	TAILINGS HUMIDITY CELL LEACHATE PH VALUES (TOP) AND ALKALINITY TIME SERIES (BOTTOM)
FIGURE 4-13	TAILINGS HUMIDITY CELL SULPHATE LOAD OVER TIME
FIGURE 4-14	TAILINGS HUMIDITY CELL CYANIDE SPECIES LOAD OVER TIME
FIGURE 4-15	TAILINGS HUMIDITY CELL LOADING RATES OVER TIME FOR AS (TOP), CD (MIDDLE), AND PB (BOTTOM)
FIGURE 4-16	: Tailings humidity cell loading rates over time for Mo (top) and Sb (bottom) $4-22$
FIGURE 4-17	PH (TOP) AND SULPHATE CONCENTRATIONS (BOTTOM) IN TAILINGS SATURATED COLUMN LEACHATES OVER TIME
FIGURE 4-18	CONCENTRATIONS OF TOTAL CYANIDE AND NITROGEN SPECIES IN TAILINGS SATURATED COLUMN LEACHATES OVER TIME
FIGURE 4-19	ARSENIC (TOP), CD (MIDDLE), AND MO (BOTTOM) CONCENTRATIONS IN TAILINGS SATURATED COLUMN LEACHATES OVER TIME
FIGURE 5-1:	WORK STAGES INVOLVED IN THE SCALING OF GEOCHEMICAL SOURCE TERMS
FIGURE 5-2:	NITRATE CONCENTRATION TRENDS OBSERVED IN A WASTE ROCK MONITORING STATION AT THE ROMAN-TREND MINE (FROM LORAX, 2017)
FIGURE 5-3:	NATURAL DECAY OF TOTAL CN IN A NORTH AMERICAN TAILINGS IMPOUNDMENT (FROM BOTZ AND MUDDER, 2000)

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 2-1: PHASE IA AND IB SAMPLING SUMMARY	2-2
TABLE 2-2: PHASE II SAMPLING SUMMARY	2-2
TABLE 2-3: SAMPLE INVENTORY OF THE CURRENT GEOCHEMICAL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM CONDUCTED	2-8
TABLE 2-4: HUMIDITY CELL SAMPLE DESCRIPTION 2	-10
TABLE 2-5: FIELD BIN SAMPLE DESCRIPTION 2	-12
TABLE 3-1: MEAN PARTICLE SIZE (IN MM) DISTRIBUTION MEASURED BY QEMSCAN	3-8
TABLE 3-2: SUMMARY OF ACID BASE ACCOUNTING RESULTS BY NPR DESIGNATION	-13
TABLE 3-3: PROPORTION OF PAG1, PAG2, AND NPAG SAMPLES IN GOLDBORO MINE ROCK SAMPLES 3	-15
TABLE 3-4: SUMMARY OF ACID BASE ACCOUNTING RESULTS BY LITHOLOGY	-17
TABLE 3-5: SUMMARY OF NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF NPAG, PAG1 AND PAG2 SAMPLES FOR EACH LITHOLOGY - PHASE I	-19
TABLE 3-6: SUMMARY OF KINETIC TEST SAMPLE ACID BASE ACCOUNTING RESULTS 3	-21
TABLE 3-7: NAG PH RESULTS FOR SELECTED MINE ROCK SAMPLES	-23
TABLE 3-8: SUMMARY OF SOLID PHASE METAL RESULTS FOR SELECTED PARAMETERS - BY NPR DESIGNATION	-25
TABLE 3-9: SUMMARY OF SOLID PHASE METAL RESULTS FOR SELECTED PARAMETERS BY LITHOLOGY 3	-27
TABLE 3-10: SOLID PHASE METALS SUMMARY FOR KINETIC TEST SAMPLES	-28
TABLE 3-11: SUMMARY OF SHAKE FLASK EXTRACTION RESULTS FOR WASTE ROCK SAMPLES	-31
TABLE 3-12: SUMMARY OF SHAKE FLASK EXTRACTION RESULTS - KINETIC TEST SAMPLES	-32
TABLE 3-13: MINERALOGICAL COMPOSITION OF THE 2021 MASTER COMPOSITE TAILINGS SAMPLE	-34
TABLE 3-14: SUMMARY OF ACID BASE ACCOUNTING RESULTS FOR TAILINGS SAMPLES	-35
TABLE 3-15: TAILINGS NAG PH SUMMARY	-35
TABLE 3-16: SUMMARY OF SOLID PHASE METAL RESULTS FOR SELECTED PARAMETERS FOR TAILINGS SAMPLES 3	-36
TABLE 3-17: SUMMARY OF SHAKE FLASK EXTRACTION RESULTS FOR TAILINGS SAMPLES	-38
TABLE 3-18: SUMMARY OF ACID BASE ACCOUNTING RESULTS FOR HISTORIC TAILINGS SAMPLES	-40
TABLE 3-19: SUMMARY OF SHAKE FLASK EXTRACTION RESULTS FOR HISTORIC TAILINGS SAMPLES 3	-41
TABLE 3-20: SUMMARY OF OVERBURDEN ACID BASE ACCOUNTING RESULTS 3	-43
TABLE 3-21: SUMMARY OF OVERBURDEN SOLID PHASE METAL RESULTS 3	-44
TABLE 3-22: SUMMARY OF OVERBURDEN SHAKE FLASK EXTRACTION RESULTS	3-1
TABLE 4-1: SUMMARY OF WASTE ROCK HUMIDITY CELL TEST RESULTS FROM THE LAST THREE AVAILABLE WEEKS THAT METAL ANALYSES WERE CONDUCTED	4-2
TABLE 4-2: SUMMARY OF FIELD BIN LEACHATE CHEMISTRY 4	-14
TABLE 4-3: SUMMARY OF BULK SAMPLE STOCKPILE RUNOFF WATER QUALITY	-16
TABLE 4-4: SUMMARY OF TAILINGS HUMIDITY CELL TEST RESULTS FROM THE LAST THREE Available Weeks That Metal Analyses Were Conducted	-17
TABLE 4-5: SUMMARY OF TAILINGS SATURATED COLUMN INFLUENT AND EFFLUENT CHEMISTRY	-23

TABLE OF CONTENTS GOLDBORO PROJECT: GEOCHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION & SOURCE TERMS xi
TABLE 5-1: PROPORTIONING AND INPUT LOADING RATES (IN UNITS OF MG/KG/WK) USED FOR THE CALCULATION OF WRSA SOURCE TERMS
TABLE 5-2: WRSA TONNAGES ASSUMED IN THE UPSCALING OF HUMIDITY CELL LOADING RATES (IN MT)
TABLE 5-3: PARAMETER-SPECIFIC SCALING FACTORS (UNITLESS) USED TO ADJUST UPSCALED WRSA LOADING RATES
TABLE 5-4: WATER BALANCE ASSUMPTIONS MADE FOR THE CONVERSION OF LOADS INTO CONCENTRATIONS 5-7
TABLE 5-5: CONCENTRATION CAPS (IN MG/L) IMPOSED FOR THE GOLDBORO WRSA SOURCE TERM MODEL OUTPUT IF EXCEEDED 5-8
TABLE 5-6: WASTE ROCK STORAGE AREA (WRSA) FOOTPRINT, ESTIMATED INFILTRATION VOLUME,MAXIMUM ANNUAL WASTE PLACEMENT AND PREDICTED N LOADS.5-10
TABLE 5-7: SELECTED SOURCE TERM MODEL PREDICTIONS FOR GOLDBORO WRSAS 5-13
TABLE 5-8: PROPORTIONING AND INPUT LOADING RATES (IN UNITS OF MG/KG/WK) USED FOR THE CALCULATION OF PIT WALL SOURCE TERMS
TABLE 5-9: SCALING AND ACID FACTORS DERIVED FOR THE PIT WALL SOURCE TERM MODEL USING SITE ANALOGUE HUMIDITY CELL DATA
TABLE 5-10: SELECTED SOURCE TERM MODEL PREDICTIONS FOR GOLDBORO PIT WALLS 5-18
TABLE 5-11: SELECTED SOURCE TERM MODEL PREDICTIONS FOR GOLDBORO PIT WALLS 5-22
TABLE 5-12: SELECTED SOURCE TERM MODEL PREDICTIONS FOR GOLDBORO PIT WALLS 5-24

List of Acronyms and Abbreviations

ABA	Acid base accounting				
AP	Acid Potential				
AUCCA	Average Upper Continental Crust Abundance				
CaNP	Carbonate Neutralization Potential				
CMR	Carbonate Molar Ratio				
DOC	Dissolved Organic Carbon				
EQS	Environmental Quality Standards				
FOV	Field of View				
HDPE	High-density Polyethylene				
ICP	Inductively Coupled Plasma				
ML/ARD	Metal Leaching and Acid Rock Drainage				
NAG	Net Acid Generation				
NP	Neutralization Potential				
NPAG	Non-Potentially Acid Generating				
NPR	Neutralization Potential Ratio				
PAG	Potentially Acid Generating				
PPL	Plane-Polarized Light				
QA/QC	Quality Assurance/Quality Control				
QEMSCAN	Quantitative Evaluation of Minerals by SCANning electron microscopy				
SFE	Shake Flask Extraction				
TAP	Total Acid Potential				
TIC	Total Inorganic Carbon				
TMF	Tailings Management Facility				
TSS	Total Suspended Solids				
WRSA	Waste Rock Storage Area				
XPL	Crossed Polar				

1.1 Overview

The Goldboro Gold Project is located on the eastern shore of Nova Scotia, approximately 175 km northeast of the city of Halifax and 60 km southeast of the town of Antigonish. The Project, owned by Anaconda, is a turbidite-hosted gold deposit and includes three gold systems referred to as West Goldbrook, Boston Richardson, and East Goldbrook. Open pit mining is currently proposed over an 11-year mine life. Project infrastructure includes a tailings management facility (TMF), two open pits (West Pit and the East Pit), four waste rock storage areas (WRSA; one of which is currently designed to only contain till), segregated till and organics stockpiles, a processing plant, a polishing pond, and essential site infrastructure (Figure 1-1).

In general terms, geochemical characterization aims to understand and minimize the potential for and effects of metal leaching and acid rock drainage (ML/ARD) that result from the disturbance of mine rock (waste, ore) and tailings during the construction and operation of mines. The oxidation of sulphide minerals, such as pyrite and pyrrhotite, generally presents the main source of acidity in such environments and is often an important control on mine drainage quality. Whether a rock type or sample is in fact potentially acid generating (PAG) will however also depend on the mineralogy and dissolution kinetics of pH-neutralizing phases, most importantly carbonate minerals.

Preliminary ML/ARD investigations were conducted for the Project in 2017 (GEMTEC, 2018) and 2019 (WSP, 2019) in which 86 samples were submitted for static test analyses. These initial characterizations indicated that the waste rock was generally expected to be non-potentially acid generating (NPAG) with lesser PAG material, while the ore was characterized as PAG. However, changes in the mine plan and open pit designs resulted in the majority of these previous samples falling outside of the targeted zones and thereby rendering these investigations largely unrepresentative. To close geochemical information gaps, Lorax Environmental Services Ltd (Lorax) initiated a comprehensive ML/ARD program in 2020 considering the current open pit dimensions.



1.2 Geological Setting

The Goldboro deposit is situated within turbiditic sediments of the Goldenville Formation of the Meguma Supergroup (Cambrian to Ordovician) which hosts several gold deposits in southern and central Nova Scotia. The following description of the Goldboro geological setting is adopted from the most recent Project description (Nordmin, 2022).

The dominant rock types include greywacke and argillite which occur in alternating beds. The sediments overprinted by alteration, the degree and type of which appears to be controlled by lithology. Greywacke units have varying amounts of biotite and muscovite that have likely both detrital and metamorphic origins. The greywacke beds generally exhibit weaker alteration than the finer argillite units but does exhibit bleaching that consists of both albite and sericite alteration. In contrast, argillite units show the greatest changes in alteration mineralogy proximal to quartz veins. For example, background siltstones are generally layered and laminated and are brown-green with minor biotite and chlorite, whereas proximal to well mineralized veins they exhibit black to black-green colouration and are pervasively altered to chlorite with biotite, sericite, albite, quartz, carbonate, and sulphide.

Structurally, the Project is comprised of three domains known as the West Goldbrook (WG), Boston Richardson (BR), and East Goldbrook (EG) Gold Systems. The WG Gold System is separated from the BR Gold System by a north trending, near vertical fault with tens of metres of apparent offset. The EG Gold System is separated from the BR Gold System by a thick greywacke sequence or marker unit. The marker unit consists of a 40 m to 50 m greywacke bed that is commonly intersected during drilling and in underground workings. The main structural feature in the area is the Upper Seal Harbour Anticline which folds all levels of stratigraphy observed in core and underground to form an upright, tight anticline that plunges 20° eastward with stratigraphic younging being upward.

Gold and sulphide mineralization has occurred preferentially in or near the hinges of the anticline and is associated with both wall rock and quartz veining. Argillites contain diagenetic pyrite, pyrrhotite, and arsenopyrite. There are several generations of veins with the majority of coarse gold in veins associated with second generation arsenopyrite, galena, and to a lesser extent chalcopyrite and sphalerite. A typical cross-section illustrating the Upper Seal Harbour anticline and location of the market unit is given in Figure 1-2.

INTRODUCTION GOLDBORO PROJECT: GEOCHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION & SOURCE TERMS



Typical geological cross section at 9300E (looking east) through the Figure 1-2: deposit area (from Nordmin, 2022).

2.1 Sampling Methods

2.1.1 Mine Rock

The mine rock (waste rock and ore) static test sampling campaign includes 188 samples plus seven duplicate samples for quality assurance and control (QA/QC) purposes. Plan maps and a series of geological cross-sections illustrating the ML/ARD sample locations in the pit footprint and with depth are compiled in Appendix A. The static test sample selection and collection was conducted in multiple phases as follows:

- Phase Ia 2 m intervals drill core;
- Phase Ib 2 m intervals pulp or drill core;
- Phase II 5 m intervals pulp or drill core; and
- Bulk sample stockpile samples.

The guiding selection criteria for all phases include:

- Proportional representation of major and minor lithological units;
- Spatial distribution within current pit shells; and
- Inclusion of samples near common structural features.

Phase Ia comprised 120 samples, including 106 waste rock samples, nine ore samples, and five duplicate samples (Table 2-1). For the purposes of sample selection, an ore grade cutoff of 0.55 ppm Au was used based on guidance from Nordmin. Lorax provided a list of sample intervals to site and the sampling was conducted by Anaconda personnel. An interval length of 2 m was targeted where possible; however, slightly shorter intervals were samples occasionally to avoid crossing of lithological boundaries. The purpose of Phase Ib was to provide infill characterization for single-lithological samples after refinement of the mine plan supporting the FS. A total of 35 x 2 m intervals were selected by Lorax personnel (Table 2-1). Of these, 26 composite samples were made up of pulps and nine samples comprised core material.

T #4h a la arr	Ore	Waste		Total	0/ - CT- 4-1
Lithology	Phase Ia	Phase Ia	Phase Ib		% 01 10tai
Argillite	6	36	15	57	38%
Argillite + Quartz Vein	3	-	1	4	3%
Greywacke	-	61	18	79	53%
Greywacke + Quartz Vein	-	3	-	3	2%
Quartz Vein	-	3	-	3	2%
Greywacke Marker Unit	-	3	1	4	3%
Total	9	106	35	150	

Table 2-1:Phase Ia and Ib Sampling Summary

Phase II included 33 x 5 m composite samples selected by Nordmin to support the integration of geochemical results into the block model. The locations of these samples were selected to close current gaps within the block model zones. The choice of 5 m interval was made to better represent the minimum bench height that would be mined operationally. As a result of the relatively fine interbedding of sedimentary units within the deposit, these composites often contain two or more different lithologies (Table 2-2). Waste blocks during Phase II sampling were targeted based on the resource model. Ultimately, the results from this sampling were incorporated into the block model in order to calculate the expected PAG and NPAG tonnages of waste rock.

Of the Phase II samples, 26 were composed of pulps stored at Eastern Analytical laboratory and seven were selected from core for intervals where pulp samples were unavailable. Due to the variable sample lengths of the pulp samples, each 5 m composite is made up of between five and ten individual samples. All core samples were made up of 5 x 1 m intervals. Core materials were composited at site, while pulp materials were blended proportionally at the receiving analytical laboratory (SGS Lakefield).

Lithology	Number of Samples
Argillite	2
Argillite + Quartz Vein ^a	2
Argillite + Greywacke ^a	4
Greywacke, Argillite + Quartz Vein ^a	10
Greywacke	5
Greywacke + Quartz Vein ^a	5
Quartz Vein	1
Greywacke Marker Unit	4
Total	33
Note	

Table 2-2:Phase II Sampling Summary

^aProportions of the different lithologies within these samples vary.

A stockpile of residual ore material from the 2018 bulk sampling program remains on site and has been exposed to weathering since then (Figure 2-1). Five composite samples were collected from the bulk sample stockpile by Anaconda personnel. Each sample was recovered by combining subsamples from three approximately 0.5 m deep test pits along a 3 m long transect. Materials were field-sieved to target the <1" particle size fraction.



Figure 2-1: Ore stockpile from the 2018 bulk sampling campaign.

2.1.2 Tailings

Metallurgical testwork was conducted at BaseMet Laboratories in Kamloops to determine process design criteria for comminution, gravity concentration, gold leaching, carbon adsorption, and cyanide destruction. The latter utilizes an SO₂/Air process with Na₂S₂O₅ (Na-Metabisulfite) as a source of SO₂ targeting a discharge product measuring below 3 mg/L weak acid dissociable (WAD) cyanide. Arsenic precipitation (ASP) was implemented following cyanide detoxification to lower arsenic in process water to \leq 0.5 mg/L. Conditions for ASP included contacting the cyanide detox pulp discharged from cyanide destruction with ferric sulphide at a ratio of 8:1 Fe to As (BaseMet Labs, 2021).

2-4

Two Master Composite tailings samples and six additional tailings samples were generated and submitted for geochemical testing. The Master Composite materials are a derivative of bulk ore composites and considered the most representative of the tailings that will ultimately be discharged in the TMF. These samples were provided in the form of a tailings slurry contained in 5-gallon pails. Tailings process water was produced by decanting and filtering after the tailings solids were left to settle within the covered pails. Master Composite solids were then subsampled by coring through the sedimented tailings package.

The six additional tailings samples represent different ore grades and locations (*i.e.*, operational phases) and served the evaluation of the geochemical variability of tailings materials throughout the life of mine with respect to the two Master Composites. These samples were provided as dried filter cakes and submitted to the analytical laboratory as such.

All tailings samples were submitted for ABA and solid phase metals, while all but the 2020 Master Composite sample were submitted for net acid generation (NAG) pH. The 2020 and 2021 Master Composites and two additional composites, made to represent low- and high-grade tailings products, were submitted for Shake Flask Extraction (SFE) analysis. A subsample of the process waters from each Master Composite slurries underwent chemical analysis with the remaining water being used as saturated column influent.

2.1.3 Historic Tailings Samples

Historic tailings deposits are known to occur across the Goldboro project site and will be excavated, where necessary, during mine construction. All disturbed material will be deposited within the lined footprint of the TMF and ultimately covered with ROM tailings during the production phase.

To assess a range of properties of the historic tailings, several sampling campaigns were undertaken by Anaconda personnel via manual test pitting of multiple well-delineated surface deposits. Of the collected samples, six (6) were chosen for in-depth geochemical testing based on spatial distribution and representative to high solid phase As and Hg contents determined for other purposes (GHD, 2022). To that end, all six of these historic tailings samples were submitted for ABA and a subset of four samples was submitted for SFE testing.

2.1.4 Overburden

Twenty-eight (28) overburden samples were collected by Anaconda personnel under guidance from Lorax for static testing. These include 12 soil samples and 16 till samples.

Two samples were often collected from the same test pit, one sample from the soil horizon and the second sample from the till horizon (Figure 2-2). Test pits were distributed within the East Pit (n = 4), West Pit (n = 4), TMF footprint (n = 6), northwest WRSA (n = 1), and portal (n = 1) areas (Figure 2-3).



Figure 2-2: Example of an overburden test pit (LXGB-2021-TMFOB-02) displaying distinct organics (top, dark) and till (beige) horizons.



2.2 Static Test Methods

2.2.1 Mineralogy

Mineralogical analyses assist in determining the forms of acid producing minerals (*i.e.*, sulphides) and acid neutralizing minerals (*i.e.*, carbonates and silicates) in a sample. A subset of 20 mine rock samples underwent Quantitative Evaluation of Minerals by SCANning electron microscopy (QEMSCAN) analysis at SGS Lakefield. This included 11 Phase I samples, three Phase II samples, and all six humidity cell samples (Table 2-3). In addition, one tailings sample (2021 Master Composite) was also submitted for TESCAN Integrated Mineral Analyser (TIMA) analysis which is a novel automated mineralogy technique. Both QEMSCAN and TIMA provide information on the modal mineral abundances as well as grain sizes and exposure surfaces (*e.g.*, degree of liberation) of the sulphide and carbonate minerals in the sample. Both techniques offer real-time collection of mineral chemistry data and allow for direct reconciliation of minerals to assays.

Standard (30 μ m) polished thin sections were also prepared for all QEMSCAN subsamples and inspected by optical microscopy at the Lorax laboratory using a Nikon Optiphot polarizing microscope with transmitted and reflected light capabilities. Photomicrographs were taken using a Nikon EOS 70D camera.

2.2.2 Acid-Base Accounting

Static testing for waste rock and ore samples was conducted at SGS Lakefield, whereas tailings and overburden static testing was conducted at Bureau Veritas (tailings, except for 2021 Master Composite) or SGS Canada (2021 Master Composite tailings and overburden). Analyses included modified ABA, NAG pH, solid phase metals, and shake SFE. ABA consists of a series of static tests (*i.e.*, paste pH, sulphur species, neutralization potential [NP], and acid potential [AP]) which are used to evaluate the acid generation and neutralization potential of the material. This suite of tests was conducted on all ore, waste rock, tailings, and overburden samples (Table 2-3). The paste pH measurement provides an indication as to whether a sample is currently acid generating or if it has an inherent buffering capacity. The knowledge of sulphur speciation is important as some sulphur species do not contribute to ARD when dissolved.

Sample Type	Mineralogy	ABA	Solid Phase Metals	NAG pH	SFE
Static Testing					
Waste Rock Samples	14	174	174	11	36
Ore Samples	0	14	14	0	5
Duplicates (Waste Rock)	0	7	7	0	0
Tailings Samples	0	8	8	7	4
Overburden Samples	0	28	28	0	14
Total (static testing)	14	231	231	18	59
Kinetic Testing					
Waste Rock Humidity Cells	6	6	6	0	6
Field Bins	0	4	4	0	4
Tailings Humidity Cell	0	a	a	а	a
Tailings Saturated Column	0	a	a	a	a
Total (static + kinetic testing)	20	241	241	18	69

Table 2-3: Sample Inventory of the Current Geochemical Assessment Program Conducted

Note:

ABA: Acid-Base Accounting; NAG pH: Net Acid Generation pH; SFE: Shake Flask Extraction.

a: Tailings kinetic test samples were analyzed as part of the static testing program.

Minerals that most readily buffer acid generating reactions and provide NP to a system are carbonate minerals (*i.e.*, calcite, dolomite), although slower dissolving silicate and aluminosilicate minerals may also contribute to this parameter. Samples were analyzed for both Modified NP and CaNP to gain an in-depth understanding of the acid-buffering phase assemblage.

NAG pH tests are used to determine if the neutralizing minerals in a sample are able to neutralize the acidity produced by the oxidation of sulphide minerals. In a single addition NAG test, hydrogen peroxide is added to the sample and allowed to react with the sample until effervescence stops. The sample is cooled, and the pH is measured (referred to as the NAG pH). A sample is considered PAG if the NAG pH is less than 4.5 and NPAG if the NAG pH is greater than 4.5 (Price, 2009). NAG pH was conducted on a subset of waste rock and tailings samples (Table 2-3).

2.2.3 Solid-Phase Elemental Abundance

Solid phase elemental abundance data are used to characterize materials and to identify elements of potential environmental concern. The relatively aggressive four-acid digestion was used for the waste rock and ore samples in order to provide consistency between this method and the assay database. The tailings and overburden samples were digested using aqua regia ($HNO_3 + 3HCI$). For both methods, the extract is diluted and analyzed for metals by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (ICP-MS).

2-8

The degree of enrichment as compared to average upper continental crust abundance (AUCCA, Rudnick and Gao, 2014) can provide a general indication of the overall metal leaching potential. However, elemental enrichment in the solid-phase does not necessarily indicate that the element will become an environmental concern in contact, in particular when four-acid digestion is used. Rather, the mineralogical association, pH, and redox conditions are considered master controls with respect to aqueous geochemistry.

2.2.4 Shake Flask Extraction

A subset of 25 Phase Ia samples, three Phase Ib samples, five Phase II samples, two bulk sample stockpile samples, four tailings samples, and 14 overburden samples, as well as subsamples from all humidity cell (n = 6) and field bin (n = 4) samples were submitted for SFE (Table 2-3). The Phase Ia SFE samples were selected to represent a range of S and arsenic (As) contents from the different lithologies. SFE tests provide a measure of the mass of readily soluble metals which will be immediately available for leaching upon exposure to infiltrating water. The procedure consists of agitating a representative sample (crushed to <1/4" for rock samples) in deionized water, typically at a water to solids ratio of 3:1, for 24 hours. The leachate chemistry can be used as a cursory tool in determining the potential short-term chemistry of water in contact with disturbed rock.

2.3 Kinetic Test Methods

2.3.1 Humidity Cells

Laboratory-based humidity cells were set up at SGS Lakefield (waste rock) and SGS Canada (tailings). These cells are composed of a plexiglass cylinder filled with approximately 1 kg of sample which, for mine rock, is crushed to 80% passing 6.4 mm. The contents of the cells are subjected to moist air for three days, followed by dry air for three days (< 10% relative humidity). At the end of each wet/dry cycle, the contents of the cell are leached with 500 mL distilled de-ionized water on day seven (Price, 1997; Lapakko, 2003). The purpose of the leaching step is to recover any readily soluble products that have formed due to mineral dissolution or sulphide oxidation in order to determine the dissolved load contributed from the previous week's test. The leachate is then analyzed for pH, alkalinity, sulphate, and any solutes of interest (*e.g.*, metals).

Six waste rock humidity cells (HC1 through HC6) have initiated for the Project using crushed (<1/4 ") drill core material. The material for the initial four humidity cells initiated in June 2021 was selected to represent median to high sulphur contents for the two main lithologies (argillite and greywacke). Two additional humidity cells were initiated in July 2021 with samples selected to represent PAG2 (HC5) and the greywacke marker unit

(HC6) (Table 2-4). The objective of the kinetic testing program is to provide sulphide oxidation and leaching rates to be used as input for the geochemical source term model.

One tailings humidity cell has been initiated for the Project. This humidity cell contains a subsample from the 2020 Master Composite and is currently being operated at SGS Canada in Burnaby, BC. While tailings are not expected to remain permanently saturated or covered, this test is designed to understand the leaching behaviour and carbonate depletion rates of tailings under oxidizing conditions should a beach develop temporarily.

Humidity Cell	Lithology	Designation	Targeted Material	Subsamples ^a
HC1	Argillite	NPAG	Argillite, Median Total S and	GB-2020-70
IICI		NIAO	As	GB-2020-100
	Argillite	PAG1	Argillite, 90 th Percentile Total S and As	GB-2020-06
HC2				GB-2020-37
				I GB-2020-70 GB-2020-100 I GB-2020-06 GB-2020-37 GB-2020-72 GB-2020-72 GB-2020-74 GB-2020-75 GB-2020-54 GB-2020-55 GB-2020-012 GB-2020-030 GB-2020-031 GB-2020-046 GB-2020-048
	Greywacke	NPAG	Grouwacka Madian Total S	GB-2020-15
HC3			75 th Percentile As	GB-2020-34
				GB-2020-54
	Greywacke	Crowneeke DAC1 Gre	Greywacke, 90th Percentile	GB-2020-55
nC4		PAGI	Total S and As	GB-2020-83
	50% Argillite, 50%	PAG2	PAG2 PAG2	GB-2020-012
UC5				GB-2020-080
псэ	Greywacke			GB-2020-030
				GB-2020-081
	Greywacke Marker Unit		Greywacke Marker Unit	GB-2020-046
HC6		PAG2		GB-2020-048
				GB-NM2021-32
HCT1	Mixed P	DAG1	Tailings	2020 Master
псп		PAGI	Tanngs	Composite

Table 2-4:Humidity Cell Sample Description

Notes:

^aSubsamples represent equal proportions to generate humidity cell composite. PAG: Potentially Acid Generating; NPAG: Non-Potentially Acid Generating. The definition of PAG1, PAG2, and NPAG is discussed in Section 3.1.2.

2.3.2 Field Bins

The four field bins use industrial-grade, 115L plastic drums that have been tested for this purpose at several other mine sites. Natural precipitation passes through the rock material and drains out of the bottom of the field bin via a small hole that is connected to collection jugs via HDPE tubing. Leachate samples are taken when a sufficient water volume has collected in the collection jug and submitted to Bureau Veritas Laboratories for water quality analysis, including pH, conductivity, alkalinity, acidity, hardness, sulphate, chloride, phosphorus, and dissolved metals.

Four field bins were set up at the Project site in June (FB-1) and July (FB-2 through FB-4) 2021 (Figure 2-4; Table 2-5) with the help from Anaconda staff. Approximately 180 kg of material was selected for each of the field bins. FB-1 contains material from the bulk sample stockpile, while FB-2 through FB-4 contain quarter cut drill core. The drill core intervals were selected to target specific NPR classes and lithologies using results from the block model (Table 2-5). A representative subsample for static testing was collected from the excess material and submitted for static characterization.

To date, leachate results are available for eight samples from FB-1 and for six samples from FB-2 through FB-4. Quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) sampling for the field bins includes a field blank and a field duplicate for each sampling event. The field blanks are collected by filling the sampling bottles with deionized water, while the field duplicates are two samples collected from the same field bin collection jug with sufficient leachate. QA/QC samples are submitted for the same suite of parameters as the regular field bin samples.



Figure 2-4: Field bin setup at the Goldboro Project site.

Field Bin	Material Type	Lithology	Designation
1	Bulk Sample Stockpile	Mixed	PAG1
2	Drill Core	Mixed	NPAG
3	Drill Core	Greywacke	PAG1
4	Drill Core	Argillite	NPAG

Table 2-5:Field Bin Sample Description

Notes:

PAG: Potentially Acid Generating; NPAG: Non-Potentially Acid Generating. The definition of PAG1, PAG2, and NPAG is discussed in Section 3.1.2.

2.3.3 Saturated Column

Saturated columns provide information on the geochemical behaviour of geologic material which may become flooded as a result of mining activities. Saturation of tailings is the planned storage method during operations and it is expected that the bulk of the tailings will remain saturated during post-closure. The column test is therefore designed to capture the geochemical regime controlling TMF porewater and seepage chemistry.

One tailings saturated column containing the 2020 Master Composite tailings sample has been set up for the Project. The saturated column was constructed from a Plexiglass cylinder (13.3 cm inside diameter, 25.2 cm length) and filled with 5.7 kg of material (dry weight). Before the addition of any column substrates, the bottom of the column was lined with a dispersion plate (perforated disk), a sheet of non-reactive mesh fabric, and a layer of silica sand (500 g) to allow for the even distribution of water over the surface area of the column bottom before contacting the sample.

The column is equipped with an inlet at the base of the column and one port at the top of the column for sampling. Note that the experiment was designed so that influent entered from the bottom of the column and flowed upward. In other words, the bottom of the column is effectively upgradient and the top of the column is downgradient. This is a standard measure to ensure even flow through the column materials and minimize the risk of uncontrolled gravity-driven drainage and development of preferential flow paths (*e.g.*, Jurjovec *et al.*, 2002; Petrunic *et al.*, 2005). Tailings supernatant is being used as the column influent. The first batch of influent was used for the first 22 weeks at which time the influent was switched to tailings supernatant that had undergone more a more rigorous cyanide detoxication.

Leachate samples are collected bi-weekly. Conductivity, pH, and total alkalinity are measured at the in-house laboratory, while subsamples are sent to ALS Environmental Laboratories in Vancouver, BC for sulphate, nitrogen species, cyanide species, dissolved

organic carbon (DOC), and dissolved metals. The two column influent samples, which are tailings supernatant, were analyzed for the same suite of parameters.

2.3.4 Ore Stockpile Water Quality

Water quality samples representing ore stockpile runoff are collected from a lined ditch immediately adjacent to the ore pile (Figure 2-2). This water quality monitoring program began in September 2018 and has occurred approximately three times per year. Samples are submitted to Bureau Veritas Laboratories and analyzed for pH, conductivity, alkalinity, total suspended solids (TSS), hardness, sulphate, chloride, nitrogen species, orthophosphate, total organic carbon, total metals, and dissolved metals. Since 2021 runoff from the ore stockpile is being sampled in conjunction with the field bin program and includes dissolved metals analyses.

Each set of samples submitted for analyses is subjected to an internal laboratory QA/QC program. This program includes duplicate samples and analytical standard analysis. Any laboratory duplicate result or standard that does not adhere to the precision specifications for the different parameters triggers a re-analysis.

3.1 Mine Rock

3.1.1 Mineralogy

Mineralogical abundance, grain sizes and textures were determined by QEMSCAN and petrographic analysis (Appendix B). In combination with geochemical static and kinetic testwork, the understanding of the mineralogical make-up of a rock sample helps with the interpretation of ML/ARD characteristics. For instance, the particle size, distribution and liberation of carbonate phases relative to sulphide minerals will influence the effectiveness of acid buffering reactions and thereby the timing of ARD onset. Further, the determination of sulphide mineral chemistry and textural relationships sheds light on metal leaching rates such as As release.

The 20 Goldboro samples submitted for mineralogical testwork to date represent waste rock from multiple phases of the static test program. The sample suite includes subsamples from all humidity cells as well as a range of predominantly mono-lithological materials from different zones of the two open pits. Rock-forming phases making up well over 90% of the total mineral inventory and include, sorted by average abundance, quartz, plagioclase, muscovite, biotite, chlorite, and K-feldspar (Figure 3-1). Minor and trace minerals (exclusive of sulphides and carbonates) are represented by Ti-oxides, apatite, epidote, amphibole \pm pyroxene, talc, and Fe-oxides. The overall mineral composition is reflective of turbiditic sediments that underwent low-grade, greenschist metamorphism. It is of note that with exception of one sample which may have been misclassified lithologically (GB-200-106), greywacke samples show a distinctly higher quartz content (50-55%) than argillite materials (20-45%) and are somewhat richer in both plagioclase and K-feldspar. Conversely, argillite assemblages exhibit higher mica (muscovite and biotite) abundances as well as slightly increased chlorite contents (Figure 3-1). These phases are interpreted to be indicative of prograde alteration of clay phases which are expected to be enriched in a lower-energy marine sediment deposit. Where humidity cell subsamples were intended to represent one lithology only (HC 1 through HC 4), the primary mineral abundances appear to reflect the described mineralogical trends for the corresponding rock unit accurately.

Textural characteristics observed in thin section range from fine-grained, highly foliated, sometimes micro-folded, groundmass with few larger (> 0.1 mm) biotite grains (Figure 3-2 a, b) to coarser poorly- to moderately-sorted assemblages with subangular to subrounded quartz-feldspar dominated matrix without clear bedding/foliation planes (Figure 3-2c, d).



Figure 3-1: Modal abundances of rock-forming (top), sulphide (middle), and carbonate (bottom) minerals determined by QEMSCAN analysis.

3-2



Figure 3-2: Photomicrographs of textures typically observed in the Goldboro mineralogy samples. Field of view (FOV) in all images is ~ 1.4 mm. (a) weakly oriented, fine-grained meta-argillite with recrystallized or hydro-thermal biotite (plane-polarized light = PPL); (b) micro-folded matrix of predominantly muscovite and cross-cutting biotite in contact with lens of recrystallized quartz, possibly representing a pressure shadow (crossed polar = XPL); (c) weakly metamorphosed greywacke with subangular to subrounded, coarse feldspar and quartz embedded in matrix of oriented mica and clay (PPL); (d) similar to (c) but displaying less particle sorting and matrix is more randomly oriented (XPL).

These textural end-members are also interpreted to represent the main lithological endmembers namely argillite and greywacke, respectively. Intermediate textures include coarse quartz and feldspar grains embedded in a weakly-foliated, relatively fine groundmass consisting largely of mica (muscovite, biotite) and sericite \pm clay which are commonly oriented along bedding and/or foliation planes. Quartz and felspar are typically, isometric, subangular to subrounded, and occur over a wide range of grain sizes making the transition from clast- to groundmass-dominated textures gradational in some samples.

Biotite may occur as elongated, tabular grains oriented dominantly along bedding or foliation plane or as recrystallized, isometric patches filling/replacing groundmass. Muscovite/sericite most commonly occurs as subhedral needles or platy crystals. Chlorite was observed as patches replacing feldspar or clay and is commonly oriented along the bedding plane. Other occurrences include agglomerates of randomly oriented chlorite, biotite and ilmenite likely representing complete replacement of feldspar grains during hydrothermal activity.

Locally, both quartz and biotite form medium- to fine-grained, equigranular clusters with recrystallized grain boundaries suggesting that these samples have undergone a higher-temperature metamorphism than other samples. A further example of overprinting features is the near-complete replacement of feldspar grains by inferred clay-sericite assemblages too fine to identify conclusively.

Overall, most samples, irrespective of the lithology type identified during core logging, contain a range of the described textures and grain sizes. This underscores the fine interbedding of greywacke and argillite in the Goldboro deposit on a sample scale (1-5 m intervals). Therefore, while lithological trends do exist, the vast majority of blasted waste rock is expected to contain a mix of the two major lithologies.

Sulphide minerals were identified in all studied samples and comprise arsenopyrite, pyrrhotite, and pyrite (Figure 3-1). All of these sulphides contain Fe and generate acidity when oxidized. Trace amounts of unknown (other) sulphides were also detected. There is a weak relationship with respect to sulphide abundance and waste rock lithology where the argillite population displays a slightly higher sulphide mineral content than the greywacke-bearing samples. This is especially true if GB-2020-106 was misclassified and is in fact an argillite sample.

There is no relationship between sulphide abundance and rock type. While pyrrhotite was detected in all samples, arsenopyrite is the dominant sulphide phase in a subset of the tested materials, independent of lithology, reaching up to >0.5% (Figure 3-1). With few exceptions, pyrite was found to be rarer than the other two sulphide phases detected by

QEMSCAN. Unidentified sulphide phases make up less than a third and typically less than 10% of the sulphide inventory across the mineralogical sample suite.

The arsenopyrite modal abundance was compared with the solid-phase As content to identify the host phases of this species (Figure 3-3). It was found that a good correlation $(r^2 = 0.92)$ exists between these parameters with a higher relative variability in samples with a lower As content. To assist with the interpretation of this trend, the total sulphide mineral abundance was also plotted against the corresponding total S value of the analysed materials. The finding that this correlation shows a similar curve as well as r^2 value suggest that both the imperfect sulphur and As relationships may be affected by sample heterogeneity and sub-sampling artifacts rather than elemental speciation discrepancies. This interpretation is based on the assumption that sulphate minerals, primary or secondary, are sufficiently scarce to not impact the total S inventory of Goldboro waste rock and ore. As a result, while As may occur in an adsorbed state or as impurities within pyrite and pyrrhotite to an extent, it is inferred to be predominantly hosted in arsenopyrite. Consequently, As leaching may be enhanced and/or longer-lasting if waste rock is stored under unsaturated conditions under which arsenopyrite is oxidized. At 0.04 and 0.06% respectively, humidity cell samples HC1 and HC3 have lower sulphide mineral contents than the other mineralogical samples. Indeed, after recalculation into total S (in %), these samples show lower sulphur contents than the respective static test materials (Table 3-6). As discussed above, this is most likely a result of sample preparation issues where the subsample used for the QEMSCAN analysis is not entirely representative of the static test sample which is larger in mass. If more emphasis is given to the geochemical analysis, it is evident that these two samples are still representative of the argillite and greywacke populations as intended.



Figure 3-3: Comparison of mineralogical data with solid-phase elemental abundance for arsenic and sulphur.
Optical microscopy showed that arsenopyrite was generally identified somewhat concentrated in a given rock fragment of the studied grain mounts, while other fragments of the same sample are entirely devoid of this phase. One unique finding in sample GB-2020-51 is a relatively high density of subhedral arsenopyrite of variable grain size was identified adjacent to what appears to be a hydrothermal quartz vein. More commonly however, arsenopyrite forms medium to coarse (> 0.5 mm), euhedral to subhedral grains and may be in contact with pressure shadows filled with euhedral biotite (Figure 3-4a, b). In most instances growth surfaces are relatively fresh with incipient surface weathering visible only in a small number of grains. In contrast, pyrrhotite and pyrite are smaller in grain size (< 0.2 mm) and were found as disseminated, anhedral blebs (Figure 3-4c) within the rock matrix replacing primary phases or filling voids, often adjacent to hydrothermal biotite grains or patches. In the examined samples, minute pyrite blebs are mostly associated (via exsolution?) with pyrrhotite grains. Rarely, pyrite was precipitated discretely as vein or microcrack fill (HC6; Figure 3-4d). Pyrrhotite and pyrite show more pervasive signs of oxidation than arsenopyrite as evidenced by the partial to full replacement of pyrrhotite grains in some samples (Figure 3-4c). On average, it is estimated that approximately 20% of this phase have been replaced by what is inferred to be amorphous Fe-hydroxides.

The visually-determined grain size distribution of sulphide phases differs somewhat from the automated QEMSCAN results where pyrite tends to be slightly finer-grained than pyrrhotite and arsenopyrite. Overall, mean grain sizes for these phases range from 5 to 57 µm, with most samples displaying a range from 10 to 30 µm (Table 3-1). Although mineral exposure characteristics were constrained during QEMSCAN analysis, these semiquantitative results should be interpreted with caution due to the automated nature of the method and the finely crushed samples which are not representative of blast rock under full-scale field conditions. Nevertheless, high-level results are presented herein. Note that these results apply to Fe-sulphides, calcite, and dolomite only. Liberated sulphide grains (80-100% exposure) make up 30 to >90% of the different mineral assemblages with a median value of 78%. Partially exposed (20-80% exposure) grains are less common overall with a median value of 19% of the mineral assemblage. Only a small portion of sulphide grains (\leq 5%) are fully locked within the mineral matrix across all samples (Appendix B).

STATIC TEST RESULTS GOLDBORD PROJECT: GEOCHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION & SOURCE TERMS



Figure 3-4: Photomicrographs of various sulphide minerals observed in the Goldboro mineralogy samples. (a) Subhedral, isometric arsenopyrite grain (reflected PPL; FOV ~ 1.4 mm); (b) twinned, euhedral, rhombic arsenopyrite grains in contact with an agglomerate of plat biotite filling pressure shadow (reflected XPL; FOV ~ 1.4 mm); (c) partially oxidized, anhedral pyrrhotite with corroded grain boundaries reflected (PPL; FOV ~ 0.55 mm); (d) microcrack filled with pyrite (reflected PPL; FOV ~ 1.4 mm).

Sample ID	Deals Terms				Mean Particle	Size (µm)		
Sample ID	коск туре	All	Pyrrhotite	Pyrite	Arsenopyrite	Calcite	Dolomite	Ankerite
HC1	argillite	24	6.2	9.4	12	10	8.1	7.8
HC2	argillite	26	17	9.7	14	19	5.6	6.3
НС3	greywacke	32	12	10	6.6	16	6.9	7.0
HC4	greywacke	31	16	15	13	8.1	9.1	6.5
HC5	50% argillite, 50% greywacke	29	20	13	14	10	5.6	6.3
HC6	greywacke marker unit	31	17	17	29	20	7.2	17
GB-2020-014	argillite	32	10	14	5.6	19	10	13
GB-2020-060	argillite	26	17	7.4	15	11	5.8	6.8
GB-2020-080	argillite	30	25	18	25	15	6.6	6.5
GB-2020-104	argillite	25	20	14	45	21	12	6.9
GB-LX2021-34	argillite	26	22	7.1	9.4	11	5.9	6.5
GB-2020-065	argillite + quartz vein	24	15	16	28	6.6	5.8	7.2
GB-2020-049	greywacke	27	21	14	34	19	7.0	7.2
GB-2020-057	greywacke	35	22	13	33	16	N/A	6.9
GB-2020-076	greywacke	35	29	14	28	19	7.9	7.0
GB-2020-106	greywacke	25	19	19	27	14	8.0	11
GB-NM2021-34	greywacke	35	13	24	32	19	5.6	7.2
GB-NM2021-29	greywacke marker unit	35	57	11	16	15	20	6.8
GB-NM2021-30	greywacke marker unit	32	18	21	13	23	5.6	7.3
GB-2020-051	greywacke + quartz vein	30	21	10	23	19	8.8	7.2

Table 3-1: Mean Particle Size (in μm) Distribution Measured by QEMSCAN

3-8

The carbonate mineral abundance is relatively low, falling below 1% in all samples analyzed with the exception of HC6. The argillite sub-population shows the lowest carbonate contents relatively consistently. Carbonate minerals identified include calcite, dolomite, and ankerite and occur at variable proportions (Figure 3-1c). Calcite appears to show a slightly higher abundance in the greywacke population while dolomite only occurs

as a minor phase or is negligible in the tested waste rock samples. Both calcite and dolomite, if sufficiently liberated are known to provide effective neutralizing capacity when in contact with acidic waters.

Carbonates were observed most commonly as feldspar replacement patches in association with chlorite in unbedded, recrystallized assemblages (Figure 3-5a, b). On occasion, subhedral and euhedral, relatively coarse carbonate crystals were also observed clustered near the contact to quartz-veins (Figure 3-5c). In one example, this crystal habit was observed in the same rock fragment displaying arsenopyrite clustering. Carbonate minerals are typically finer than sulphides with mean values ranging from 5 to 23 μ m as determined by QEMCSAN analysis (Table 3-1). Most commonly however, the mean diameters measured fall below 20 µm with calcite commonly representing the larger fractions. This may lead to the relatively accelerated depletion of carbonate minerals under non-acidic conditions which could affect the timing of ARD onset. Notably, carbonate phases were found to be slightly less liberated than sulphide phases with the median value falling at 56% (range: 19 to 86%). A larger percentage of carbonate grains are partially exposed, while similarly few carbonate phases are fully locked (Appendix B). The relatively lower liberation of carbonate versus sulphide phases constitutes another control on the timing of ARD in PAG materials since availability of carbonates to buffer acidity from sulphide oxidation may be limited.



Figure 3-5: Photomicrographs of carbonate occurrences in the Goldboro mineralogy samples. (a) Aggregate of subhedral dolomite-ankerite grains (dark, high relief) in association with light-green chlorite patch (PPL; FOV ~ 0.55 mm); (b) replacive carbonate embedded quartz-feldspar matrix (XPL; FOV ~ 0.55 mm); (c) coarse, euhedral calcite grains (left) crystallized adjacent to a quartz vein (right) separated by hydrothermal muscovite. A carbonate filled microcrack (pink) cross-cuts the quartz vein horizontally (PPL; FOV ~ 1.4 mm).

3.1.2 Acid-Base Accounting

The full suite of ABA analyses for all waste rock and ore samples is presented in Appendix C.1. The following discussion focusses on these samples grouped by environmental designation (*i.e.*, NPAG, PAG1, PAG2) and includes all samples from Phase I, Phase II, and the bulk sample stockpile. Subsections of this discussion deal with lithological sample populations (Phase I only) and the kinetic test samples.

Paste pH provides an indication of whether a sample is currently generating acidity at the time of sampling. The paste pH for all samples was above 7.0, indicating that none of the analyze materials are currently acid generating (Table 3-2). There is no trend in paste pH values with respect to NPAG, PAG1 and PAG2 populations.

Total S varies from <0.005 to 1.7% for the full dataset. In general, total S contents increase from NPAG to PAG2 to PAG1 waste rock (median values: 0.029%, 0.086%, and 0.24% respectively). The ore samples have the highest median total S content (0.48%), but overall values fall within the range for the waste rock. The majority of the sulphur inventory is comprised of sulphide S; however, some sulphate S was measured in the samples (Figure 3-6). It should be noted that no sulphate minerals were identified in the mineralogical analysis, and it is thus assumed that sulphate minerals are a negligible portion of the total S inventory. It is therefore assumed that measured sulphate S either represents oxidation products that have accumulated in the stored samples or that the HCl leaching method used for the determination of sulphate S partially dissolves sulphide minerals. Consequently, in accordance with the mineralogical understanding of the deposit and in order to maintain conservatism, total S was used to calculate the AP for all samples. AP is expressed in units of calcium carbonate equivalents (kg CaCO₃/t) and is calculated stoichiometrically as:

$$AP = Total S \times 31.25 \qquad (Eq. 1)$$

Total inorganic C (TIC) is a chemical metric representing the carbonate content of a sample and can be converted into CaNP, also in units of kg CaCO₃/t, as:

$$CaNP = TIC \times 83.33 \qquad (Eq. 2)$$

CaNP in Goldboro mine rock samples is relatively low and shows little variability between NPAG and PAG waste rock (Table 3-2). CaNP ranges from <0.4 to 22 kg CaCO₃/t for the waste rock samples and from 1.5 to 18 kg CaCO₃/t for the ore samples. For the majority of samples, the Modified NP is greater than the CaNP (Figure 3-7). This result suggests that minerals other than carbonate (*i.e.*, aluminosilicates) contribute to NP during the employed test method. This NP is not as readily available as the CaNP; however, when sulphide values are low the NP from the dissolution of non-carbonate minerals may be sufficient to

LORAX

neutralize the low rates of acid production. In order to ensure that the non-carbonate NP is not overestimated, a corrected Modified NP value was calculated by subtracting 5 kg CaCO₃/t from the Modified NP measurement. This results in better agreement between the CaNP and corrected Modified NP (Figure 3-7) and accounts for the more limited liberation observed for carbonate phases relative to sulphide minerals (Appendix B). Samples with significantly higher CaNP than corrected Modified NP are inferred to contain significant ankerite [Ca(Fe, Mg, Mn)(CO₃)₂]. Due to the presence of Fe and/or Mn in this mineral's crystal structure, the dissolution of ankerite will only provide limited buffering capacity where the neutralization potential is inversely proportional to the Fe- and Mn-content of the phase.

The net potential ratio (NPR = NP/AP) represents a metric that can be used to assess whether a sample will be potentially acid generating (PAG). The ARD characteristics of Project geologic materials was defined through the NPR as follows:

- $PAG1 NPR < 1 \text{ or } 1 \le NPR \le 2 \text{ and total } S \ge 0.2 \text{ wt. }\%$
- $PAG2 1 \le NPR \le 2$ and total S < 0.2 wt. %
- NPAG NPR > 2

This classification scheme is in general agreement with recommendations made in Price (2009) and provides increased resolution on PAG risk where PAG1 materials are considered more likely to generate low-pH drainage in the long-term.

NPR (NP/AP) values were calculated as the ratio of both the CaNP and the corrected Modified NP to AP. An operational NPR value was defined as the higher of these two values. In general, the operational NPR is negatively correlated with total S (Figure 3-8). Due to the low variability in NP, the total S content has a stronger control on the environmental classification. Within the ML/ARD database, waste rock is dominantly NPAG (63%), while ore is generally PAG (93%) (Table 3-3). It is important to note however, that the geochemical proxies based on results from this study were imported into the geological block model (prepared by others) to constrain tonnages of PAG1, PAG2, and NPAG rock over the life of mine more accurately.

Parameter	Paste pH	Total S	Sulphate S	Sulphide S	Total C	Inorganic C	CaNP	Corrected Mod. NP	Operational NPR
Units	-	wt.%	wt.%	wt.%	wt.%	wt.%	kg CaCO ₃ /t	kg CaCO ₃ /t	-
Waste Rock (n = 174)									
<i>NPAG</i> (<i>n</i> = 110)									
Min	8.1	< 0.005	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.018	< 0.005	0.42	0.10	2.2
Median	9.5	0.029	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.075	0.044	3.6	4.8	6.7
P90	9.8	0.066	0.041	0.050	0.16	0.091	7.6	16	19
Max	10	0.32	0.13	0.19	0.34	0.27	22	26	32
<i>PAG1</i> ($n = 45$)									
Min	7.9	0.083	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.018	< 0.005	0.42	0.10	0.076
Median	9.2	0.24	0.080	0.16	0.099	0.048	4.0	3.0	0.55
P90	9.6	0.54	0.16	0.42	0.18	0.092	7.6	6.0	0.94
Max	9.8	1.7	0.22	1.5	0.29	0.16	13	12	1.6
<i>PAG2</i> $(n = 19)$									
Min	8.4	0.032	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.017	< 0.005	0.42	1.5	1.0
Median	9.3	0.086	< 0.04	0.050	0.073	0.045	3.8	3.4	1.4
P90	9.8	0.17	0.080	0.10	0.12	0.069	5.8	6.1	1.8
Max	9.9	0.18	0.10	0.14	0.14	0.081	6.8	10	1.8
Ore (n = 15)									
Min	8.1	0.16	0.080	0.080	0.052	0.018	1.5	0.10	0.087
Median	8.9	0.48	0.14	0.35	0.27	0.14	11	5.3	0.68
P90	9.5	0.82	0.22	0.63	0.39	0.20	17	8.0	1.1
Max	9.7	0.95	0.35	0.72	0.43	0.22	18	9.7	3.3

Table 3-2:Summary of Acid Base Accounting Results by NPR Designation

CaNP: Carbonate Neutralization Potential; Mod. NP: Modified NP; NPR: Net Potential Ratio.

PAG: Potentially Acid Generating; NPAG: Non-Potentially Acid Generating; the definition of PAG1, PAG2, and NPAG is discussed in Section 3.1.2.

Light grey shading indicates 1 < NPR < 2; dark grey shading indicates NPR < 1.



Figure 3-6: Sulphide S *versus* Total S (left) and Sulphate S *versus* Total S (right) for mine rock samples.



Figure 3-7: Modified Neutralization Potential (NP) *versus* Carbonate Neutralization Potential (CaNP) (left) and Corrected Modified NP *versus* CaNP (right) for Phase I and Phase II samples.



Figure 3-8: Operational NPR *versus* Total S content for Phase I and Phase II samples. Samples are grouped by NPR designation.

 Table 3-3:

 Proportion of PAG1, PAG2, and NPAG Samples in Goldboro Mine Rock Samples

Class	Waste	Ore
PAG1	26%	93%
PAG2	11%	0%
NPAG	63%	7%

PAG: Potentially Acid Generating; NPAG: Non-Potentially Acid Generating; the definition of PAG1, PAG2, and NPAG is discussed in Section 3.1.2.

3.1.2.1 Lithological Sample Populations (Phase I only)

It is understood that argillite and greywacke are very finely interbedded at the Project and that carrying forward a distinction of these units would be somewhat impractical for mine planning and material segregation. Nevertheless, the static test results from the Phase Ia and Phase Ib were analyzed to determine if there are geochemical trends related to lithology (Table 3-4). The following key observations were made with respect to lithological units:

- There were no notable differences in paste pH between the different lithologies.
- The majority of samples with >0.2% total S are argillite (Figure 3-9). Overall, the median total S for the argillite samples (0.041%) is slightly higher than the median for the greywacke samples (0.031%).
- No notable trends were observed between lithology and NP.
- A slightly higher percentage of argillite samples were classified as PAG1, in comparison to greywacke (20% relative to 13%; Figure 3-10; Table 3-5). However, a comparable percentage of samples from each of these lithologies is classified as NPAG (73% for argillite; 76% for greywacke).
- Rather than being correlated to lithology, the distribution of sulphur content and PAG propensity, like gold, appear to be controlled structurally with higher PAG proportions occurring within or near the hinges of the geologic anticline.
- Less than five samples were collected for minor geological units such as greywacke + quartz vein (n = 3), quartz vein (n = 3), and the greywacke marker unit (n = 4). However, at least one sample from each of these lithologies had higher total S (>0.20%) and was classified as PAG1.

Parameter	Paste pH	Total S	Sulphate S	Sulphide S	Total C	Inorganic C	CaNP	Corrected Mod. NP	Operational NPR
Units	-	wt.%	wt.%	wt.%	wt.%	wt.%	kg CaCO ₃ /t	kg CaCO₃/t	-
Waste Rock									
Greywacke (n = 79)									
Min	8.1	< 0.005	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.017	< 0.005	0.42	0.50	0.16
Median	9.6	0.031	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.063	0.034	2.8	4.2	5.1
Max	10.0	0.32	0.18	0.14	0.21	0.17	14	17	23
Argillite $(n = 51)$									
Min	8.5	< 0.005	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.018	< 0.005	0.42	1.4	0.24
Median	9.3	0.041	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.080	0.050	4.2	3.8	3.8
Max	9.8	0.62	0.21	0.41	0.34	0.27	22	26	32
Greywacke Marker	Unit $(n = 4)$								
Min	8.5	0.066	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.072	0.040	3.3	3.0	0.50
Median	9.4	0.20	0.090	0.095	0.11	0.064	5.3	5.9	1.3
Max	9.7	0.30	0.12	0.20	0.13	0.088	7.3	10	2.3
Greywacke + Quartz	z Vein $(n = 3)$								
Min	9.0	0.14	< 0.04	0.12	0.048	0.018	1.5	0.10	0.17
Max	9.3	0.37	0.080	0.29	0.11	0.068	5.7	5.4	1.0
Quartz Vein $(n = 3)$									
Min	8.1	< 0.005	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.024	< 0.005	0.42	0.10	0.25
Max	9.3	0.32	0.090	0.23	0.11	0.068	5.7	6.1	2.7
Ore									
Argillite $(n = 6)$									
Min	8.9	0.26	0.12	0.14	0.052	0.018	1.5	1.3	0.087
Median	9.1	0.47	0.20	0.27	0.10	0.058	4.8	4.1	0.45
Max	9.7	0.95	0.35	0.60	0.40	0.19	16	6.5	0.92
Argillite + Quartz V	ein (n = 3)								
Min	8.5	0.16	0.080	0.080	0.13	0.076	6.3	0.10	0.68
Max	9.3	0.48	0.16	0.32	0.37	0.20	17	6.3	3.3

 Table 3-4:

 Summary of Acid Base Accounting Results by Lithology

CaNP: Carbonate Neutralization Potential; Mod. NP: Modified NP; NPR: Net Potential Ratio.

PAG: Potentially Acid Generating; NPAG: Non-Potentially Acid Generating; the definition of PAG1, PAG2, and NPAG is discussed in Section 3.1.2.

Light grey shading indicates 1 < NPR < 2; dark grey shading indicates NPR < 1.



Figure 3-9: Sulphide S versus Total S for Phase I samples.



Figure 3-10: Operational NPR *versus* Total S content for Phase I samples.

Lithology			NPAG		PAG1	PAG2		
Lithology	n	n	Percent of samples	n	Percent of samples	n	Percent of samples	
Waste Rock								
Greywacke	79	60	76%	10	13%	9	11%	
Argillite	51	37	73%	10	20%	4	8%	
Greywacke Marker Unit	4	1	25%	2	50%	1	25%	
Argillite + Quartz Vein	1	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	
Greywacke + Quartz Vein	3	0	0%	2	67%	1	33%	
Quartz Vein	3	1	33%	2	67%	0	0%	
Ore								
Argillite	6	0	0%	6	100%	0	0%	
Argillite + Quartz Vein	3	1	33%	2	67%	0	0%	

Table 3-5: Summary of Number and Percentage of NPAG, PAG1 and PAG2 Samples for Each Lithology - Phase I

n: sample count; PAG: Potentially Acid Generating; NPAG: Non-Potentially Acid Generating; the definition of PAG1, PAG2, and NPAG is discussed in Section 3.1.2.

3.1.2.2 Kinetic Test Subsamples

The ABA results for the humidity cells and the field bin subsamples are summarized in Table 3-6. The initial four humidity cell samples were selected to represent median and high total S values for argillite (HC1, HC2) and greywacke (HC3, HC4). Subsamples selected to represent median total S are NPAG and have close to median values for all NPAG samples, while subsamples that were selected to represent high total S are classified as PAG1 and have close to median total S values for this unit (Figure 3-11). The HC6 (greywacke marker unit) subsample is classified as PAG1 and also has a median S content representative of this unit. The PAG2 humidity cell (HC5) shows 25th percentile total S for this NPR designation.

The total S content of the NPAG field bin subsamples (FB-2 and FB-4) was slightly lower than median values for all NPAG samples in the static testing database (between 25th percentile and median). It should be noted that FB-2 was selected to target PAG1 material; however, the subsample from this field bin contains low total S (0.020%) and is classified as NPAG. This discrepancy may be due to sample heterogeneity and the field bin containing a greater proportion of NPAG material than expected. The field bin containing bulk stockpile sample material (FB-1) and FB-3 were classified as PAG1 and exhibit a relatively high total S content for PAG1 samples in the static testing database (75th

percentile value or greater). The sample selection for FB-3 attempted to target PAG2 material and the greywacke lithology; however, due to the high total S, this field bin is classified as PAG1.

Kinetic test samples generally fall within the 25th to 75th percentile window of corrected Modified NP for the respective NPR designations (Figure 3-12). The only exception was HC6 which had approximately 90th percentile corrected Modified NP in comparison to the dataset for the corresponding PAG1 population. The kinetic test program as a whole captures the range of lithologies and environmental classes expected to be exposed at site during operations and can be considered representative of the ABA variability displayed by the static test population.

				J =				8			
Sample ID	Rock Type	Grade	Paste pH	Total S	Sulphate S	Sulphide S	Total C	CaNP	Corrected Mod. NP	Operational NPR	Designation
			-	wt.%	wt.%	wt.%	wt.%	kg CaCO ₃ /t	kg CaCO ₃ /t	-	
Humidity	Cells							·			·
HC1	argillite	waste	9.6	0.034	< 0.04	0.040	0.11	3.5	4.3	4.0	NPAG
HC2	argillite	waste	9.3	0.22	0.050	0.17	0.11	3.8	4.1	0.59	PAG I
HC3	greywacke	waste	9.9	0.032	< 0.04	0.040	0.055	1.7	4.6	4.6	NPAG
HC4	greywacke	waste	9.2	0.22	0.040	0.18	0.024	0.42	1.7	0.25	PAG I
HC5	50% argillite, 50% greywacke	waste	9.7	0.054	< 0.04	0.070	0.063	1.9	2.5	1.5	PAG II
HC6	greywacke marker unit	waste	9.3	0.21	0.050	0.16	0.13	6.4	6.8	1.1	PAG I
Field Bins	5							'			
FB-1	Mixed Ore- Bulk sample stockpile	ore	9.5	0.46	0.090	0.37	0.33	17	2.4	1.2	PAG I
FB-2	PAG1, mixed lithology	waste	9.8	0.020	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.099	3.7	7.6	12	NPAG
FB-3	PAG2, greywacke	waste	9.8	0.39	0.14	0.25	0.062	2.1	4.2	0.35	PAG I
			1								

0.073

< 0.04

2.8

 Table 3-6:

 Summary of Kinetic Test Sample Acid Base Accounting Results

Notes:

FB-4

CaNP: Carbonate Neutralization Potential; Mod. NP: Modified NP; NPR: Net Potential Ratio.

waste

PAG: Potentially Acid Generating; NPAG: Non-Potentially Acid Generating; the definition of PAG1, PAG2, and NPAG is discussed in Section 3.1.2.

0.020

< 0.04

9.7

Light grey shading indicates 1 < NPR < 2; dark grey shading indicates NPR < 1.

NPAG, argillite

NPAG

9.9

6.2



Figure 3-11: Box and whisker plots showing the humidity cell and field bin total S values in comparison with the range determined for the static test population, grouped by NPR designation.



Figure 3-12: Box and whisker plots showing the humidity cell and field bin Corrected Modified Neutralization Potential (NP) in comparison with the range determined for the static test population, grouped by NPR designation.

The NAG pH test defines PAG samples as those with a NAG pH below 4.5, while a sample is considered NPAG if the NAG pH is above 4.5 (Price, 2009). A higher NAG pH indicates that the sample contains sufficient neutralizing capacity to buffer acidity produced by sulphide mineral oxidation. The results indicate that the majority of samples classified as PAG1 (4 of 5 samples) have a NAG pH < 4.5, while all PAG2 samples have a NAG pH > 4.5 (Table 3-7). This result supports the NPR designation of PAG1, which is considered to have a higher ARD risk than PAG2. The one PAG1 sample that had NAG pH > 4.5 had a slightly higher operational NPR relative to other PAG1 samples (close to 1).

Rock Type	Sample ID	Operational NPR	Designation	NAG pH
	GB-2020-014	3.2	NPAG	7.2
	GB-2020-002	0.66	PAG1	4.1
	GB-2020-029	0.33	PAG1	3.7
Argillite	GB-2020-069	0.36	PAG1	2.9
	GB-LX2021-34	0.96	PAG1	7.9
	GB-2020-012	1.7	PAG2	6.2
	GB-2020-080	1.4	PAG2	5.9
	GB-2020-076	0.57	PAG1	4.2
Groomsalaa	GB-2020-009	1.1	PAG2	6.8
Greywacke	GB-2020-057	1.7	PAG2	7.6
-	GB-2020-030	1.2	PAG2	7.4

 Table 3-7:

 NAG pH Results for Selected Mine Rock Samples

Notes: NPR: Net Potential Ratio.

PAG: Potentially Acid Generating; NPAG: Non-Potentially Acid Generating; the definition of PAG1, PAG2, and NPAG is discussed in Section 3.1.2.

Grey shading indicates a NAG pH value below 4.5.

3.1.3 Solid Phase Metals

The results of the solid phase elemental analysis are presented in Appendix C.1. The initial discussion focusses on these samples grouped by NPR designation and includes all samples from Phase I, Phase II, and the bulk sample stockpile. Lithological trends (Phase I only) and the kinetic test samples are discussed in subsections.

Elements that are greater than 3x their respective AUCCA values (Rudnick and Gao, 2014) in waste rock samples include Ag, As, Bi, Cd, Cr, Li, Mo, Pb, Sb, Se, Sn, and Zn (Table 3-8; Appendix C.1). Of these, at least one sample is above 10x the AUCCA for Ag, As, Bi, Cd, Mo, Pb, and Sb. Arsenic is the main element of concern and is above 3x the AUCCA in 99% of waste rock samples and above 10x the AUCCA in 73% of samples. There is a

positive correlation between As and total S, which also correlates with NPR designation (Figure 3-13). Median As content increases from a median of 62 ppm for NPAG samples up to 1,700 ppm for PAG1 samples. The ore population has the highest median As content (5,700 ppm). Ore also has the higher median value for Cd, Cr, Mo, Pb, and Sb and the highest maximum Zn content; however, with the exception of Pb and Zn, metals contents were measured in the PAG1 samples. Species present at an elevated concentration in the solid phase may not necessarily become a metal leaching issue and vice versa. There are several factors that influence the leaching rates of elements, including the mineral association and stability, as well as the chemistry of the water coming in contact with the rocks. To that end, the solid-phase elemental composition provides a means to quantify the geochemical variability of ML/ARD samples and flag species for further evaluation during leach testing.

3.1.3.1 Lithological Sample Populations (Phase I only)

Solid phase metal results for Phase Ia and Phase Ib samples grouped by lithology are presented in Table 3-9. Metal contents are generally similar between the different lithologies. However, there is some indication that argillite has a higher As content (median: 140 ppm) relative to greywacke (median: 50 ppm) (Figure 3-13).

3.1.3.2 *Kinetic Test Subsamples*

The metal concentrations that are elevated in the kinetic test subsamples are similar to those for the broader static testing database. Metals with values above 3x the AUCCA in at least one kinetic test sample include As, Cr, Mo, and Sb (Table 3-10). Of these, only As and Sb contests are shown to exceed 10x the AUCCA. Arsenic concentrations are elevated in all kinetic test subsamples, while Sb is only elevated above 10x AUCCA in FB-1 (bulk sample stockpile) and FB-3 (PAG1, greywacke).

Relative to the static test database As values, the NPAG kinetic tests show values close to the respective median (HC3 and FB-2) and 75th percentile (HC1 and FB-4) As contents (Figure 3-14). The PAG1 kinetic tests show a range of As contents, ranging from less than the 25th percentile (HC2), close to the 25th percentile (HC4), median (HC6), 75th percentile (FB-3), and 90th percentile (FB-1). The only PAG2 kinetic test (HC5) has an As content between the median and 75th percentile values for this unit. In conclusion, kinetic test samples provide a wide range of As contents suitable to assess As leaching potential of waste rock and ore.

Parameter	As	Ca	Cd	Co	Cr	Cu	Fe	K	Mg	Mn	Мо	Na	Ni	Pb	Sb	Zn
Units	μg/g	%	µg/g	µg/g	μg/g	μg/g	%	%	%	μg/g	μg/g	%	μg/g	μg/g	μg/g	μg/g
AUCCA	4.8	2.57	0.09	17.3	92	28	3.92	2.32	1.5	774	1.1	2.43	47	17	0.4	67
Waste Rock	(n = 174	.)														
NPAG (n = 1)	110)															
Min	3.7	0.029	< 0.02	0.11	5.1	2.1	0.24	0.018	0.0038	46	0.10	0.012	0.60	< 0.05	< 0.8	0.70
P10	18	0.66	< 0.02	7.0	22	9.8	2.1	1.2	0.65	509	0.30	1.1	17	8.9	< 0.8	36
Median	62	0.89	0.050	11	40	16	3.0	1.9	0.97	695	0.40	1.6	26	17	< 0.8	62
P90	380	1.3	0.12	17	111	36	4.3	3.2	1.5	921	0.80	1.9	40	37	1.2	110
Max	2600	1.9	1.8	23	250	55	5.8	5.5	1.9	1500	3.2	2.1	51	190	6.5	450
PAG1 (n = 4	(5)															
Min	74	0.29	< 0.02	4.0	18	3.2	1.2	0.71	0.38	230	0.20	0.39	11	9.9	< 0.8	21
P10	234	0.41	0.040	6.5	23	5.7	2.0	1.4	0.57	410	0.30	0.83	16	10	< 0.8	34
Median	1700	0.73	0.14	9.7	69	20	2.9	2.0	0.94	640	0.50	1.3	25	20	1.8	55
P90	6360	1.0	0.40	19	200	48	4.7	3.8	1.6	790	3.2	1.9	45	53	7.8	98
Max	20000	1.6	3.2	30	330	69	5.4	4.7	1.7	1000	36	2.2	61	150	32	160
PAG2 (n = 1)	9)															
Min	29	0.4	< 0.02	6.0	17	9.9	1.9	1.4	0.52	380	0.20	0.73	15	11	< 0.8	26
P10	51	0.58	< 0.02	8.1	24	15	2.3	1.5	0.75	468	0.28	0.94	20	13	< 0.8	42
Median	220	0.82	0.11	11	38	23	3.2	2.2	1.1	640	0.40	1.6	28	20	< 0.8	70
P90	1680	0.97	0.66	18	112	39	4.6	3.1	1.6	818	0.92	1.9	43	46	2.2	142
Max	2100	1.1	8.0	22	150	48	5.6	5.6	1.9	960	6.6	2.0	51	56	3.3	230
Ore (n = 15)																
Min	590	0.37	0.050	7.6	28	13	2.3	1.7	0.72	430	0.20	0.33	25	16	0.90	43
P10	1120	0.45	0.064	10	43	16	2.46	2.2	0.7	480	0.30	0.42	25	23	1.7	50
Median	5700	0.64	0.17	14	90	31	3.3	3	1.1	660	0.60	0.99	34	30	5.5	58
P90	12600	1.04	0.36	20	106	55	4.86	4.22	1.7	970	3.0	1.3	46	52	9.4	116
Max	13000	1.1	2.0	22	150	57	5.9	5	1.8	1000	3.2	1.5	50	170	11	860

 Table 3-8:

 Summary of Solid Phase Metal Results for Selected Parameters - by NPR Designation

Solid phase metals by four-acid digestion.

AUCCA: Average Upper Continental Crustal Abundance (Rudnick and Gao, 2014).

Light grey shading indicates a value >3x AUCCA; Dark grey shading indicates a value >10x AUCCA

PAG: Potentially Acid Generating; NPAG: Non-Potentially Acid Generating; the definition of PAG1, PAG2, and NPAG is discussed in Section 3.1.2.



Figure 3-13: Arsenic *versus* Total S content for by NPR designation (top) and lithology (bottom).

Parameter	As	Ca	Cd	Со	Cr	Cu	Fe	K	Mg	Mn	Мо	Na	Ni	Pb	Sb	Zn
Units	µg/g	%	µg/g	μg/g	μg/g	μg/g	%	%	%	μg/g	μg/g	%	μg/g	µg/g	μg/g	μg/g
AUCCA	4.8	2.6	0.09	17	92	28	3.9	2.3	1.5	774	1.1	2.4	47	17	0.40	67
Waste Rock																
Greywacke (n = 79)																
Min	12	0.32	< 0.02	5.1	14	4.9	1.8	0.81	0.55	410	0.20	1.1	12	3.3	< 0.8	34
Median	50	0.84	0.050	9.2	31	15	2.7	1.9	0.86	620	0.40	1.7	22	15	< 0.8	48
Max	2300	1.6	8.0	19	250	48	4.4	3.8	1.5	970	36	2.1	42	100	3.5	280
Argillite $(n = 51)$																
Min	33	0.29	< 0.02	8.5	24	8.7	2.2	1.1	0.74	500	0.10	0.39	20	9.0	< 0.8	37
Median	140	0.89	0.070	16	51	28	4.1	2.8	1.4	760	0.40	1.2	37	21	< 0.8	82
Max	2700	1.6	0.47	30	240	69	5.8	5.6	1.9	1400	15	1.6	61	150	6.6	160
<i>Greywacke Marker Unit</i> $(n = 4)$																
Min	760	0.42	< 0.02	2.6	8.1	2.6	0.87	0.54	0.24	200	0.40	0.69	5.6	4.7	< 0.8	14
Median	945	0.67	0.080	6.2	20	15	2.0	1.5	0.57	395	0.50	1.9	15	11	< 0.8	33
Max	1200	0.74	0.15	7.0	30	28	2.1	1.5	0.63	670	0.90	2.2	17	13	3.3	41
Argillite + Quartz Vein $(n = 1)$	160	1.9	0.090	15	190	13	4.2	1.4	1.6	1000	0.70	1.3	35	25	0.80	110
Greywacke + Quartz Vein (n = 3)																
Min	1600	0.37	0.080	6.6	18	3.2	1.2	0.71	0.38	230	0.40	1.1	13	14	1.8	30
Max	2200	0.60	0.28	8.4	26	17	2.4	2.1	0.85	620	0.50	1.3	21	130	2.1	70
Quartz Vein $(n = 3)$																
Min	3.7	0.029	< 0.02	0.11	5.1	2.1	0.24	0.018	0.0038	46	0.50	0.012	0.60	< 0.05	< 0.8	0.70
Max	3200	0.59	0.30	6.1	28	6.5	1.5	1.6	0.48	620	0.70	1.4	13	19	4.8	23
Ore																
Argillite $(n = 6)$																
Min	590	0.43	0.060	16	41	34	4.0	3.4	1.4	590	0.20	0.43	34	23	1.5	88
Median	2450	0.79	0.15	19	57	51	4.7	3.8	1.7	920	0.35	1.2	43	26	2.4	105
Max	13000	1.1	2.0	22	96	57	5.9	5.0	1.8	1000	0.60	1.5	50	170	9.8	860
Argillite + Quartz Vein $(n = 3)$																
Min	800	0.37	0.050	7.6	49	18	2.3	2.0	0.77	430	0.30	0.33	25	16	0.90	53
Max	5700	1.1	0.34	16	95	40	3.5	3.5	1.3	660	0.90	1.3	35	32	3.9	88

 Table 3-9:

 Summary of Solid Phase Metal Results for Selected Parameters by Lithology

Notes: Solid phase metals by four-acid digestion.

AUCCA: Average Upper Continental Crustal Abundance (Rudnick and Gao, 2014).

Light grey shading indicates a value >3x AUCCA; Dark grey shading indicates a value >10x AUCCA

					Hu	midity Cells		Field Bins					
			HC1	HC2	HC3	HC4	HC5	HC6	FB-1	FB-2	FB-3	FB-4	
Para- meters	Units	AUCCA	argillite	argillite	greywacke	greywacke	50% argillite, 50% greywacke	greywacke marker unit	Mixed ore, bulk sample	PAG1, mixed lithology	PAG2, greywacke	NPAG, argillite	
As	µg/g	4.8	220	540	68	1000	360	1900	6400	80	3100	190	
Ca	%	2.6	0.93	0.98	1.4	0.52	1.1	1.0	0.80	0.79	0.70	0.76	
Cd	µg/g	0.090	0.070	0.14	0.20	0.070	0.16	0.13	0.11	0.060	0.080	0.080	
Со	µg/g	17	16	15	11	10	15	8.0	11	10	9.0	14	
Cr	µg/g	92	190	170	180	300	78	56	28	98	146	109	
Cu	µg/g	28	17	39	17	17	20	19	20	15	16	22	
Fe	%	3.9	4.3	4.9	3.7	3.7	4.1	2.6	3.2	2.7	2.6	3.6	
K	%	2.3	3.9	4.1	2.9	2.2	3.3	1.8	3.0	2.1	1.7	2.6	
Mg	%	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.2	0.94	1.4	0.79	1.0	0.82	0.66	1.1	
Mn	µg/g	774	800	830	870	650	810	730	660	590	500	690	
Mo	µg/g	1.1	4.1	2.7	0.50	6.5	0.60	0.60	0.90	0.40	0.60	0.50	

 Table 3-10:

 Solid Phase Metals Summary for Kinetic Test Samples

Notes: Solid phase metals by four-acid digestion.

AUCCA: Average Upper Continental Crustal Abundance (Rudnick and Gao, 2014).

Light grey shading indicates a value >3x AUCCA; Dark grey shading indicates a value >10x AUCCA





3.1.4 Shake Flask Extractions

The SFE results for the subset of static test samples (n = 31) and the kinetic test samples are summarized in Table 3-11 and Table 3-12, respectively. The full database is included in Appendix C.1. The SFE results are compared to the Nova Scotia Tier I Environmental Quality Standards (EQS) for Surface Water and Groundwater Discharging to Surface Water (NSECC, 2021), which are used for screening purposes to provide an indication of parameters that may become an environmental concern. Site-wide water quality modelling will provide a more accurate evaluation of specific parameter exceedances in mine contact water and the downstream environment.

SFE leachate samples are generally slightly alkaline (pH 7.8 to 10) and have low sulphate concentrations (\leq 51 mg/L). Metal concentrations are generally low and below their respective Tier 1 EQS. Parameters with values above the Tier 1 EQS include Al and As for all samples. Aluminum concentrations are often elevated in SFE tests due to colloids passing through the filter due to the high TSS generated by stirring a crushed sample. As such, the elevated Al concentrations measured in the pH-neutral SFE tests are likely not a reliable indication for contact water chemistry.

The elevated As concentrations confirm As as a parameter of concern for the site even under neutral drainage conditions. Arsenic concentrations range from 0.057 to 4.9 mg/L for waste rock samples. One of the bulk sample stockpile subsamples has the highest As concentration for the dataset (7.5 mg/L). There is a positive correlation between SFE As

concentration and solid phase As content (Figure 3-15). Samples with less than 1,000 ppm solid phase As show a range of SFE As concentrations (0.057 to 1.3 mg/L) with minimal correlation between the two parameters. There is no notable difference in SFE As concentration between the different lithologies; however, in general, As concentrations leached from PAG1 material is higher relative to NPAG and PAG2 samples (Figure 3-15).

3.1.5 Quality Assurance/Quality Control

In addition to the internal QA/QC programs conducted at the laboratories, seven duplicate samples were collected from drill core and submitted for ABA and solid phase metals. In order to compare the results, the relative percent difference (RPD) was calculated as:

$$\frac{|Result A - Result B|}{Average of Result A + Result B} \times 100\%$$

Solid phase samples generally have a high degree of heterogeneity; however, the majority of the results are within an RPD of 50%, with the following exceptions:

- GB-2020-98/GB-2020-116: total S (93%), Cd (133%), and Pb (83%);
- GB-2020-19/GB-2020-117: total S (157%), As (106%), Cr (72%), Cu (76%), and Na (76%);
- GB-2020-36/GB-2020-118: total S (149%), Sulphate S (55%), As (123%), Bi (70%), and Mo (67%);
- GB-2020-54/GB-2020-119: Bi (88%), Cd (120%), and Cu (108%);
- GB-2020-76/GB-2020-120: Acid Potential (100%), total S (76%), sulphide S (100%), NPR (73%), As (144%), Bi (120%), Cr (80%), Na (82%), and Pb (157%);
- GB-LX2021-04/DUP: no parameters with RPD >50%; and
- GB-LX2021-27/GB-LX2021-36: no parameters with RPD >50%.

Donomotor	TIn:ta	Tier 1	N]]	$\mathbf{PAG1}\ (\mathbf{n}=13)$	1	$\mathbf{PAG2}\ (\mathbf{n}=5)$				
Farameter	Units	EQS	Min	Median	Max	Min	Median	Max	Min	Median	Max
pН	No unit	6.5-9.0	8.1	8.9	9.8	6.9	8.0	9.9	9.8	9.9	10
Sulphate	mg/L	128	2.0	2.0	10	2.0	5.5	49	2.0	2.0	2.0
Hg	mg/L	0.000026	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Ag	mg/L	0.00025	0.000050	0.000050	0.000050	0.000050	0.000050	0.000050	0.000050	0.000050	0.000050
Al	mg/L	0.0050	0.33	0.88	3.9	0.17	0.72	2.5	1.3	1.7	3.0
As	mg/L	0.0050	0.057	0.37	0.77	0.13	1.4	4.9	0.25	0.73	1.1
Ba	mg/L	1.0	0.00090	0.0035	0.014	0.00087	0.0048	0.011	0.0059	0.0091	0.011
Ca	mg/L	-	0.49	2.7	6.6	0.56	3.1	16	0.80	1.5	2.9
Cd	mg/L	0.000090	< 0.000003	< 0.000003	0.000010	< 0.000003	< 0.000003	0.000026	< 0.000003	0.0000050	0.0000070
Со	mg/L	0.0010	0.0000080	0.000075	0.00070	0.000041	0.000082	0.00038	0.00022	0.00037	0.00049
Cr	mg/L	0.0010	0.000080	0.00035	0.0014	0.00010	0.00039	0.0011	0.00074	0.0011	0.0011
Cu	mg/L	0.0020	0.00020	0.00030	0.0012	0.00030	0.00050	0.0012	0.00070	0.0010	0.0010
Fe	mg/L	0.30	0.016	0.12	0.73	0.0070	0.13	0.63	0.38	0.59	0.72
K	mg/L	-	6.0	11	22	4.5	21	30	7.7	14	22
Mg	mg/L	-	0.26	0.35	0.97	0.25	0.48	2.5	0.20	0.38	0.55
Mn	mg/L	0.43	0.00060	0.0031	0.018	0.0030	0.0076	0.016	0.011	0.014	0.015
Мо	mg/L	0.073	0.00012	0.00045	0.0033	0.00028	0.00060	0.0017	0.00025	0.00042	0.00099
Na	mg/L	-	3.9	5.7	14	2.3	4.7	13	3.6	5.5	20
Ni	mg/L	0.025	0.00010	0.00090	0.0051	0.00020	0.0040	0.017	0.00080	0.0046	0.0080
Pb	mg/L	0.0010	0.000030	0.00021	0.0016	0.000020	0.00044	0.0029	0.00034	0.00090	0.0020
Sb	mg/L	0.0090	0.0011	0.0034	0.0096	0.0016	0.0041	0.012	0.0020	0.0026	0.0042
Se	mg/L	0.0010	0.000040	0.00014	0.00040	0.000040	0.00030	0.00067	0.000040	0.000060	0.00010
Tl	mg/L	0.00080	0.0000050	0.0000060	0.000022	0.0000050	0.000011	0.000042	0.0000070	0.000018	0.000036
U	mg/L	0.015	0.000093	0.00032	0.00058	0.000024	0.00033	0.00059	0.00033	0.00045	0.0014
V	mg/L	0.12	0.0032	0.011	0.020	0.0019	0.011	0.015	0.012	0.014	0.016
Zn	mg/L	0.0070	0.0020	0.0020	0.0050	0.0020	0.0020	0.0030	0.0020	0.0020	0.0030

 Table 3-11:

 Summary of Shake Flask Extraction Results for Waste Rock Samples

PAG: Potentially Acid Generating; NPAG: Non-Potentially Acid Generating; the definition of PAG1, PAG2, and NPAG is discussed in Section 3.1.2. Grey shading indicates a concentration above the Tier 1 Environmental Quality Standards (EQS) for Surface Water (NSECC, 2021).

			HC1	HC2	HC3	HC4	HC5	HC6	FB-1	FB-2	FB-3	FB-4
		Tior 1	NPAG	PAG1	NPAG	PAG1	PAG2	PAG1	PAG1	NPAG	PAG1	NPAG
Parameter	Units	EQS	Argillite	Argillite	Greywacke	Greywacke	50% argillite, 50% greywacke	Greywacke marker unit	Bulk stockpile	Mixed lithology	Greywacke	Argillite
pH	No unit	6.5-9.0	8.2	8.2	9.2	7.8	9.8	9.2	8.0	8.6	8.0	8.6
Sulphate	mg/L	128	2.0	17	5.0	32	<2	23	13	<2	2.0	<2
Hg	mg/L	0.000026	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Al	mg/L	0.0050	1.00	0.73	1.7	0.25	2.3	0.43	0.52	0.61	0.54	0.81
As	mg/L	0.0050	0.97	0.56	0.67	0.36	0.58	0.78	5.5	0.44	1.7	0.47
Ba	mg/L	1.0	0.0024	0.0070	0.0037	0.0024	0.014	0.0052	0.0027	0.0037	0.0028	0.0051
Ca	mg/L	-	3.0	5.1	1.2	4.4	1.9	11	10	1.4	1.4	1.1
Cd	mg/L	0.000090	0.0000040	0.0000070	0.0000050	0.0000080	0.0000050	0.0000030	0.0000060	< 0.000003	< 0.000003	0.0000040
Co	mg/L	0.0010	0.000082	0.000092	0.00029	0.000088	0.00042	0.00013	0.000017	0.000081	0.000096	0.00012
Cr	mg/L	0.0010	0.00019	0.00016	0.00061	0.00016	0.0016	0.00015	0.000090	0.00037	0.00043	0.00047
Cu	mg/L	0.0020	0.00030	0.00030	0.00050	0.00050	0.0010	0.0021	0.00040	0.00040	0.00020	0.00020
Fe	mg/L	0.30	0.069	0.052	0.21	0.036	0.80	0.054	0.031	0.16	0.14	0.17
K	mg/L	-	20	24	13	12	16	13	18	7.8	6.6	9.8
Mg	mg/L	-	0.40	0.74	0.18	0.95	0.53	1.8	0.74	0.19	0.19	0.19
Mn	mg/L	0.43	0.0029	0.0031	0.0058	0.015	0.017	0.028	0.0068	0.0037	0.0042	0.0043
Mo	mg/L	0.073	0.00058	0.0032	0.00053	0.0014	0.00087	0.00089	0.0016	0.00022	0.00066	0.00061
Na	mg/L	-	3.8	7.2	19	18	9.4	6.8	3.5	6.9	9.6	6.5
Ni	mg/L	0.025	0.0019	0.0045	0.0088	0.00080	0.0046	0.014	0.00030	0.00030	0.0011	0.00040
Pb	mg/L	0.0010	0.00020	0.00012	0.00045	0.00019	0.0015	0.00019	0.00025	0.00044	0.00031	0.00057
Sb	mg/L	0.0090	0.0048	0.0033	0.0040	0.0059	0.0044	0.0023	0.0073	0.0046	0.0031	0.0058
Se	mg/L	0.0010	< 0.00004	0.000070	0.000060	0.00022	0.000090	0.000070	0.00014	0.000050	< 0.00004	0.000050
Tl	mg/L	0.00080	0.0000090	0.0000070	0.0000090	0.0000060	0.000024	0.0000090	0.00035	0.0000060	0.0000060	0.0000090
U	mg/L	0.015	0.00067	0.00033	0.00056	0.00011	0.00048	0.00030	0.0057	0.00037	0.00027	0.00027
V	mg/L	0.12	0.014	0.0078	0.018	0.0035	0.017	0.0041	-	0.0094	0.0088	0.010
Zn	mg/L	0.0070	0.0030	< 0.002	< 0.002	0.0040	0.0020	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002

 Table 3-12:

 Summary of Shake Flask Extraction Results - Kinetic Test Samples

PAG: Potentially Acid Generating; NPAG: Non-Potentially Acid Generating; the definition of PAG1, PAG2, and NPAG is discussed in Section 3.1.2. Grey shading indicates a concentration above the Tier 1 Environmental Quality Standards (EQS) for Surface Water (NSECC, 2021).



Figure 3-15: Comparison of SFE arsenic concentration and solid phase arsenic content by NPR designation (top) and by lithology (bottom).

3.2 Tailings

3.2.1 Mineralogy

The 2021 Master Composite tailings sample was submitted for TIMA analysis to assess whether tailings materials are comparable to the mineralogical composition of waste rock and ore and to provide additional insight on mineralogical controls on leaching behaviour. The modal abundances determined for tailings are summarized in Table 3-13. The full TIMA dataset is included in Appendix B. Similar to ore feed and waste materials, the

3-34

tailings mineralogy largely comprises quartz, felspars, and micas with lesser chlorite and clay. The carbonate phase abundance is low (0.59%) and so is the sulphide inventory (0.40%). Sulphide phases are represented by similar amounts of pyrite and arsenopyrite. This is in contrast to the mine rock QEMSCAN data where pyrrhotite was identified at abundances consistently higher than pyrite. It is thus inferred that TIMA method is insensitive to the mineralogical differences between pyrite and pyrrhotite and that the latter was grouped into the pyrite "bin" during analysis. Clarification from the laboratory is outstanding. Sphalerite was identified as an accessory sulphide phase. It should also be noted that small amounts of sulphate phases were detected, likely constituting sulphide oxidation products that may have formed during the metallurgical test procedure.

Mineral	Abundance (%)
Pyrite	0.17
Arsenopyrite	0.23
Other Sulphides	0.010
Quartz	47
Plagioclase	16
K-Feldspar	12
Micas	16
Chlorites	2.1
Clays	3.8
Amphiboles	0.039
Pyroxenes	0.011
Other Silicates	0.85
Fe-Oxides	0.11
Other Oxides	0.33
Carbonates	0.59
Sulphates	0.013
Other	0.64

Table 3-13:Mineralogical Composition of the 2021 Master Composite Tailings Sample

3.2.2 Acid-Base Accounting

All tailings samples had slightly alkaline paste pH values (8.4 to 8.9) while total S contents ranged from 0.16 to 0.57 wt.% (Table 3-14; Appendix C.2). Sample BP1 had the highest total S content and sample LGHP2 had the lowest, consistent with these materials representing high-grade and low-grade ore, respectively. Sulphate S and sulphide S were not measured in the majority of samples; however, the two samples with sulphate S and sulphide S measurements indicate that the majority of the sulphur is present as sulphide S.

As observed for waste rock, NP is relatively low (<15 kg CaCO₃/t) and Modified NP values are greater than CaNP values. A corrected Modified NP was calculated by subtracting 5 kg CaCO₃/t from the Modified NP value (consistent with waste rock). All tailings samples were classified as PAG1, with NPR less than or close to 1.

Seven tailings samples were submitted for NAG pH testing (Table 3-15). Although the ABA results indicated that all samples were PAG, four of the six samples have NAG pH > 4.5, indicating some buffering potential. The other three samples were confirmed as PAG with NAG pH < 4.5. As for mine rock, the environmental classification of tailings will continue to be based on NPR rather than NAG pH measurements.

Parameter	Paste pH	Total S	Sulphate S	Sulphide S	CaNP	Corrected Mod. NP	Operational NPR
Units	-	wt.%	wt.%	wt.%	kg CaCO ₃ /t	kg CaCO ₃ /t	-
2020 Master Composite	8.4	0.41	0.040	0.37	2.5	3.5	0.27
2021 Master Composite	8.4	0.22	<0.01	0.22	7.5	6.1	1.1
BP1	8.8	0.57	-	-	3.9	4.8	0.27
BP2	8.8	0.22	-	-	3.2	3.3	0.48
BP3	8.6	0.29	-	-	3.9	4.3	0.47
LGHP1	8.9	0.24	-	-	3.4	4.5	0.60
LGHP2	8.7	0.16	-	-	2.5	3.0	0.60
LGHP3	8.7	0.31	-	-	3.9	5.0	0.52

Table 3-14: Summary of Acid Base Accounting Results for Tailings Samples

Notes:

CaNP: carbonate neutralization potential; Mod. NP: Modified neutralization potential; NPR: net potential ratio. Light grey shading indicates 1 < NPR < 2; dark grey shading indicates NPR < 1.

Tailings NAG pH Summary						
Sample ID	NAG pH pH Units					
2021 Master Composite	5.9					
BP1	3.0					
BP2	4.9					
BP3	4.2					
LGHP1	4.2					
LGHP2	5.9					
LGHP3	5.9					

Table 3-15:

Notes:

NAG: Net Acid Generation Grey shading indicates a NAG pH < 4.5

3.2.3 Solid Phase Metals

Solid phase metals results are included in Appendix C.2 and summarized in Table 3-16. All tailings samples had As contents above 10x the AUCCA, consistent with findings for mine rock. Other metal contents that were above 3x the AUCCA in at least one tailings sample included Ag, Bi, Cd, Cu, Hg, Mo, Pb, and Sb (Table 3-16). Of these elements, Hg and Sb were above 10x the AUCCA in one sample each.

Table 3-16: Summary of Solid Phase Metal Results for Selected Parameters for Tailings Samples

Parameter	Units	AUCCA	2020 Master Composite	2021 Master Composite	BP1	BP2	BP3	LGHP1	LGHP2	LGHP3
Ag	ppm	0.053	0.30	0.36	0.20	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Al	%	8.15	1.5	1.8	1.6	1.9	1.7	1.7	2.1	1.6
As	ppm	4.8	5040	1589	8080	1440	2020	2050	953	2800
Au	ppb	-	159	-	303	37	16	11	6.2	62
В	ppm	17	<20	-	<20	<20	<20	<20	<20	<20
Ba	ppm	628	95	108	94	124	97	105	161	85
Bi	ppm	0.16	0.40	0.40	0.50	0.20	0.40	0.30	0.30	0.30
Ca	%	2.57	0.33	0.50	0.42	0.36	0.42	0.42	0.33	0.50
Cd	ppm	0.09	0.40	0.24	0.50	0.10	0.20	0.20	0.50	0.10
Co	ppm	17.3	13	9.1	14	15	14	12	16	14
Cr	ppm	92	208	45	204	177	185	194	169	171
Cu	ppm	28	74	247	36	41	41	37	36	34
Fe	%	3.92	3.1	3.4	3.3	3.5	3.3	3.2	3.7	3.2
Hg	ppm	0.05	0.030	0.75	0.010	0.010	0.010	< 0.01	0.030	0.020
K	%	2.32	0.90	0.99	0.93	1.0	0.96	1.0	1.3	0.83
Mg	%	1.5	0.87	0.99	0.94	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.2	0.96
Mn	ppm	774	505	565	557	598	576	611	642	593
Mo	ppm	1.1	7.0	0.81	6.9	5.7	5.8	5.9	5.0	6.4
Na	%	2.43	0.030	0.030	0.014	0.011	0.012	0.014	0.015	0.010
Ni	ppm	47	105	27	123	109	110	113	99	100
Р	%	0.0655	0.064	0.060	0.060	0.065	0.069	0.066	0.072	0.070
Pb	ppm	17	40	36	32	19	26	55	12	34
S	%	-	0.39	0.23	0.52	0.22	0.29	0.26	0.16	0.31
Sb	ppm	0.4	3.7	3.4	4.8	0.70	1.4	1.0	0.50	2.2
Se	ppm	0.09	< 0.5	<1	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Sr	ppm	320	18	22	18	18	21	18	17	20
Th	ppm	10.5	7.0	6.6	6.9	7.2	6.7	6.9	8.0	6.3
Ti	%	0.384	0.12	0.15	0.14	0.16	0.15	0.16	0.18	0.12
Tl	ppm	0.9	0.30	0.41	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.50	0.30
U	ppm	2.7	0.70	1.0	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.90	0.70
V	ppm	97	33	35	33	40	35	37	45	32
W	ppm	1.9	3.6	0.80	2.6	0.90	0.70	1.7	1.0	0.70
Zn	ppm	67	128	128	110	95	97	109	84	107

Notes:

Solid phase metals by aqua regia digestion;

AUCCA: Average Upper Continental Crustal Abundance (Rudnick and Gao, 2014);

Light grey shading indicates a value above 3x the AUCCA;

Dark grey shading indicates a value above 10x the AUCCA.

3.2.4 Shake Flask Extractions

The 2020 and 2021 Master Composite samples, a BP1+BP2 (high-grade) composite, and a LGHP1 + LGHP2 (low grade) composite were submitted for SFE testing (Table 3-17; Appendix C.2). Leachate pH was slightly alkaline for all samples (pH 8.3 to 9.3) and sulphate concentrations were low (<60 mg/L). All samples had Al and As concentrations above the Tier 1 EQS. In addition, the 2020 and 2021 Master Composite leachates both had Cu concentrations above the Tier 1 EQS, while Co and Fe concentrations were above their respective Tier 1 EQS in the 2020 Master Composite leachate only.

Arsenic is the primary parameter of concern for leaching from tailings, as indicated by the elevated SFE concentrations (0.40 to 2.2 mg/L). The other parameters above their Tier 1 EQS are considered lower concern. As previously described in discussion of other SFE tests (Section 3.1.4), elevated dissolved Al concentrations in SFE leachate may be attributed to the high TSS of SFE samples. Cobalt, Cu, and Fe were only elevated in one of the tailings samples. In addition, these concentrations generally remain <2x their respective Tier 1 EQS, with the exception of Cu concentrations in the 2020 Master Composite leachate. High values of Co, Cu, and Fe may in part be due to the enhanced mobility of these species in the presence of residual cyanide (Table 3-17) and reagents added during cyanide destruction.

Parameter	Units	Tier 1 EQS	2020 Master Composite	2021 Master Composite	BP1+BP2 Composite	LGHP 1 + LGHP 2 Composite
pH	pH Units	6.5 - 9.0	8.9	8.3	9.2	9.3
Conductivity	μS/cm	-	173	249	87	76
Sulphate	mg/L	128	44	56	12	11
Total Alkalinity	mg/L	-	27	47	22	18
Nitrate	mg/L	13	< 0.2	< 0.06	-	-
Nitrite	mg/L	0.06	0.090	< 0.03	-	-
Total Ammonia	mg/L	5.7	0.65	0.50	-	-
Free Cyanide	μg/L	5	4.1	-	-	-
SAD Cyanide	mg/L	-	1.8	-	-	-
WAD Cyanide	mg/L	-	0.0074	-	-	-
Al	mg/L	0.005	0.44	0.16	0.40	0.47
Sb	mg/L	0.009	0.0076	0.0073	0.0048	0.0029
As	mg/L	0.005	1.8	0.38	2.2	0.88
Ba	mg/L	1	0.0018	0.0093	0.0015	0.0016
Be	mg/L	0.00015	< 0.000050	< 0.000007	< 0.000050	< 0.000020
В	mg/L	1.5	< 0.25	0.016	< 0.25	< 0.10
Cd	mg/L	0.00009	< 0.000025	0.000011	< 0.000025	< 0.000010
Ca	mg/L	-	8.1	27	11	9.1
Cr	mg/L	0.001	< 0.00050	0.00020	< 0.00050	0.00040
Со	mg/L	0.001	0.0020	0.00071	0.00075	0.00053
Cu	mg/L	0.002	0.0071	0.0032	< 0.00025	0.00035
Fe	mg/L	0.3	0.59	0.013	0.050	0.074
Pb	mg/L	0.001	0.00051	0.00013	0.00018	0.00045
Mg	mg/L	-	0.26	2.0	< 0.25	0.15
Mn	mg/L	0.43	0.0036	0.012	0.0010	0.0015
Р	mg/L	-	0.015	0.0070	0.070	0.046
Mo	mg/L	0.073	0.00095	0.0057	0.0012	0.00083
Ni	mg/L	0.025	0.00057	0.00030	0.00030	0.00022
K	mg/L	-	4.6	9.6	3.5	3.5
Se	mg/L	0.001	< 0.00020	0.00028	< 0.00020	0.00020
Si	mg/L	-	1.5	1.8	2.2	2.1
Ag	mg/L	0.00025	< 0.000025	< 0.00005	< 0.000025	0.000040
Na	mg/L	-	19	13	2.2	2.1
Sr	mg/L	21	0.048	0.17	0.067	0.052
T1	mg/L	0.0008	< 0.000010	0.000030	< 0.000010	0.000013
U	mg/L	0.015	0.00015	0.00021	0.00019	0.00018
V	mg/L	0.12	0.0010	0.00091	0.0018	0.0020
Zn	mg/L	0.007	0.0022	< 0.002	< 0.00050	0.00045
Hg	mg/L	0.000026	< 0.00025	< 0.0001	< 0.00025	< 0.00010

 Table 3-17:

 Summary of Shake Flask Extraction Results for Tailings Samples

SAD cyanide: Strong Acid Dissociable cyanide; WAD cyanide: Weak Acid Dissociable cyanide.

Tier 1 EQS: Tier 1 Environmental Quality Standards (EQS) for Surface Water (NSECC, 2021).

Grey shading indicates a concentration above the Tier 1 EQS.

3.2.5 Particle Size Distribution

The particle size distribution (PSD) results for the 2020 and 2021 Master Composite tailings samples are included in Appendix C.2 and summarized in Figure 3-16. These results were derived by Malvern Particle Size Analyzer. The PSD results show that the two tailings samples have similar particle size distributions, ranging from clay to sand size. The majority of the material is silt-size.



Figure 3-16: Particle Size Distribution for 2020 and 2021 Master Composite Tailings Samples

3.3 Historic Tailings

3.3.1 Acid-Base Accounting

The historic tailings samples have slightly acidic to circumneutral paste pH values (pH 4.3 to 6.4; Table 3-18; Appendix C.3). Five of the six samples contain moderate total S (> 0.20 wt.%), which is present primarily as sulphide S. This is an important finding given the ~100 years of weathering as it indicates that sulphide oxidation in these materials is relatively slow and that sulphide grains may develop oxidation rims inhibiting further degradation over time. Alternatively, this finding may also be a result of the development of an oxidation front within the tailing deposits below which oxidation is limited. Nevertheless, the presence of sulphate S at concentrations higher than those seen in the Master Composite tailings samples or in mine rock, suggests that these materials have undergone some weathering.

Parameter	Paste pH	Total S	Sulphate S	Sulphide S	Modified NP	NPR
Units	-	wt.%	wt.%	wt.%	kg CaCO ₃ /t	-
ANX-A3B	5.2	0.27	0.050	0.22	-6.9	-0.82
ANX-A2A-M	5.3	0.27	0.080	0.19	-5.4	-0.64
ANX-D4A-M	4.3	0.47	0.13	0.34	-5.3	-0.36
ANX-D8A-M	6.4	0.020	0.060	< 0.02	2.7	4.3
ANX-D3A-M	4.8	0.31	0.070	0.24	-2.0	-0.21
ANX-D5A-M	4.7	0.48	0.040	0.44	-2.0	-0.13

 Table 3-18:

 Summary of Acid Base Accounting Results for Historic Tailings Samples

NP: Neutralization potential; NPR: Net potential ratio. NPR is calculated as Modified NP / (Total S x 31.25).

The same five samples lack NP and are thus classified as PAG (NPR < 2). The remaining sample (ANX-D8A-M) contains low total S (0.020 wt.%), minor NP, and is classified as NPAG. Overall, historic tailings should be considered PAG and are expected to generate mildly acidic runoff soon after disturbance.

3.3.2 Solid Phase Metals

Solid phase metal contents were determined by others for the purpose of historic tailings deposit delineation based on As and Hg contents amongst other parameters and are discussed in GHD (2022).

3.3.3 Shake Flask Extractions

Four of the six historic tailings samples were submitted for SFE (Appendix C.3). The pH values of the SFE leachates were generally acidic (pH 3.6 to 5.8; Table 3-19). These relatively low pH values are in agreement with the paste pH values and indicate that runoff from exposed historic tailings is expected to be acidic immediately upon disturbance. Sulphate concentrations ranged from 8.0 to 332 mg/L, with the highest concentration exceeding the Tier 1 EQS for sulphate. One sample (ANX-D5A-M) also had a fluoride concentration marginally above the Tier 1 EQS.

Several metal concentrations are above their respective Tier 1 EQS in at least three of the four samples, including Al, As, Be, Cd, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mn, Ni, and Zn (Table 3-19). In general, lower metal concentrations are measured in ANX-D8A-M leachate. This sample had the highest leachate pH and lowest total S content. Additional metals with concentrations above the Tier 1 EQS in one of the four samples include Sb, Se, and Hg. These results indicate that metal leaching from the historic tailings is expected and is in part driven by acidic conditions. Metal leaching will be mitigated by relocating the historic

tailings to the lined TMF where they will be covered by fresh ROM tailings and will be saturated in early operations. Therefore, the release of contact water from historic tailings within the TMF is not anticipated.

Parameter	Units	Tier 1 EQS	ANX-D4A-M	ANX-D8A-M	ANX-D3A-M	ANX-D5A-M
pН	pH Units	6.5 - 9.0	3.6	5.8	4.2	4.2
Conductivity	µS/cm	-	662	40	277	258
Sulphate	mg/L	128	332	8.0	111	95
Total Alkalinity	mg/L	-	< 0.5	0.60	< 0.5	< 0.5
Fluoride	mg/L	0.12	0.11	0.060	0.11	0.13
Chloride	mg/L	120	2.2	2.4	1.1	2.3
Ammonia (N)	mg/L	5.7*	0.71	0.94	0.56	1.0
Nitrate-N	mg/L	13	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
Nitrite-N	mg/L	0.06	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
TD-P	mg/L	-	1.1	0.13	0.55	1.2
DOC	mg/L	-	7.2	20	11	29
Dissolved Metals						
Al	mg/L	0.005	16	0.38	0.67	1.4
Sb	mg/L	0.009	0.0056	0.0037	0.0069	0.020
As	mg/L	0.005	17	1.4	7.8	16
Ва	mg/L	1	< 0.0004	0.0023	0.092	0.080
Be	mg/L	0.00015	0.0040	0.000048	0.00073	0.00090
В	mg/L	1.5	<1	< 0.1	< 0.5	<1
Cd	mg/L	0.00009	0.011	0.000046	0.0036	0.0036
Са	mg/L	-	13	0.51	11	11
Cr	mg/L	0.001	0.0048	0.0011	< 0.001	0.0022
Со	mg/L	0.001	1.1	0.00079	0.28	0.32
Cu	mg/L	0.002	0.29	0.0074	0.012	0.011
Fe	mg/L	0.3	79	0.48	24	13
Pb	mg/L	0.001	0.0073	0.0023	0.0056	0.019
Mg	mg/L	-	3.7	0.18	1.8	3.8
Mn	mg/L	0.43	1.4	0.029	1.2	0.80
Мо	mg/L	0.073	< 0.001	0.00059	< 0.0005	< 0.001
Ni	mg/L	0.025	2.0	0.0026	0.51	0.48
К	mg/L	-	3.4	2.8	3.8	3.4
Se	mg/L	0.001	0.0011	0.00027	< 0.0004	< 0.0008
Si	mg/L	-	6.3	2.5	5.0	3.2
Ag	mg/L	0.00025	< 0.0001	0.000018	< 0.00005	< 0.0001
Na	mg/L	-	7.2	3.6	2.5	3.9
Sr	mg/L	21	0.12	0.0055	0.15	0.092
Tl	mg/L	0.0008	< 0.00004	0.000037	0.00030	0.00067
U	mg/L	0.015	0.00033	0.00011	0.000020	< 0.00004
V	mg/L	0.12	< 0.004	0.0014	< 0.002	< 0.004
Zn	mg/L	0.007	4.8	0.0042	1.7	1.8
Hg	mg/L	0.000026	< 0.001	0.00010	< 0.0005	< 0.001

 Table 3-19:

 Summary of Shake Flask Extraction Results for Historic Tailings Samples

Notes:

TD-P: Total Dissolved Phosphorus; DOC: Dissolved Organic Carbon;

Tier 1 EQS: Tier 1 Environmental Quality Standards (EQS) for Surface Water (NSECC, 2021);

*Ammonia-N guideline is pH and temperature dependent. Value shown is for pH 7.0 and temperature of 15°C.

Grey shading indicates a concentration above the Tier 1 EQS.
3.4 Overburden

3.4.1 Acid-Base Accounting

Overburden material representing organic substrate (*i.e.*, soil) and till will be stripped in various Project site areas during the construction phase. The largest volume of overburden is expected to be disturbed and removed in the footprint of the open pits. Organics and till will be segregated and stored in temporary stockpiles during operations for later use in the construction of infrastructure (*e.g.*, TMF embankment) and WRSA reclamation covers. The ABA results for the overburden samples are summarized in Table 3-20 with full results presented in Appendix C.4. The majority of the samples have paste pH values below 5.5, indicating that the samples are devoid of readily available neutralization potential such as carbonates. Total S content is relatively low with most samples (8 of 12 soil samples; 15 of 16 till samples) having total S content of less than 0.2%. Although the maximum total S was measured in a till sample (0.34%), overall, the till samples have lower total S (median 0.034%) relative to the soil samples (median 0.098%). Sulphate S is low for all overburden samples ($\leq 0.070\%$) and sulphide S makes up the majority of the sulphur balance.

In accordance with paste pH results, TIC contents are low and frequently below the detection limit in the overburden samples. In contrast, total C is relatively high, particularly for the soil samples (median 30%), indicating a high organic C content as expected for this material type. Due to the low TIC content, the overburden samples have low CaNP and also lack Modified NP. As a result of the low NP for these samples, all soil samples and most till samples (14 of 16 samples) are considered PAG with NPR values below 2.0. The majority of the till samples with NPR < 2.0 (11 samples) also have paste pH < 5.5.

3.4.2 Solid Phase Metals

Solid phase metal results for the soil and till samples are included in Appendix C.4. These results were compared to the AUCCA (Rudnick and Gao, 2014) in order to provide an indication of elemental enrichments (Table 3-21). Elements which had median values above 3x AUCCA included Cd, Hg, and Se for the soil samples. No median values were above 3x AUCCA for the till samples. Additional parameters with maximum values above at least 3x AUCCA included Ag, As, Hg, Pb (till only), and W (soil only). Some solid-phase contents were notably higher in till relative to the soil (*e.g.*, Al, Cr, Fe) while others were notably higher in the soil sampled (*e.g.*, Ag, Ca, Cd, Sr).

Arsenic contents in overburden are lower relative to waste rock; however, the maximum As values for both soil and till samples were above 10x the AUCCA. In general, metal contents are lower in the overburden samples in comparison to waste rock, with Se being

an exception. Selenium content was below the detection limit (<0.70 ppm) in all waste rock samples but was measured at concentrations as high as 4.0 ppm in the overburden samples.

3.4.3 Shake Flask Extractions

SFE tests generally produced leachates with slightly acidic pH consistent with paste pH results. All soil samples and the majority of till samples (6 of 9 samples) showed pH < 5.5, while all samples had pH < 6.5 (Table 3-22; Appendix C.4). For the soil samples especially, it is likely that some of the generated acidity comes from organic phases rather than or alongside with sulphide oxidation. As a result, runoff from overburden stockpiles and soil materials in particular may initially generate acidic runoff. However, due to the relatively low sulphur content, the overburden samples are not expected to generate long-term ARD. The source of acidity will need to be confirmed in future testwork.

There were several metals with elevated concentrations in the leachate for both the soil and till samples. Median values for soil samples above the Tier 1 EQS included Al, As, Cd, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, and Zn, while median values for till samples above the Tier 1 EQS included Al, Cr, Cu, Fe, Pb, Se, and Zn. Maximum values for additional parameters for both soil and till are above the Tier 1 EQS (Table 3-22). These results indicate that there is some metal leaching potential from overburden material under mildly acidic conditions.

Sample	64-42-42-	Paste pH	Total S	Sulphate S	Sulphide S	CaNP	Total C	Mod. NP	NPR
Туре	Statistic	-	%	%	%	kg CaCO ₃ /t	%	kg CaCO ₃ /t	-
Soil (n = 12)	Min	3.2	0.020	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.80	0.28	-67	-176
	P10	3.2	0.043	0.021	< 0.01	< 0.80	4.7	-61	-163
	Median	3.6	0.098	0.050	0.073	< 0.80	30	-42	-11
	P90	4.5	0.24	0.059	0.17	< 0.80	50	-11	-6.1
	Max	5.7	0.24	0.070	0.19	0.83	58	-6.1	-5.1
	Min	4.8	< 0.005	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.80	0.10	-19	-39
TT:11	P10	4.9	0.0075	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.80	0.38	-12	-22
(n - 16)	Median	5.3	0.034	0.010	0.012	< 0.80	2.5	-5.0	-11
(II = 10)	P90	6.9	0.058	0.030	0.034	0.83	4.9	1.0	2.8
	Max	7.2	0.34	0.050	0.29	1.7	21	1.7	5.6

Table 3-20: Summary of Overburden Acid Base Accounting Results

Notes:

CaNP: Carbonate Neutralization Potential; Mod. NP: Modified Neutralization Potential; NPR: Net Potential Ratio. Light grey shading indicates NPR < 2.

Table 3-21:

Summary of Overburden Solid Phase Metal Results

		AUCCA	Soil (n = 12)					Till (n = 16)				
Parameter	Units	AUCCA	Min	P10	Median	P90	Max	Min	P10	Median	P90	Max
Ag	mg/kg	0.053	0.020	0.072	0.13	0.25	3.4	0.010	0.010	0.025	0.060	0.28
Al	%	8.15	0.090	0.13	0.17	1.3	2.0	0.63	0.72	0.96	1.9	2.2
As	ppm	4.8	2.0	2.0	7.5	42	98	3.0	3.5	7.0	39	1278
Ba	ppm	628	14	18	38	49	68	19	20	27	52	90
Be	ppm	2.1	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	0.37	0.40	0.10	0.10	0.20	0.40	0.60
Bi	ppm	0.16	0.050	0.071	0.13	0.16	0.17	0.090	0.090	0.13	0.19	0.32
Ca	%	2.57	0.020	0.032	0.10	0.26	0.31	< 0.10	0.010	0.015	0.10	0.11
Cd	ppm	0.09	0.020	0.033	0.29	0.40	0.44	0.010	0.010	0.020	0.060	0.11
Co	ppm	17.3	0.30	0.40	0.55	3.6	9.9	0.90	1.0	1.7	4.7	9.6
Cr	ppm	92	1.0	1.0	2.0	23	24	11	12	14	23	26
Cu	ppm	28	3.2	4.6	6.5	12	26	1.2	1.7	5.2	11	17
Fe	%	3.92	0.11	0.13	0.32	2.2	2.7	1.0	1.3	1.8	2.5	3.4
Hg	ppm	0.05	< 0.01	0.093	0.18	0.32	0.40	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.040	0.11	0.23
K	%	2.32	0.030	0.030	0.055	0.17	0.43	0.060	0.070	0.090	0.25	0.45
Li	ppm	24	<1	<1	<1	15	25	3.0	3.0	7.0	16	26
Mg	%	1.5	0.060	0.10	0.13	0.29	0.50	0.060	0.075	0.15	0.31	0.57
Mn	ppm	774	10	16	70	194	371	99	107	145	296	440
Mo	ppm	1.1	0.18	0.24	0.43	0.64	0.76	0.27	0.28	0.43	0.59	0.74
Na	%	2.43	0.010	0.011	0.020	0.040	0.050	0.010	0.020	0.020	0.030	0.030
Ni	ppm	47	2.0	2.0	3.5	11	19	3.0	3.0	5.0	11	21
Р	%	0.0655	0.020	0.030	0.040	0.060	0.060	0.010	0.010	0.020	0.055	0.060
Pb	ppm	17	7.1	8.3	22	42	43	3.0	4.3	5.9	19	112
Rb	ppm	84	1.4	1.7	3.7	12	32	3.1	3.8	5.6	18	33
S	%	0.0621	< 0.01	0.030	0.12	0.14	0.15	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.020	0.030	0.17
Sb	ppm	0.4	< 0.05	0.20	0.42	0.54	0.72	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.090	0.30	0.66
Se	ppm	0.09	<1	1.0	2.0	2.9	4.0	<1	<1	1.0	1.5	4.0
Sn	ppm	2.1	0.30	0.40	0.80	1.3	3.0	0.30	0.35	0.60	1.0	2.0
Sr	ppm	320	3.2	4.9	24	40	46	1.9	2.0	2.9	6.0	8.1
Th	ppm	10.5	< 0.1	< 0.1	0.35	3.6	9.0	0.40	3.3	4.7	6.3	7.2
Ti	%	0.384	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.10	0.10	0.10	0.040	0.060	0.075	0.11	0.11
Tl	ppm	0.9	0.030	0.032	0.060	0.11	0.23	0.040	0.050	0.070	0.13	0.22
U	ppm	2.7	0.050	0.064	0.14	0.62	1.2	0.54	0.57	0.75	0.95	1.2
V	ppm	97	3.0	3.1	5.5	29	33	13	15	24	35	41
W	ppm	1.9	< 0.1	< 0.1	0.30	1.4	8.1	< 0.1	<0.1	0.20	0.55	1.0
Zn	ppm	67	9.0	11	23	44	48	5.0	7.0	14	24	46
Zr	ppm	193	< 0.5	<0.5	1.8	5.4	6.9	0.80	1.1	4.0	5.1	5.8

Notes:

Solid phase metals by aqua regia digestion. AUCCA: Average Upper Continental Crustal Abundance (Rudnick and Gao, 2014).

Light grey indicates a value >3x the AUCCA.

Dark grey indicates a value >10x the AUCCA.

3-44

Doromotor	Unite	Tier 1		Soil $(n = 5)$		Till (n = 9)			
rarailleter	Units	EQS	Min	Median	Max	Min	Median	Max	
рН		6.5-9.0	3.3	3.8	4.8	4.1	5.0	6.3	
Conductivity	µS/cm	-	78	341	737	35	81	323	
Alkalinity	mg CaCO ₃ /L	-	0	0	1.7	0	2.8	7.1	
Chloride	mg/L	120	4.0	61	190	5.0	6.0	80	
Fluoride	mg/L	0.12	< 0.06	< 0.06	0.13	< 0.06	< 0.06	< 0.06	
Nitrate (N)	mg/L	13	0.26	0.62	4.6	< 0.06	0.37	5.3	
Nitrite (N)	mg/L	0.06	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	
Ammonia (N)	mg/L	5.7*	0.50	1.4	10	< 0.1	0.30	0.60	
DOC	mg/L	-	40	103	180	6.0	36	59	
Phosphorus (total			-0.02	0.42	5.0	-0.02	-0.02	-0.02	
reactive)	mg/L	-	<0.03	0.42	5.0	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	
Sulphate	mg/L	128	3.1	15	29	1.8	2.6	9.6	
Dissolved Metals									
Hardness	mg/L		16	47	66	6.3	15	36	
Al	mg/L	0.005	1.7	6.3	19	0.96	1.6	14	
Sb	mg/L	0.009	< 0.0009	< 0.0009	0.0052	< 0.0009	< 0.0009	< 0.0009	
As	mg/L	0.005	0.0045	0.0072	0.019	0.00070	0.0025	0.017	
Ва	mg/L	1	0.033	0.038	0.35	0.0047	0.010	0.21	
Be	mg/L	0.00015	0.000070	0.00012	0.00038	0.000020	0.000043	0.00018	
В	mg/L	1.5	0.036	0.092	0.13	0.013	0.023	0.037	
Cd	mg/L	0.00009	0.00013	0.00018	0.00064	0.0000	0.000046	0.00023	
Са	mg/L	-	3.1	11	19	1.5	3.2	12	
Cr	mg/L	0.001	0.00093	0.0021	0.0033	0.00074	0.0025	0.0038	
Со	mg/L	0.001	0.00094	0.0012	0.0016	0.00021	0.00063	0.00085	
Cu	mg/L	0.002	0.0042	0.0079	0.011	0.0020	0.0027	0.0041	
Fe	mg/L	0.3	0.15	0.42	0.81	0.049	0.30	0.67	
Pb	mg/L	0.001	0.0033	0.011	0.017	0.00052	0.0013	0.014	
Mg	mg/L	-	1.9	3.9	7.1	0.63	1.3	2.7	
Mn	mg/L	0.43	0.018	0.092	0.18	0.0065	0.027	0.24	
Hg	μg/L	0.026	0.010	0.020	0.040	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.010	
Мо	mg/L	0.073	0.0015	0.0057	0.0086	0.000090	0.00068	0.012	
Ni	mg/L	0.025	0.0014	0.0031	0.0043	0.00050	0.0013	0.0025	
Κ	mg/L	-	2.9	6.2	20	1.1	1.7	2.9	
Se	mg/L	0.001	0.00010	0.00097	0.0018	0.00033	0.0012	0.0017	
Ag	mg/L	0.00025	< 0.00005	< 0.00005	< 0.00005	< 0.00005	< 0.00005	< 0.00005	
Na	mg/L	-	3.1	12	22	1.8	3.3	7.1	
Sr	mg/L	21	0.015	0.094	0.16	0.0077	0.017	0.11	
Tl	mg/L	0.0008	0.000070	0.00021	0.00027	0.000080	0.000030	0.00011	
U	mg/L	0.015	0.00010	0.00021	0.00044	0.000060	0.00011	0.0015	
V	mg/L	0.12	0.0020	0.0036	0.0049	0.00039	0.0015	0.0031	
Zn	mg/L	0.007	0.048	0.16	0.31	0.0040	0.039	0.18	

 Table 3-22:

 Summary of Overburden Shake Flask Extraction Results

Notes:

DOC: Dissolved Organic Carbon

*Ammonia-N guideline is pH and temperature dependent. Value shown is for pH 7.0 and temperature of 15°C. Grey shading indicates a concentration above the Tier 1 Environmental Quality Standards (EQS) for Surface Water (NSECC, 2021).

4.1 Mine Rock

4.1.1 Humidity Cells

Humidity cell testing is used to mimic the natural weathering processes that act on crushed rock or tailings material. The results are used as the basis for the derivation of geochemical loading rates for materials stored in surface facilities under oxidizing conditions. These experiments provide data on the primary weathering rates of waste materials and, therefore, the results from this type of testing may be used to estimate the rate of acid generation and metal release to the environment. As well, these data may be used to estimate drainage chemistry via upscaling models.

During the initial cycles of humidity cell testing, sulphate and metals often have highly variable release rates before stabilizing at a relatively constant rate. This variability is a geochemical response to surface exposure of freshly crushed samples and the accelerated leaching/oxidizing conditions induced in a humidity cell. Once exposed mineral surfaces have equilibrated to this environment, stable reaction rates can be determined. Humidity cells often require several weeks to approach geochemical stability, and reaction rates rarely remain constant on a week-to-week basis. As a result, data from a number of cycles over which rates vary within a definable and constant range are commonly used to calculate long-term reaction rates.

The six Goldboro humidity cell tests are currently ongoing. These humidity cells have been running for 32 weeks (HC1 to HC4) or 28 weeks (HC5 and HC6) at the time of preparation of this report. The summary of key parameters over the last three available weeks are provided in Table 4-1. Full kinetic test results are included in Appendix D.1.

					Average Over the Final Three Weeks ¹					
Cell ID	Description	Initial Total S	Corrected Modified NP	# of Weeks	pH Alkalinity		Acidity	Sulphate	CMR	
		%	kg CaCO3/t			mgCaCO ₃ /L	mgCaCO ₃ /L	mg/kg/wk		
HC1	Argillite, NPAG	0.030	4.3	32	6.9	3.0	<1	0.93	2.8	
HC2	Argillite, PAG1	0.22	4.1	32	6.5	1.7	<1	5.0	1.1	
НС3	Greywacke, NPAG	0.032	4.6	32	6.8	3.0	<1	0.93	2.6	
HC4	Greywacke, PAG1	0.22	1.7	32	6.4	<1	2.0	3.4	0.8	
HC5	Argillite + Greywacke, PAG2	0.054	2.5	28	6.9	3.0	<1	2.6	1.6	
HC6	Greywacke Marker Unit, PAG1	0.21	6.8	28	7.0	3.7	<1	1.6	3.9	

Table 4-1: Summary of Waste Rock Humidity Cell Test Results From the Last Three Available Weeks That Metal Analyses Were Conducted

Notes:

¹Average over the final three weeks with available results;

NP: Neutralization Potential; CMR: Carbonate Molar Ratio;

PAG: Potentially Acid Generating; NPAG: Non-Potentially Acid Generating; the definition of PAG1, PAG2, and NPAG is discussed in Section 3.1.2.

4.1.1.1 pH and Alkalinity

Weekly pH values provide insight to the balance between acid generating and acid neutralizing reactions in a humidity cell sample. If the leachate pH remains near pH 7, neutralization potential is derived from a reactive carbonate source and acid consuming reactions predominate. However, once the reactive NP is exhausted, pH will decrease rapidly if the sample maintains a source of acid production. When neutralization potential is derived from a less reactive source, a trend of gradually decreasing pH is commonly observed as the NP is depleted and the equilibrium pH of the next most reactive mineral phase is reached.

The leachates from all waste rock humidity cells have remained circumneutral with pH value between 6.0 and 7.5 since week 10 (Figure 4-1). The two PAG1 humidity cells (HC2 and HC4) produced slightly lower pH values in recent weeks relative to the other humidity cells and are showing a slightly decreasing trend. All humidity cells have relatively low alkalinity (<5 mg CaCO₃/L; Figure 4-1). HC4 has the lowest alkalinity, falling below the detection limit for several weeks (<1 mg CaCO₃/L). Depending on the ongoing rate of acid generation as well as the reactivity of non-carbonate NP, HC4 can be expected to turn

acidic (*i.e.*, pH < 5.5) in the near future. In order to evaluate metal leaching rates under acidic conditions for Goldboro PAG1 waste rock, this cell was requested to be operated past the routine 40 weeks of humidity cell runtime.



Figure 4-1: Waste Rock humidity cell leachate pH values (top) and alkalinity time series (bottom).

4.1.1.2 Sulphate Production and NP Depletion

The rates of sulphide oxidation and NP consumption are two important parameters derived from the analysis of humidity cell leachates. Humidity cell tests determine the rate of sulphide mineral oxidation by the presence of sulphate in weekly leachates. Sulphide oxidation is typically the major source of acid production and metal loading in mine drainage.

The rate of sulphide oxidation in the waste rock samples is estimated from sulphate analyses of the humidity cell leachates. To obtain sulphide oxidation rates, weekly sulphate

concentrations are normalized to the mass of sample in the humidity cell (mg/kg/week). Elevated sulphate loading rates are expected in the first few weeks of the test due to dissolution and flushing of readily soluble surface oxidation products (*e.g.*, secondary sulphates) from crushed samples. The sulphate loading rates are subsequently expected to reach relatively stable values. Overall, the sulphate loading rates are low for the Goldboro humidity cells with values stabilizing relatively rapidly below 10 mg/kg/wk. In recent weeks, sulphate loading rates from the two PAG1 humidity cells (HC2, HC4) and the PAG2 humidity cell (HC5) had the highest sulphate loading rates (approximately 2 to 6 mg/kg/wk), while the PAG1 marker unit humidity cell (HC6) had slightly lower sulphate loading rates (1 to 2 mg/kg/wk; Figure 4-2). The sulphate loading rates from the two NPAG humidity cells have been consistently low (<1 mg/kg/wk) since at least week 5. There is a weak positive correlation between solid phase sulphur and sulphate loading (Figure 4-3). However, the greywacke marker unit sample has relatively high total S and a relatively low sulphate loading.



Figure 4-2: Sulphate loading rates in humidity cells over time.



Figure 4-3: Average stable sulphate load *versus* total sulphur content in humidity cell samples.

The carbonate molar ratio (CMR) is a proxy for the rate of carbonate dissolution (NP depletion) and sulphide oxidation occurring in the laboratory test reactor, assuming that the cations are derived only from the NP source and the sulphate is derived from the oxidation of Fe-sulphides. The CMR is calculated as:

$$CMR = \frac{[Ca^{2+}] + [Mg^{2+}]}{[SO_4^{2-}]}$$
 (Eq. 3)

In the most simplistic scenario for pyrite oxidation, when carbonate minerals are present, the oxidation-neutralization reaction is pH-dependent. Assuming no Ca and SO₄ are lost to gypsum precipitation, two carbonate consumption reactions can describe the process, including:

at pH<6.3:

$$FeS_{2} + \frac{15}{4}O_{2} + \frac{7}{2}H_{2}O + 2[Ca^{2+}, Mg^{2+}]CO_{3} \longrightarrow Fe(OH)_{3} + 2SO_{4}^{2-} + 2H_{2}CO_{3}^{0} + 2[Ca^{2+}, Mg^{2+}]$$
(Eq. 4)
at 6.3

$$FeS_{2} + \frac{15}{4}O_{2} + \frac{7}{2}H_{2}O + 4[Ca^{2+}, Mg^{2+}]CO_{3} \longrightarrow Fe(OH)_{3} + 2SO_{4}^{2-} + 4HCO_{3}^{-} + 4[Ca^{2+}, Mg^{2+}]$$
(Eq. 5)

Equation 4 indicates that in theory, neutralization of acidity up to pH levels of 6.3 will produce one mole of Ca for each mole of SO₄ released, giving a 1:1 carbonate molar ratio (CMR = 1). However, this is a somewhat idealized representation that does not consider the exsolution of CO₂ gas; the contribution of non-carbonate minerals releasing Ca and Mg ions; or the dissolution of carbonates by dilute waters in the absence of sulphide oxidation. These processes could all increase the rate of Ca and Mg release relative to SO₄ production.

At circumneutral pH levels, H₂CO₃ is not stable and the dominant form of inorganic carbonate in an aqueous solution is HCO₃⁻. Equation 5 indicates that, at near-neutral pH levels, calcium carbonate is less efficient at neutralizing acidity and will produce a CMR of 2.0.

Chemical analysis of the humidity cell leachates provide weekly leachate concentrations of Ca, Mg, and SO₄, which can be used to calculate the CMR. The relationships derived from these chemical equations assume that pyrite oxidation is the sole source of sulphur and iron in the product that take the form of sulphate and iron hydroxide, respectively. Thus, the oxidation of other sulphide minerals, dissolution of soluble sulphate minerals, the formation of other secondary products, or dissolution of carbonates by dilute waters in the absence of significant sulphide oxidation may alter this relationship (Mattson, 2005). If it is assumed that Fe(OH)₃ is produced as a product of pyrrhotite oxidation, the moles of acidity released during this reaction are identical to that of pyrite oxidation (Nicholson, 1994). Since it is known that Goldboro mine rock contains arsenopyrite which releases relatively higher amounts of acidity per mole of sulphur oxidized (Blowes *et al.*, 2003),

May 2022 A606-1

use of the CMR as described can be considered an approximation only. In theory, the CMR generated by arsenopyrite oxidation alone would be higher than for pyrite.

The CMR for the humidity cells are variable but generally remain below 3.0, excluding some variability for HC6 (Figure 4-4). The two PAG1 humidity cells (HC2 and HC4) have the lowest CMR values which have been relatively stable for several weeks close to 1.0. HC6 shows variable CMR values from approximately 1.0 to 5.0. Of the remaining three humidity cells, HC1 has the highest CMR, while the other two humidity cells (HC3, HC5) show lower but increasing CMR values between 1.0 and 3.0.

The CMR can be used to calculate the CaNP depletion rate which can in turn be used to calculate the amount of time required to consume all available CaNP from the humidity cell samples. The CaNP depletion rate is calculated as follows:

CaNP depletion rate = CMR x Sulphate loading rate (in kg CaCO₃/t/wk equivalents)

For the PAG humidity cells that are expected to become acidic, the time to NP depletion theoretically corresponds to the time to onset of acid generating conditions, although relative grain liberation is an important factor. Note that for the purposes of calculating NP depletion rates and the time for complete depletion of NP, the initial sulphate production rates, which reflect the flushing of non-acid generating surface oxidation products such as gypsum, were not considered. Rather the relatively stable NP depletion rates in later cycles of the tests more appropriately reflect depletion based on sulphate produced by sulphide oxidation. This will prevent overestimating NP depletion rates and thereby underestimating the lag time to the onset of acidic conditions.



Figure 4-4: Carbonate Molar Ratio trends for waste rock humidity cells

4-7

Using an average CaNP depletion rate of the last three available weeks for all PAG humidity cells, yields a CaNP depletion rate of 0.0048 kg CaCO₃/t/wk. By applying this rate to the CaNP values of the PAG humidity cells indicates that CaNP will be depleted between 2 and 26 years, marking the lag time to onset of acidic conditions. The QEMSCAN results indicated that the carbonate minerals may be depleted more rapidly than the sulphide minerals due to the smaller grain size, which may lead to more rapid ARD onset. Conversely, the finding that some NP will be afforded by non-carbonate phases, especially under the low acid generation rates observed, may offset this timing.

The calculated CaNP depletion rate was also applied to the CaNP of all PAG waste rock samples within the static test population to quantify a range of lag times that can be expected until this population releases net acidic drainage. The results of this exercise are shown in Figure 4-5 and show that it will take approximately 9 years for 25% of all PAG samples to turn acidic and around 25 years for 75% of PAG samples to become acidic. These values could be conservative as they do not consider the reduced sulphide oxidation rate at colder temperatures or the slowing of oxidation rates due to coating of sulphide minerals over time. On the other hand, Sexsmith *et al.* (2015) have shown that the calculated lag time to onset of acidic conditions may be longer than the observed timeline which was, in part, attributed to the limited availability of NP within the studied materials. As such, the implementation of such lag times to estimate the timing for ARD development in full-scale mine facilities should be done with caution. It is recommended that, considering the information a hand to date, ARD onset be conservatively modelled to occur at five years after material exposure for PAG1 materials.



Figure 4-5: Estimate of time to onset of acidic conditions in Goldboro Potentially Acid Generating (PAG) samples

4.1.1.3 Metal Release Rates

Humidity cell leachate concentrations represent primary metal release rates that allow for the calculation of mineral reaction kinetics as a function of the solid phase composition of a sample and the pH regime. Due to the test design utilizing a high water/rock ratio intended to contact all material surfaces, humidity cell concentration data do not provide a strictly quantitative estimate of drainage chemistry. To provide a more functional parameter, metal concentrations in the humidity cell leachates were normalized to the mass of sample. This conversion allows the measured parameters to be documented in units of mass loading (mg/kg/wk). Loading values provide consistent representations of the amount of metal released and facilitate inter-cycle comparison.

Of the parameters with solid phase values >10x the AUCCA in one or more samples (see Section 0), only As, Cd, and Mo have humidity cell leachate concentrations that are generally measured at values above the detection limit. Of the other metals identified as elevated in the solid phase (*i.e.*, Ag, Bi, Cu, Pb, Sb, Zn), leachate concentrations were measured at values close to or below the detection limit in the majority of humidity cell leachate samples, suggesting that there is a low leaching potential for these elements under neutral conditions (Appendix D.1). Increased metal loading rates are anticipated for some of these parameters in PAG humidity cells once acidic conditions are established. For the purpose of this discussion, only the loading rates for As, Cd, and Mo are presented.

Arsenic loading rates have been remarkably stable in humidity cell tests to date (Figure 4-6). HC1 (argillite, NPAG) has the highest As loading rates (approximately 0.05 mg/kg/wk), while HC2 (argillite, PAG1) has the lowest As loading rates (approximately 0.01 mg/kg/wk). the remaining humidity cells have produced intermediate values. These results indicate that elevated As concentrations are not expected to be limited to waste rock with a high ARD risk under neutral conditions. Mineralogical analysis indicated that As predominantly occurs in arsenopyrite or as impurities within pyrite and pyrrhotite (Section 3.1.1). Interestingly, there is a weak inverse correlation of As loading rates with solid phase As content (Figure 4-7). At the same time, pH is positively correlated with As loading rate (Figure 4-8) which suggests that As mobility is controlled by pH rather than solid phase abundance in the humidity cell environment. While it can be assumed that arsenopyrite oxidation in the PAG1 cells would be larger source of As from these materials relative to the NPAG cells, attenuation processes (*e.g.*, adsorption) are inferred limit As loading rates at a pH of \leq 7.5. At comparable pH, PAG1 materials would be expected to produce higher As concentrations as seen in SFE testing.



Figure 4-6: Waste rock humidity cell arsenic load over time



Figure 4-7: Average arsenic load for last three available test cycles vs Initial solid phase arsenic content

Cadmium and Mo show erratic loading rates with no distinct trends between the humidity cell types (Figure 4-9; Figure 4-10). Cadmium loading rates vary from 0.0000019 to 0.0000064 mg/kg/wk, while Mo loading rates vary from 0.000087 to 0.0029 mg/kg/wk over the last three weeks reported. These parameters will be monitored as part of the site-wide water quality monitoring program; however, they are not considered parameters of concern under neutral conditions.



Figure 4-8: Average arsenic load vs pH for the last three available test cycles



Figure 4-9: Waste rock humidity cell cadmium load over time



Figure 4-10: Waste rock humidity cell molybdenum load over time

4.1.2 Field Bins

Field bin leachate is used to help predict the drainage chemistry from the mine rock. These tests provide an indication of runoff chemistry under mine site conditions and are conducted at a larger scale relative to humidity cells (*i.e.*, 150-200 kg *versus* 1 kg). FB-1 was first sampled in June 2021, while FB-2 through FB-4 were first sampled in July 2021. Field bin leachates have been sampled on a monthly basis, up to December 2021 (Appendix D.2). Leachate sample collection is anticipated to continue in 2022.

All field bin leachate samples collected to date have remained circumneutral pH values between 6.7 and 8.3 (Figure 4-11). Sulphate concentrations in the field bin leachates were relatively low overall with the highest values coming from FB-1 (~75 mg/L; Figure 4-11). FB-1 leachate sulphate concentrations showed a decreasing trend over the first four months, which can be attributed to the initial flushing of readily soluble oxidation products that may have formed prior to field bin installation. The other three field bins produced stable sulphate concentrations in the leachate below 25 mg/L.

The field bin leachate results for dissolved metals were screened against the Tier 1 EQS for surface water (NSECC, 2021) to provide a high-level indication of parameters that may be of concern in site drainage (Table 4-2). Parameters exceeding the Tier 1 EQS in field bin leachates include Al, As, Cu, and Zn.

Arsenic has been identified as the main parameter of concern for the project and As concentrations in all leachate samples collected to date are above the Tier 1 EQS of 0.005 mg/L. The highest As concentrations were measured in leachate from FB-1 (0.30 to 5.8 mg/L; Figure 4-11). The other three field bins leached lower As concentrations (0.014 to 0.11 mg/L). It is important to note that the solid-phase As content of the FB-1 sample is

also the highest of the tested mine rock (6400 ppm; Table 3-10) and is reflective of ore material. Nevertheless, the data show that high (> 1 mg/L) As concentrations are not unexpected in mine rock contact water at Goldboro.

Copper concentrations in field bin leachates have generally shown a decreasing trend, although concentrations remain above the Tier 1 EQS in all samples (>2 μ g/L). Zinc concentrations were variable, but concentrations above the Tier 1 EQS have been measured. The highest Zn concentrations were measured in leachate from FB-1 (up to 68 μ g/L). FB-3 and FB-4 have the lowest Zn concentrations, which are often below the detection limit.

The field bin QA/QC program includes field blanks and field duplicate samples collected during each sampling event. Results are included in Appendix D.2. Overall, the QA/QC program shows good data quality. Most of the parameter concentrations in the six field blank samples were below their respective detection limits and those that were above the detection limit were generally below 2x the detection limit. The only exceptions were conductivity, turbidity, and dissolved Na in the July 2021 field blank. Six field duplicates have been collected to date. Acceptable precision and accuracy for evaluating the field duplicate samples is defined to be within 20% relative percent difference (RPD) at concentrations greater than five times the detection limit. The majority of the parameters measured at concentrations above five times the detection limit had RPD values below 20%, with the exception of dissolved P and turbidity (July 2021), and conductivity (September 2021).



Figure 4-11: Time series plots of pH (top), sulphate (middle), and arsenic (bottom) in field bin leachates.

Parameter	Units	Tier 1	FB-1 (ore s PAC	stockpile, 31)	FB-2 (mixed NPA	l lithology, (G)	FB-3 (gro PA	eywacke, G1)	FB-4 (a NP	rgillite, AG)
		EQS	Median	Max	Median	Max	Median	Max	Median	Max
Field pH	-	6.5 - 9.0	7.5	6.7*	7.8	7.2*	7.7	7.5*	7.9	7.6*
Field Conductivity	μS/cm	-	120	547	63	122	95	176	87	131
Lab pH	pH	6.5 - 9.0	7.1	6.6*	7.4	7.1*	7.5	7.3*	7.5	7.4*
Lab Conductivity	μS/cm	-	52.5	220	64	80	94	150	76	110
Total Alkalinity	mg CaCO ₃ /L	-	7.5	5.1*	18	11*	26	14*	27	25*
Sulphate	mg/L	128	12	75	3.8	7.3	11	21	6.9	9.5
Dissolved Metals										
Al	μg/L	5	6.7	31	24	60	83	99	31	170
Sb	μg/L	9	<1	5.1	2.1	4.5	1.3	1.9	4.8	7.5
As	μg/L	5	736	5800	36	45	54	91	89	110
Ba	μg/L	1000	1.2	3.0	1.9	2.7	<1	1.8	<1	2.2
Cd	μg/L	0.09	< 0.01	0.029	0.014	0.018	< 0.01	0.012	< 0.01	0.012
Cr	μg/L	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Со	μg/L	10	< 0.4	0.42	0.50	2.5	< 0.4	1.7	< 0.4	0.78
Cu	μg/L	2	8.1	63	13	34	12	37	14	41
Fe	μg/L	300	<50	<50	56	66	<50	<50	<50	120
Pb	μg/L	1	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	0.51
Mn	μg/L	430	14	85	32	50	54	140	8.4	26
Мо	μg/L	73	<2	3.2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2
Ni	μg/L	25	<2	<2	2.1	3.1	<2	6.5	<2	<2
Se	μg/L	1	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Ag	μg/L	0.25	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
T1	μg/L	0.8	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
U	μg/L	15	< 0.1	0.26	0.48	1.1	0.46	0.93	0.85	1.6
V	μg/L	120	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	2.6	<2	2.5
Zn	μg/L	7	21	68	15	29	<5	7.7	<5	<5

Table 4-2:Summary of Field Bin Leachate Chemistry

Notes:

Tier 1 EQS - Tier 1 Environmental Quality Standards for Surface Water and Groundwater Discharging to Surface Water (NSECC, 2021);

PAG: Potentially Acid Generating; NPAG: Non-Potentially Acid Generating; the definition of PAG1, PAG2, and NPAG is discussed in Section 3.1.2;

*Value shown is the minimum value;

Grey shading indicates a value above the Tier 1 EQS.

4.1.3 Bulk Sample Stockpile Runoff Water Quality

The bulk sample stockpile runoff water has been sampled since September 2018 as part of the regular site water quality monitoring program. It is important to note that the ditch collection system is considered "uncontrolled" meaning that water levels and flows are not being measured and that direct precipitation, natural ground runoff, and evaporation effects on water chemistry are expected to an extent. Nevertheless, the system is thought to primarily capture ore material contact water. Ongoing comparison of the obtained water quality data with FB-1 leachate chemistry will provide further insight into the data quality. The 2021 results are included in Appendix E.

Ore drainage pH has been variable with laboratory pH readings between 5.1 up to 7.2 (Table 4-3). In general, pH has remained above pH 6.0 with 2021 pH readings between 6.4 and 6.8. No trends in pH over time are evident which indicates that the stockpile has not become acid generating although this material was classified as PAG1 and is expected to eventually generate ARD if it remains exposed at the surface. Sulphate concentrations have remained low over the monitoring period (<30 mg/L).

The discussion on metal concentrations herein focusses on 2021 results since dissolved metals were not included in the water chemistry analyses for years prior. Parameters with concentrations measured above the Tier 1 EQS in one or more 2021 samples include Al, As, Cu, Pb, and Zn (Table 4-3), generally consistent with observation from field bin testing. Of these parameters, Cu, Pb, and Zn concentrations remain within 2x the Tier 1 EQS value. Arsenic has the greatest factor of exceedance above the Tier 1 EQS (4x to >500x), which is consistent with other geochemical testwork identifying As to be the main parameter of concern for the Project.

Parameter	Units	Tier 1 EQS	Median	Max
Lab pH	-	6.5 - 9.0	6.4	5.1*
Conductivity	μS/cm	-	42	99
Alkalinity	mg CaCO ₃ /L	-	3.1	1.3*
Sulphate	mg/L	128	9.7	29
Dissolved metals				
Al	mg/L	0.005	0.016	0.10
Sb	mg/L	0.009	< 0.001	0.0018
As	mg/L	0.005	0.63	3.4
Ba	mg/L	1	0.0013	0.0040
Be	mg/L	0.00015	0.00055	< 0.001
Bi	mg/L	-	< 0.002	< 0.002
В	mg/L	1.5	< 0.05	< 0.05
Cd	mg/L	0.00009	0.000028	0.000038
Ca	mg/L	-	3.7	13
Cr	mg/L	0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Со	mg/L	0.01	< 0.0004	< 0.0004
Cu	mg/L	0.002	0.00098	0.0032
Fe	mg/L	0.3	< 0.05	0.056
Pb	mg/L	0.001	< 0.0005	0.0011
Mg	mg/L	-	0.28	0.71
Mn	mg/L	0.43	0.012	0.023
Мо	mg/L	0.073	< 0.002	< 0.002
Ni	mg/L	0.025	< 0.002	0.0053
Р	mg/L	-	< 0.1	< 0.1
K	mg/L	-	0.65	1.4
Se	mg/L	0.001	< 0.0005	< 0.0005
Ag	mg/L	0.00025	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Na	mg/L	-	1.3	2.4
Sr	mg/L	-	0.011	0.042
Tl	mg/L	0.0008	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Sn	mg/L	-	< 0.002	< 0.002
Ti	mg/L	-	< 0.002	< 0.002
U	mg/L	0.015	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
V	mg/L	0.12	< 0.002	< 0.002
Zn	mg/L	0.007	0.0089	0.013

Table 4-3:Summary of Bulk Sample Stockpile Runoff Water Quality

Notes:

Grey shading indicates a concentration above the Tier 1 Environmental Quality Standards (EQS) for Surface Water (NSECC, 2021).

* Value shown is the minimum value.

Data range for lab pH, conductivity, and sulphate, is September 2018 to December 2021.

Data range for alkalinity and dissolved metals is June to December 2021.

4-16

4.2 Tailings

4.2.1 Humidity Cell

One tailings humidity cell has been set up for the Project to assess the leaching behaviour of tailings under oxidizing conditions (*e.g.*, TMF beaches). A summary of key parameters over the last three available weeks is provided in Table 4-4 and full results are included in Appendix D.3.

Table 4-4:Summary of Tailings Humidity Cell Test Results From the Last Three Available
Weeks That Metal Analyses Were Conducted

					Average Over the Final Three Weeks ¹				
Cell ID	Description	Initial Total S	Corrected Modified NP	# of Weeks	pH Alkalinity		Sulphate	CMR	
		%	kg CaCO ₃ /t			mgCaCO ₃ /L	mg/kg/wk		
T1	2020 Master Composite	0.41	3.5	22	7.8	48	64	1.3	

Notes:

¹Average over the final three weeks with available results (Week 20-22).

NP: Neutralization Potential; CMR: Carbonate Molar Ratio.

4.2.1.1 *pH and Alkalinity*

The tailings humidity cell has remained mildly alkaline over the 22 weeks that the test has been running; however, the pH has shown a slight decrease over time (Figure 4-12). For comparison, the median pH over the first five weeks was 8.0, while the median pH over the last five weeks was 7.8. Alkalinity decreased rapidly over the initial five weeks from 99 to 55 mg CaCO₃/L before being relatively stable between weeks 5 to 17 (~60 mg CaCO₃/L; Figure 4-12). After week 17, alkalinity has shown a slight decrease (week 22: 47 mg CaCO₃/L). This decrease in alkalinity is expected to continue as the available NP and metallurgical additives (*e.g.*, lime) are depleted.



Figure 4-12: Tailings humidity cell leachate pH values (top) and alkalinity time series (bottom).

4.2.1.2 Sulphate Production and NP Depletion

Sulphate loading rates initially decreased as the readily soluble surface oxidation products were rinsed from the surfaces. Since week 4, sulphate loading rates have been relatively stable and even increased slightly (Figure 4-13). In week 4, the sulphate loading rate was 43 mg/kg/wk, while it had increased to 66 mg/kg/wk in week 22. The sulphate loading rates from the tailings humidity cell are significantly higher relative to those measured in waste rock humidity cells (<10 kg/kg/wk). This result can be partially attributed to the higher sulphur content of the tailings sample, as well as the flushing of mill process reagents including Na-MBS and copper sulphate added during cyanide detoxification. Given these complicating factors affecting Ca and sulphate loading rates in the short-term at a minimum, the CMR is currently deemed unreliable in the determination of the timing to onset of ARD for tailings. This will be re-evaluated after a longer humidity cell runtime.

Under current mine plan considerations, the exposure time of tailings under atmospheric conditions is assumed to be minimal and acidic drainage within the TMF is not expected.



Figure 4-13: Tailings humidity cell sulphate load over time.

4.2.1.3 Cyanide and Nitrogen Species

Total cyanide loading rates initially decrease but have shown an increasing trend since week 5 (Figure 4-14). Free and WAD cyanide loading rates are similar and show an increasing trend beginning in week 5. This follows timing of the decrease in alkalinity, as well as an increase in loading rates for some metals (see next section). In combination, these trends show that cyanide species can remain relatively stable in a tailings humidity cell environment for several weeks. One explanation for this observation could be limited oxygen ingress into the fine-grained tailings sample.



Figure 4-14: Tailings humidity cell cyanide species load over time.

Nitrogen species (*i.e.*, ammonia, nitrate, nitrite) concentrations are low and below the detection limit in at least the last four available test cycles that analyses were conducted for these parameters. Ammonia concentrations have shown a decrease over time from 6.9 mg-N/L in the first week of testing to <0.05 mg-N/L after week 11. Nitrate and nitrite have generally remained below the detection limit in all samples.

4.2.1.4 Metal Release Rates

Metals that were identified as being elevated in tailings samples in the solid phase analysis included As, Ag, Bi, Cd, Mo, Pb, and Sb. Of these parameters, Ag and Bi concentrations were generally below the detection limit in the humidity cell leachate.

Arsenic loading rates are relatively high and often above 1 mg/kg/wk (Figure 4-15). An increase in As loading rates is evident beginning in week 5. Other metals also show a similar increase (*e.g.*, Cd, Pb; Figure 4-15 and Mo; Figure 4-16). The timing of this increase corresponds with the declining trend in alkalinity and slight increase in sulphate loading rates. In contrast, Sb loading rates have decreased (Figure 4-16), while other metal loading rates are relatively stable (*e.g.*, Co, Cu; not shown). Overall, it is evident that metal loading rates from tailings are consistently higher than those measured in mine rock humidity cells. This can be explained by the finer grain size of the tailings as well as the dissolution of labile, metal-bearing oxidation products that are formed in the mill environment.



Figure 4-15: Tailings humidity cell loading rates over time for As (top), Cd (middle), and Pb (bottom).



Figure 4-16: Tailings humidity cell loading rates over time for Mo (top) and Sb (bottom).

4.2.2 Saturated Column

The saturated tailings column has been running for 30 weeks and is ongoing. This column was set up with tailings supernatant as the column influent. The initial influent (Influent 1; 2020 Master Composite sample) had higher cyanide concentrations (among other parameters) due to the metallurgical test design. This influent was used from week 0 to week 22. The second influent (Influent 2; 2021 Master Composite sample) underwent a more rigorous cyanide detoxification process in the laboratory and has been used from week 24 onwards. Influent and effluent results are summarized in Table 4-5. Full results are provided in Appendix D.4.

Doromotor	Unite	Influent 1	Influent 2	Effluent				
rarameter	Units	Innuent I	Innuent 2	Min	Median	Max		
pН	pH	7.8	7.2	7.6	7.7	8.1		
Conductivity	μS/cm	3030	1392	2606	3175	3940		
Total Alkalinity	mg/L	255	109	118	175	202		
DOC	mg/L	29	5.6	4.2	8.8	12		
NH3	mg/L	26	3.9	1.7	8.2	13		
NO2-N	mg/L	0.039	0.059	< 0.02	< 0.02	0.053		
NO3-N	mg/L	< 0.1	0.049	< 0.1	<0.1	< 0.1		
WAD CN	mg/L	<1	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.04	< 0.2		
Total CN	mg/L	33	0.015	0.33	1.5	7.7		
Sulphate	mg/L	1350	588	1240	1660	2330		
Cl	mg/L	11	10	11	12	13		
F	mg/L	< 0.4	0.19	< 0.4	<0.4	0.51		
Dissolved Metals								
Ag	mg/L	0.000022	0.000065	< 0.00001	< 0.00002	0.000095		
Al	mg/L	0.012	0.012	0.0064	0.0078	0.017		
As	mg/L	0.99	0.53	0.39	1.3	1.5		
В	mg/L	0.045	0.046	0.054	0.076	0.090		
Ва	mg/L	0.054	0.036	0.019	0.025	0.043		
Ca	mg/L	199	104	160	276	445		
Cd	mg/L	< 0.00001	< 0.000015	< 0.00001	0.000018	0.00026		
Со	mg/L	0.099	0.027	0.024	0.032	0.042		
Cr	mg/L	< 0.0002	< 0.0001	< 0.0002	< 0.0005	< 0.001		
Cu	mg/L	0.00057	0.056	< 0.0001	0.00040	0.0036		
Fe	mg/L	11	< 0.001	0.18	0.67	2.5		
Hg	mg/L	< 0.000005	< 0.000005	< 0.000005	0.000005	< 0.0001		
К	mg/L	45	46	42	54	85		
Li	mg/L	0.020	0.038	0.021	0.030	0.057		
Mg	mg/L	5.8	4.1	13	24	35		
Mn	mg/L	0.014	0.050	0.18	0.21	0.59		
Мо	mg/L	0.033	0.029	0.043	0.048	0.056		
Na	mg/L	437	147	404	448	544		
Ni	mg/L	0.00075	0.0016	0.00039	0.0010	0.0054		
Р	mg/L	<0.1	< 0.05	< 0.1	<0.1	< 0.25		
Pb	mg/L	0.000022	0.000036	< 0.00002	0.000050	< 0.0001		
Sb	mg/L	0.041	0.036	0.0053	0.0083	0.016		
Se	mg/L	0.0014	0.00094	< 0.00008	0.00020	0.0012		
Si	mg/L	4.5	2.8	5.5	6.7	7.7		
Sn	mg/L	0.0015	0.00033	0.00016	0.00092	0.0010		
Sr	mg/L	1.5	0.95	1.1	1.7	2.9		
T1	mg/L	0.000012	0.000076	< 0.00001	< 0.00002	0.000092		
U	mg/L	0.0091	0.00046	0.00060	0.0012	0.0019		
V	mg/L	0.00033	0.00030	0.00020	0.00040	0.0010		
W	mg/L	0.0032	0.0024	0.00055	0.00068	0.0012		
Zn	mg/L	< 0.001	0.0014	< 0.001	< 0.002	0.0067		

 Table 4-5:

 Summary of Tailings Saturated Column Influent and Effluent Chemistry

Notes:

DOC: dissolved organic carbon; NH3: ammonia; NO2-N: nitrite-N; NO3-N: nitrate-N; WAD CN: weak acid dissociable cyanide; Total CN: total cyanide.

4.2.2.1 *pH and Sulphate*

The pH of the saturated column effluent has remained circumneutral and relatively stable (approximately pH 7.7; Figure 4-17). This pH is close to that of Influent 1 (7.8), while Influent 2 has a slightly lower pH (7.2).

Sulphate concentrations have shown a decreasing trend from a maximum of 2,330 mg/L in week 2 to a minimum of 1,240 mg/L in week 30 (Figure 4-17). This result is consistent with the oxidation of sulphides as well as the flushing of soluble sulphide oxidation products and mill reagents. The sulphate concentrations briefly stabilized from week 18 to week 24, before continuing to decrease once the influent was changed to Influent 2 which has a lower sulphate concentration (588 mg/L) relative to Influent 1 (1,350 mg/L).



Figure 4-17: pH (top) and sulphate concentrations (bottom) in tailings saturated column leachates over time.

4.2.2.2 Cyanide and Nitrogen Species

Influent 1 had a high total cyanide concentration (33 mg/L) possibly due to an ineffective cyanide detoxification process used in the 2020 bench scale metallurgical testwork. Total

cyanide concentrations in the column effluent were lower relative to Influent 1 concentrations, reaching a peak of 7.7 mg/L in week 6 (Figure 4-18). By the last week that Influent 1 was used (week 22), total cyanide concentrations in column effluent had decreased to 0.96 mg/L. These initial results should be considered conservative due to the high cyanide concentration of the influent. Total cyanide concentrations have continued to decrease once Influent 2 was used. A minimum effluent total cyanide concentration of 0.33 mg/L was measured in week 30. The more rigorous cyanide detoxication resulted in a total cyanide concentration of 0.015 mg/L in Influent 2, which is considered more representative of operational tailings supernatant. Column effluent cyanide concentrations are expected to continue to decrease. Weak acid dissociable (WAD) cyanide concentrations were generally below the detection limit (Table 4-5).

The dominant nitrogen species in column effluent is ammonia (Table 4-5; Figure 4-18), which is a common, early decay product of cyanide and cyanate in tailings environments. The persistence of ammonia in column leachate (1.7 to 13 mg/L) indicates that conditions are sufficiently reducing (*i.e.*, oxygen is limited) to favor ammonia stability. Nitrate concentrations were consistently below the detection limit (<0.1 mg/L) in column effluent, while nitrite concentrations were generally close to or below the detection limit (<0.02 mg/L) in all but the week 10 sample. The elevated nitrite concentration in the week 10 sample (0.053 mg/L) may be an error as it is above the nitrite concentration in the influent at this time.



Figure 4-18: Concentrations of total cyanide and nitrogen species in tailings saturated column leachates over time.

4.2.2.3 Metal Leaching Results

Arsenic concentrations increased in the saturated column effluent and stabilized at approximately 1.4 mg/L, which is higher than the As concentration in both Influent 1 (0.99 mg/L) and Influent 2 (0.53 mg/L) (Figure 4-19; Table 4-5). This elevated concentration indicates that the tailings are releasing As under saturated conditions. This is counterintuitive at first sight since the As occurrence was interpreted to be predominantly linked to arsenopyrite, the dissolution of which should be inhibited under oxygen-deprived conditions encountered in the saturated column test. However, the mill process in which arsenopyrite is ground and oxidized followed by the precipitation of Fe-arsenates during process water treatment may produce adsorbed As and Fe-As-hydroxide phases which are unstable under the reducing conditions predicted for tailings pore water in the long-term.

Other metals generally show decreasing trends (*e.g.*, Cd) or stable concentrations (*e.g.*, Mo; Figure 4-19). The higher concentrations in the early stages of the experiment are consistent with rinsing of water-soluble oxides and mineral surface bound metals through the initial phase of the experiment. Concentrations of several metals (*e.g.*, Cd, Pb, and Zn) were often below the detection limit in column effluent (Table 4-5).



Figure 4-19: Arsenic (top), Cd (middle), and Mo (bottom) concentrations in tailings saturated column leachates over time.

5.1 Waste Rock Storage Facilities

The chemistry of water in contact with the Goldboro WRSAs will be driven by a variety of factors including climatic controls (e.g., precipitation distribution, temperatures), physical controls (e.g., WRSA dimensions, particle size of rock), as well as solid-phase characteristics of the rock itself (*e.g.*, geology, mineralogy, chemical composition). Many of these factors influence each other producing a complex geochemical system that needs to be constrained in order to produce realistic WRSA drainage chemistry predictions. For example, the dominant unsaturated flow regime within the WRSA, focused *versus* matric, is strongly dependent on precipitation intensity and the particle size of the material deposited. Particle size, in turn, is a function of the rock's competence (*i.e.*, geology) as well as operational practices (e.g., blast hole density, WRSA construction method). Relatively fine waste rock materials are generally more reactive due to the increased surface area which may preferentially liberate sulphide or carbonate minerals. Geochemical source term modelling attempts to capture a multitude of interactive mechanisms by scaling the results of representative kinetic tests to mine-site dimensions. For Goldboro WRSAs, scaling of humidity cell results was used as the basis for the drainage quality predictions. Upscaled loading rates are then corrected to account for discrepancies between laboratory and field, converted into concentrations, and speciated/capped based on geochemical first principles. This approach was applied to all facilities containing temporarily or permanently exposed blasted mine rock material and captures the following mine components:

- Southeast WRSA;
- Northeast WRSA;
- Northwest WRSA;
- Backfill into East Pit;
- PAG1 material in TMF; and
- NPAG closure cover in TMF.

Due to uncertainties around the design and dimensions of the TMF embankments and the ROM ore stockpile, these facilities were not modelled explicitly but rather approximated conservatively by other source terms that are thought to best represent the materials in question. Specifically, the ROM ore stockpile is a transient feature and is being modelled with the source term predictions derived for the PAG1 material in the TMF since ore is

largely characterized as PAG1. Similarly, the TMF embankment will be constructed with NPAG waste rock and is expected to produce a water chemistry similar to or better than that of the WRSAs. Hence, the lowest concentrations predicted for the WRSAs was used as a proxy for TMF embankment runoff. Since the Southwest WRSA is constructed with overburden material only, the corresponding source term for this facility is expected to be geochemically distinct and was not developed on the basis of humidity upscaling. Rather, the approach for overburden source term (outlined in Section 5.4) was applied.

A high-level overview of the workflow producing WRSA source terms is illustrated in Figure 5-1. Each of these work stages is described in detail below. Note that the prediction of nitrogen (ammonia, nitrite, nitrate) concentrations associated with the leaching of blast residues in WRSA and pit wall contact water is discussed separately in Sections 5.1.5 and 5.2.5, respectively.



Figure 5-1: Work stages involved in the scaling of geochemical source terms.

5.1.1 Derivation of Humidity Cell Loading Rate

Humidity cell loading rates are calculated as the mass of a solute released per kg of rock material over one week of humidity cell testing (mg/kg/wk). Two mine phases were broken out temporally for source term considerations, namely short-term and long-term. Conceptually, this approach relies on the assumption that geochemical loading rates during operations are influenced to an extent by readily soluble phases liberated during blasting, while long-term contact water chemistry is characterized by the dissolution of less labile sulphide and carbonate phases. For PAG materials, where sulphide minerals are expected to outlast carbonates, a drop in drainage pH would occur in the long-term. However, PAG1 material will be segregated and stored under saturated conditions within the TMF operationally and PAG2 rock volumes are considered sufficiently small to be operationally intermixed with NPAG rock in the WRSAs preventing ARD onset. As such, Goldboro WRSAs are not expected to release ARD after mine closure and therefore an acid correction for long-term source terms was not necessary.

Upon review of the geochemical trends in Goldboro humidity cell testing, the short- and long-term input loading rates were calculated as the median of cycles 5-15 and 19-25, respectively. Subsequently, selected humidity cell loading rates were averaged to translate these data into lithological groups that are consistent with proportions of rock types specified in the mine schedule available for each WRSA. Loading rates from HC5, which represents mixed-lithology PAG2 material, were split between argillite and greywacke for the WRSA model input (*i.e.*, NPAG + PAG2). An overview of all input data used for the WRSA source term model is presented in Table 5-1.

5.1.2 Scaling of Humidity cell Loading Rates

Following the definition of representative model input loading rates, these rates (in mg/kg/wk) are upscaled to annual loads being released from a full-scale WRSA. Since humidity cell testing promotes the flushing of all crushed sample particles under relatively high water/rock ratios (0.5 L/kg), the resulting upscaled load is deemed the maximum leachable load (ML) of a given species i and waste rock lithology x, and is calculated as

$$ML_{ix} = r_{ix} * m * t$$
 (Eq. 6)

where r_{ix} is the humidity cell loading rate for species i and lithology x; m is the mass (in kg) of the material contained in the facility of interest; and t (in wk) is the time interval of interest. Since WRSAs are blends of multiple lithologies, the cumulative load (in mg/year) can be written as

$$ML_i = ML_{ix1} + ML_{ix2} + ML_{ix3}$$
(Eq. 7)

Class	NPA	G + PAG2 - Short	ſerm	NPA	G + PAG2 - Long T	ſerm		PAG1 - Short Terr	m		
Lithology	Greywacke	Argillite	Marker Unit	Greywacke	Argillite	Marker Unit	Greywacke	Argillite	Marker Unit		
Cells Used	HC3 0.5 x HC5	HC1 0.5 x HC5	HC6	HC3 0.5 x HC5	HC1 0.5 x HC5	HC6	HC4	HC2	HC6		
Sulphate	1.4	1.4	2.9	2.9	3.0	2.8	3.9	3.4	2.9		
Cl	1.1	1.2	0.50	0.71	0.72	0.49	0.93	0.95	0.50		
F	0.043	0.043	0.029	0.043	0.043	0.029	0.028	0.029	0.029		
Al	0.092	0.079	0.033	0.053	0.068	0.027	0.034	0.028	0.033		
Sb	0.00077	0.00077	0.00044	0.00076	0.00077	0.00043	0.00042	0.00043	0.00044		
As	0.10	0.11	0.021	0.058	0.084	0.026	0.022	0.0096	0.021		
Ba	0.00071	0.00077	0.00061	0.00078	0.0010	0.00053	0.00046	0.00084	0.00061		
Be	0.0000050	0.0000050	0.0000034	0.0000050	0.0000051	0.0000034	0.0000033	0.0000034	0.0000034		
В	0.0030	0.0022	0.0015	0.0014	0.0014	0.00098	0.0018	0.00097	0.0015		
Cd	0.0000021	0.0000022	0.0000021	0.0000054	0.0000050	0.0000049	0.0000015	0.0000014	0.0000021		
Ca	0.97	1.3	1.7	1.8	1.9	1.6	0.62	1.3	1.7		
Cr	0.00012	0.000057	0.000039	0.000084	0.000081	0.000039	0.000039	0.000038	0.000039		
Со	0.000093	0.000078	0.000038	0.00014	0.00015	0.000038	0.00011	0.000055	0.000038		
Cu	0.00017	0.00017	0.000098	0.00014	0.00014	0.000096	0.000095	0.000099	0.000098		
Fe	0.024	0.016	0.0034	0.013	0.015	0.0034	0.015	0.010	0.0034		
Pb	0.000094	0.000065	0.000044	0.000064	0.000065	0.000043	0.000091	0.000043	0.000044		
Mg	0.13	0.21	0.25	0.22	0.29	0.20	0.12	0.21	0.25		
Mn	0.0047	0.0096	0.039	0.0080	0.011	0.032	0.0055	0.0082	0.039		
Hg	0.0000072	0.0000072	0.0000049	0.0000071	0.0000072	0.0000048	0.0000047	0.0000048	0.0000049		
Мо	0.00022	0.00053	0.00012	0.00027	0.00024	0.00096	0.00023	0.00029	0.00012		
Ni	0.00022	0.00011	0.00028	0.00029	0.00020	0.00014	0.00032	0.00019	0.00028		
K	1.3	1.7	0.79	1.4	1.7	0.60	0.57	1.1	0.79		
Se	0.000029	0.000029	0.000020	0.000029	0.000029	0.000019	0.000019	0.000019	0.000020		
Ag	0.000036	0.000036	0.000024	0.000036	0.000036	0.000024	0.000024	0.000024	0.000024		
Na	3.0	0.76	0.28	0.68	0.27	0.13	1.7	0.32	0.28		
Sr	0.016	0.022	0.0051	0.028	0.029	0.0041	0.013	0.021	0.0051		
ТІ	0.0000036	0.0000036	0.0000024	0.0000036	0.0000036	0.0000024	0.0000024	0.0000024	0.0000024		
Sn	0.000057	0.000043	0.000029	0.000043	0.000043	0.000029	0.000056	0.000029	0.000029		
U	0.00030	0.00045	0.00013	0.00020	0.00031	0.00010	0.000022	0.000058	0.00013		
V	0.00080	0.00078	0.00023	0.00052	0.00065	0.00022	0.00037	0.00020	0.00023		
Zn	0.0014	0.0014	0.00098	0.0014	0.0014	0.00096	0.00098	0.00096	0.00098		

 Table 5-1:

 Proportioning and Input Loading Rates (in units of mg/kg/wk) Used for the Calculation of WRSA Source Terms

Notes:

PAG: Potentially Acid Generating; NPAG: Non-Potentially Acid Generating; the definition of PAG1, PAG2, and NPAG is discussed in Section 3.1.2.
where identifiers 1 through 3 are the different lithology types argillite, greywacke, and marker unit. Waste rock tonnages associated with each of the Goldboro WRSAs and used for the source term model are summarized in Table 5-2.

Empirical and theoretical studies (*e.g.*, Malmström *et al.*, 2000; Kempton, 2012; Andrina *et al.*, 2012; Sapsford *et al.*, 2009; Kirchner & Mattson, 2015; Bornhorst & Logsdon, 2016) have demonstrated that, in most cases, upscaling to the full facility tonnage will often greatly overestimate the geochemical load produced due to the marked differences between laboratory and field conditions. The most notable difference that cause such discrepancies are thought to include water/rock ratio, temperature, particle size distribution, and oxygen availability. To account for these differences and produce more realistic drainage quality predictions, scaling factors are typically applied in the development of geochemical source terms that employ the described humidity cell mass-scaling approach. Without prior knowledge of the geochemical scalability of humidity cells, such factors are approximated using geochemical first principles and/or literature values derived for these types of scaling exercise (*e.g.*, Kempton, 2012), some of which can be associated with a large uncertainty given the site-specific nature of scaling relationships. Further, such scaling factors are commonly applied equally across all geochemical species which ignores relative differences in metal mobility under full-scale conditions.

Lorax has access to kinetic test and operational data for analogue mine sites which allows for the back-calculation of parameter-specific scaling factors in similar geologic environments. Empirical scaling factors are advantageous as they inherently account for all geochemical processes occurring within the WRSAs and considerably reduce the uncertainty associated with humidity cell scaling.

Class	1	NPAG + PA	G2 ¹		Total		
Lithology	Greywacke	Argillite	Marker Unit	Greywacke	Argillite	Marker Unit	Total
Southeast WRSA	20.9	7.9	1.3	-	-	-	30
Northeast WRSA	11.5	4.3	0.0	-	-	-	16
Northwest WRSA	16.4	5.2	2.6	-	-	-	24
Backfill into East Pit	12.3	4.9	3.9	-	-	-	21
PAG1 material in TMF ²	-	-	-	0.40	0.40	0.29	1.1
NPAG closure cover in TMF ³	3.3E-06	1.4E-06	2.9E-07	_	-	_	5.0E-06

 Table 5-2:

 WRSA Tonnages Used for the Upscaling of Humidity Cell Loading Rates (in Mt)

Notes:

PAG: Potentially Acid Generating; NPAG: Non-Potentially Acid Generating; the definition of PAG1, PAG2, and NPAG is discussed in Section 3.1.2.

¹PAG2 material is not expected to be used in the TMF closure cover, however HC6 was conservatively included for source term modelling of this mine component.

² The tonnages listed represent the maximum exposed (unsaturated) mass before flooding occurs.

 3 Tonnages represent materials exposed per 1 m² plan assuming a cover thickness of 2.5 m and a bulk density of 2.0 t/m³.

The method used for the calculation scaling factors is similar to that described in Lorax (2019) and considers kinetic testwork and operational data from representative analogue sites. Base and Upper Case scaling factors for each species i (SF_i) were developed by tying humidity cell loading rates to the 75th percentile and maximum observed concentrations from the analogue site water quality monitoring databases, respectively. The resulting empirical scaling factors (Table 5-3) were subsequently implemented into the Goldboro model to calculate a Base and Upper Case Scaled Load (SL_i) as follows:

$$SL_i = ML_i * SF_i$$

Table 5-3: Parameter-specific Scaling Factors (unitless) Used to Adjust Upscaled WRSA Loading Rates

Parameter	Base Case	Upper Case
Sulphate	0.064	0.096
Cl	0.080	0.19
F	0.0025	0.0049
Al	0.00017	0.00044
Sb	0.0053	0.011
As	0.00095	0.0024
Ва	0.044	0.054
Be	0.21	0.42
В	0.011	0.021
Cd	0.011	0.035
Ca	0.042	0.064
Cr	0.037	0.074
Со	0.034	0.042
Cu	0.0021	0.0033
Fe	0.010	0.021
Pb	0.059	0.11
Mg	0.21	0.27
Mn	0.029	0.048
Hg	0.0019	0.0038
Мо	0.011	0.011
Ni	0.063	0.32
Κ	0.010	0.013
Se	0.018	0.037
Ag	0.0030	0.0059
Na	0.070	0.10
Sr	0.035	0.046
Tl	0.030	0.059
Sn	0.013	0.027
U	0.018	0.030
V	0.0038	0.0075
Zn	0.0074	0.028

(Eq. 8)

5.1.3 Conversion of Loads to Concentrations

Following the scaling of the humidity cell loading rates for the Goldboro WRSAs, annual geochemical loads (in mg/year) were converted into concentrations using mean annual precipitation and infiltration factors provided by others (Muirhead, pers. comm., 2022) and consistent with assumptions used in the site-wide water balance model. The use of concentrations for source term calculations is advantageous as it allows for direct comparison with field kinetic test data from site or site analogues, as well as regulatory guidelines. Concentrations are also required as input to geochemical modelling software for the evaluation of solubility limits. Water balance parameters utilized for this conversion process in the Goldboro WRSA source term model are summarized in Table 5-4.

Facility	Footprint (m ²)	Infiltration (L)
Southeast WRSA	362,000	459,618,794
Northeast WRSA	229,000	290,753,325
Northwest WRSA	409,000	519,293,057
Backfill into East Pit	178,287	226,364,795
PAG1 material in TMF	290,000	368,202,901
NPAG closure cover in TMF	1.0	1,270

 Table 5-4:

 Water Balance Used for the Conversion of Annual Loads into Concentrations

Notes:

PAG: Potentially Acid Generating; NPAG: Non-Potentially Acid Generating; the definition of PAG1, PAG2, and NPAG is discussed in Section 3.1.2.

¹ Infiltration values assume a mean annual precipitation of 1411 mm and an infiltration factor of 90%.

² The TMF closure cover source term was based on 1 m² (plan).

5.1.4 Solubility Limits and Model Calibration

ML/ARD management strategies at Goldboro are designed to prevent the occurrence of ARD from WRSAs, and hence neutral pH drainage is expected from these facilities. Under neutral-pH conditions, the mobility of many metals will be limited by solubility constraints. To validate humidity cell scaling and scaling adjustment approach under neutral pH, concentration caps were applied using conservative statistical values calculated from the compiled Goldboro water quality dataset. While the exceedance of concentration caps is not expected for the majority of species and scenarios, this step is considered a valid method to prevent the overestimation of species that may exhibit different scalability at different mine sites. Caps imposed for the WRSA source terms are summarized in Table 5-5 and were derived as follows:

- Base Case
 - Maximum value observed in the combined field barrel leachate and ore (bulk sample) drainage chemistry databases.

o For individual species (Ba, K, and V), the 75th percentile value of the SFE database was found to be higher than the maximum value of the above databases in which case the former was chosen as the Base Case concentration limit. Iron and Al were excluded from this adjustment since these species were found to produce unrealistically high dissolved concentrations in SFE leachates compared with site drainage data available for Goldboro and analogue sites, likely due to colloids passing the filter after prolonged stirring of a crushed sample.

Parameter	Base Case	Upper Case
Sulphate	N/A	N/A
Cl	8.5	17
F	0.20	0.30
Al	0.17	0.34
Sb	0.0075	0.015
As	5.8	12
Ba	0.0086	0.017
Be	0.00050	0.0010
В	0.061	0.12
Cd	0.000038	0.000076
Ca	35	70
Cr	0.0010	0.0020
Со	0.0025	0.0050
Cu	0.063	0.13
Fe	0.12	0.24
Pb	0.0011	0.0021
Mg	1.0	2.0
Mn	0.14	0.28
Hg	0.0000065	0.000013
Mo	0.0032	0.0064
Ni	0.031	0.062
K	17	34
Se	0.00050	0.0010
Ag	0.00010	0.00020
Na	30	60
Sr	0.13	0.26
Tl	0.00010	0.00020
Sn	0.0020	0.0040
U	0.0016	0.0031
V	0.014	0.028
Zn	0.029	0.058

Table 5-5: Concentration Caps (in mg/L) Imposed for the Goldboro WRSA Source Term Model Output if Exceeded

- Mercury (Hg) and Be have notably low water quality guidelines and were found to fall below the detection limit in <u>all</u> water quality data points available for Goldboro. To avoid the overprediction of these species based on analytical constraints, Base Case limits for these constituents were set to half the detection limit value.
- The Base Case dissolved F solubility limit was set to 0.2 mg/L based on conservative values from available site analogue databases.
- Upper Case:
 - Two times (2x) the Base Case cap. For Hg and Be, this equates to the detection limit value.
 - The Upper Case dissolved F solubility limit was set to 0.3 mg/L based on conservative values from available site analogue databases.

If the scaled model output concentration exceeded the cap value for the corresponding species, the source term was set to this cap value. Note that no solubility limit was imposed for sulphate.

The speciation code PHREEQC (Parkhurst & Appelo, 1999) was used to calculate solubility limits for gypsum (CaSO₄ x 2 H₂O), which has well-constrained thermodynamic properties, in WRSA source terms only. Further, the code was used to charge balance the modelled solutions using SO₄, to maintain geochemical first principles. Since Goldboro drainage is forecasted to produce relatively low Ca and SO₄ concentrations, the gypsum solubility limit was not found to effect output concentrations, but rather SO₄ concentrations were increased somewhat by charge balancing.

5.1.5 Prediction of WRSA Blast Residue Leaching

5.1.5.1 Operations

Nitrogen loads for Goldboro WRSAs were estimated using methods developed in Lorax (2021). Similar to the methodology used by Ferguson and Leask (1988), the rates of nitrogen (*i.e.*, ammonia, nitrate and nitrite) loading from waste rock at a site analogue were empirically derived from mining and monitoring data. Average and maximum measured total N concentrations were converted to N loading rates of 1.2 and 2.1 g N/t. The resulting loading rates were then scaled to the Goldboro Project using the ratio of the planned explosives use rate, or powder factor (PF = 0.22 kg/t). The Project N loading rates from mine rock were calculated to be 1.32 and 2.35 g N/t for Base Case and Upper Case, respectively (corresponding to 2.64 and 4.71 g N/m³ of loose rock).

LORAX

The Project N loading rates were applied to the amount of waste scheduled for annual placement in each WRSA to calculate the annual N loads that are released from each WRSA. The maximum annual WRSA waste volumes shown in Table 5-6 are combined to calculate the maximum annual N load for each facility. The nitrogen load estimates are based on the proposed mine schedule, use of TITAN® XL1000 bulk emulsion explosives (or equivalent), and waste PF of 0.22 kg/t. It is also assumed that industry standard good practices for blasting, explosives use, and nitrogen source control will be implemented for the duration of mine construction and operations. The annual infiltration water volume is estimated to be 90% of the mean annual precipitation for the final footprint of each WRSA. Source term concentrations of N species in mine rock runoff were then predicted by dividing the maximum annual N loads by the predicted infiltration for each WRSA.

Nitrogen species associated with the TMF embankments constructed with waste rock were derived on the basis of site water quality monitoring data from an analogue ditch system similar to the approach described in Lorax (2019). Median and conservative concentration values from representative monitoring stations were used to derive Base Case and Upper Case predictions for the Goldboro Project. This same source term was also applied to the TMF closure cover to be constructed with NPAG mine rock. Nitrogen source terms for PAG1 material in the TMF were conservatively approximated by the lowest concentration value predicted for the mine rock WRSAs.

Term	Units	Southeast WRSA	Northeast WRSA A + B	Northwest WRSA	East Pit Backfill
Footprint EOM	m^2	362,000	229,000	409,000	178,287
Infiltration Water Volume ¹	m ³	459,704	290,807	519,389	226,407
Maximum Annual Waste Placement ²	m ³ (loose)	4,116,579	1,701,953	2,619,905	3,886,276
Maximum Annual N Load (Base Case)	kg N	10,868	6,917	10,260	11,186
Maximum Annual N Load (Upper Case)	kg N	19,381	12,335	18,297	19,949

 Table 5-6:

 Waste Rock Storage Area (WRSA) Footprint, Estimated Infiltration Volume, Maximum Annual Waste Placement and Predicted N Loads

Notes:

¹ Calculated from Mean Annual Precipitation (MAP; 1411 mm), WRSA infiltration rate (90% of MAP) and the EOM footprint for each WRSA.

² Mine year of Maximum Annual Waste Placement: SE Dump (Year 2), NE Dump Areas A and B (Year 5), NW Dump (Year 5) and EP Backfill (Year 9).

5.1.5.2 Closure

Due to the surficial nature of blast residues, concentrations of nitrogen species related to blasting will decrease over time once the addition of blasted material to a facility has ceased (e.g., Pommen, 1983). The N depletion rates depend on a variety of factors including the amount of reactive rock surfaces as well as flushing rates that are difficult to model. Longterm monitoring of waste rock drainage at the Roman-Trend Mine has shown that nitrate depletion is not linear but rather is expressed as a decay curve (Figure 5-2) with the highest degradation rate observed in the early years after closure (Lorax, 2017). It was found that, in the closure period, nitrogen concentrations were reduced annually by $\geq 20\%$ of the previous year's concentration up until five years into closure. Conservatively including year 6 after mine closure into the calculation, the decrease of nitrate concentration from ~102 mg/L to 20 mg/L yields an annual nitrogen decay rate of 24%. Waste dump height and precipitation rate are known to represent the dominant controls on nitrogen residue leaching. The height of the Roman-Trend mine WRSA reporting to the water monitoring station from Figure 5-2 is approximately 70 m, not dissimilar from the various Goldboro WRSAs. However, the mean annual precipitation rate at Goldboro is significantly higher than at the Roman-Trend Mine (1,411 mm versus 1,000 mm) and therefore nitrogen flushing at Goldboro would be expected to occur faster. Nevertheless, to account for uncertainties around WRSA height and blasting practices, the nitrate degradation rate of 24% calculated for the Trend-Roman mine was adopted directly for Goldboro WRSA drainage quality modelling and applies to all nitrogen species associated with blast residue leaching (ammonia, nitrite, nitrate). This is considered conservative since ammonia and nitrite are known to oxidize to nitrate and should therefore be removed from the system quicker and by means other than just physical flushing of rock surfaces.

Compared with larger scale, higher WRSAs, nitrogen flushing from TMF embankments and the NPAG closure cover in the TMF is expected to occur significantly faster than in the WRSA due to the limited reactive material volume and higher water/rock ratios in these mine components. Nitrate concentrations in analogue site monitoring stations receiving TMF embankment runoff have decreased at high rates providing further evidence of rapid nitrogen flushing in smaller-scale facilities. To maintain conservatism, the annual nitrogen depletion rate applied to all blast-related nitrogen species was set to 80% for both TMF embankments and the TMF closure cover.



Figure 5-2: Nitrate concentration trends observed in a waste rock monitoring station at the Roman-Trend mine (from Lorax, 2017)

5.1.6 Results

The geochemical source term model output generated after the processing steps described in Sections 5.1.1 through 5.1.5 is presented in Table 5-7 for selected species. A list of the full model results in provided in Appendix F. By design, WRSA drainage pH is circumneutral across the mine site. Consistent with the geochemical characterization of Goldboro waste rock, elevated As leaching is expected to occur under these conditions due to the oxidation of arsenopyrite. These results were incorporated into the site-wide surface and groundwater quality models. Due to the low carbonate contents in Goldboro mine rock materials, it was recommended to transition from short-term to long-term predictions after three (3) years of exposure. PAG2 tonnage was estimated to be minimal based on block model results and will be managed (i.e., blended or layered) within NPAG waste rock in the WRSAs. Consequently, the acidification of PAG2 rock within the overwhelmingly NPAG WRSAs is not expected. Effects of acidification, including elevated metal leaching rates, are therefore considered highly unlikely and were not modelled as part of this source term model. Operational management and monitoring, specified in the Goldboro ML/ARD Management Plan (Lorax, 2022) will ensure that these assumptions are validated and implemented.

Location	Phase	Scenario	рН	Sulphate	Al	As	Fe	Zn	Ammonia (as N)	Nitrite (as N)	Nitrate (as N)
			mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg N/L	mg N/L	mg N/L
	Chout tours	Base Case	7.5	151	0.025	0.16	0.12	0.018	0.37	0.20	23
Southoost WDSA	Short-term	Upper Case	6.5	312	0.066	0.42	0.24	0.069	0.65	0.35	41
Southeast WKSA	Long tamp	Base Case	7.0	151	0.016	0.10	0.12	0.018	Rate	Rate	Rate
	Long-term	Upper Case	6.0	315	0.043	0.27	0.24	0.070	Rate	Rate	Rate
	Chout tours	Base Case	7.5	151	0.025	0.17	0.12	0.018	0.37	0.20	23
Northcost WDSA	Short-term	Upper Case	6.5	313	0.068	0.43	0.24	0.070	0.66	0.36	41
Northeast WKSA	T - u - t- u	Base Case	7.0	151	0.016	0.11	0.12	0.019	Rate	Rate	Rate
	Long-term	Upper Case	6.0	316	0.044	0.27	0.24	0.071	Rate	Rate	Rate
		Base Case	7.5	151	0.024	0.15	0.12	0.018	0.31	0.17	19
Nonthruget WDCA	Snort-term	Upper Case	6.5	311	0.064	0.39	0.24	0.067	0.55	0.30	34
Northwest WKSA	T	Base Case	7.0	151	0.015	0.099	0.12	0.018	Rate	Rate	Rate
	Long-term	Upper Case	6.0	313	0.041	0.25	0.24	0.068	Rate	Rate	Rate
	Chart tang	Base Case	7.5	151	0.022	0.14	0.12	0.017	0.77	0.42	48
Dool-fill to Foot nit	Short-term	Upper Case	6.5	310	0.060	0.37	0.24	0.066	1.4	0.74	86
backini to East pit	Long tamp	Base Case	7.0	151	0.015	0.096	0.12	0.017	Rate	Rate	Rate
	Long-term	Upper Case	6.0	311	0.040	0.24	0.24	0.066	Rate	Rate	Rate
DAC1 in TME	Short torm	Base Case	7.0	148	0.0091	0.44	0.18	0.012	0.31	0.17	19
r AGI III I MIF	Short-term	Upper Case	6.0	298	0.024	1.4	0.37	0.047	0.55	0.30	34
	Long tamp	Base Case	7.0	140	0.013	0.075	0.12	0.012	0.050	0.011	3.0
INFAG Cover	Long-term	Upper Case	6.0	271	0.036	0.19	0.24	0.046	0.46	0.048	8.9
	Short term	Base Case	7.5	140	0.024	0.15	0.12	0.018	0.050	0.011	3.0
TME Embonizmont ¹	Short-term	Upper Case	6.5	282	0.064	0.39	0.24	0.067	0.46	0.048	8.9
TWIF Embankment	Long torm	Base Case	7.0	151	0.015	0.099	0.12	0.018	Rate	Rate	Rate
	Long-term	Upper Case	6.0	313	0.041	0.25	0.24	0.068	Rate	Rate	Rate

 Table 5-7:

 Selected Source Term Model Predictions for Goldboro WRSAs

Notes:

PAG: Potentially Acid Generating; NPAG: Non-Potentially Acid Generating; the definition of PAG1, PAG2, and NPAG is discussed in Section 3.1.2.

¹ TMF Embankment source terms not related to blast residue leaching represent the minimum predicted concentrations from all WRSAs.

"Rate" describes the nitrogen depletion rate in response to surface flushing of blast residue during closure.

5.2 Pit Walls

5.2.1 Derivation of Humidity Cell Loading Rate

The pit wall source term model generally relies on the same approach as that described in detail for the WRSA above comprising humidity cell load upscaling, the application of scaling factors, conversion of loads to concentrations, and the capping of the model output (Figure 5-1). The underpinning concepts are therefore not repeated in this chapter but rather, specific model assumptions that are unique to water-rock interactions in the pit walls are outlined.

Pit walls comprise exposures of all three ARD designations (PAG1, PAG2, NPAG) and therefore unique source terms were developed for each of these materials. Humidity cell data was processed in the same manner as described for the WRSA source terms to obtain short and long-term model inputs. Where more than one humidity cell was used for the development of a single material's input loading rate, the humidity cell outputs were averaged. Input data used for the pit wall source term model is summarized in Table 5-8.

5.2.2 Scaling of Humidity Cell Loading Rates

The tonnage of reactive pit wall rock contacted per 1 m² (plan) was constrained on the basis of theoretical assumptions relating to blasting effects on wall rock fracturing and the associated increase of surface areas available to release a chemical load into runoff. In brief, this blast-damaged transition zone comprises a "fractured zone" and an "influenced zone". Consistent with observations discussed in Hustrulid (1999), average thicknesses of 1.0 and 3.0 m were chosen for the blast-fractured and the blast-influenced zones, respectively. Using a bulk density of 2.8 t/m³ and an average pit wall slope angle of 50°, these thicknesses were subsequently used to calculate a rock mass available for geochemical leaching assuming that only 10% of the deeper, blast-influenced zone has a particle size comparable to that of the humidity samples. The resulting reactive rock mass amounts to 5.7 t per plan m² of pit wall exposed.

As for WRSA source terms, scaling factors (SF_i) to adjust ML_i (Eq. 8) were back-calculated using analogue site operational data, however, the calculation parameters were adjusted to better reflect the physical and chemical characteristics specific to pit wall runoff. Water balance considerations and groundwater influence

Class	NP	AG	PAG1		PAG2		
Cells Used	HC1;	HC3	HC2;	HC4	H	C5	
Phase	Short Term	Long Term	Short Term	Long Term ¹	Short Term	Long Term ¹	
Sulphate	0.94	0.93	3.6	3.3	1.0	4.0	
Cl	0.92	0.46	0.94	0.47	0.50	0.51	
F	0.028	0.028	0.029	0.028	0.030	0.030	
Al	0.060	0.051	0.031	0.023	0.052	0.019	
Sb	0.00042	0.00042	0.00043	0.00042	0.00069	0.00069	
As	0.067	0.052	0.016	0.016	0.073	0.037	
Ba	0.00048	0.00050	0.00065	0.00078	0.00053	0.00079	
Be	0.0000033	0.0000032	0.0000033	0.0000033	0.0000035	0.0000035	
В	0.0014	0.00093	0.0014	0.00093	0.0025	0.0010	
Cd	0.0000014	0.0000028	0.0000014	0.0000049	0.0000015	0.0000048	
Ca	0.64	0.83	0.96	1.2	0.95	2.0	
Cr	0.000067	0.000062	0.000039	0.000037	0.000040	0.000041	
Со	0.000051	0.000061	0.000082	0.00013	0.000070	0.00017	
Cu	0.000096	0.000093	0.000097	0.00012	0.00015	0.00010	
Fe	0.016	0.012	0.012	0.0100	0.0091	0.0036	
Pb	0.000057	0.000042	0.000067	0.000079	0.000045	0.000046	
Mg	0.10	0.13	0.17	0.21	0.13	0.25	
Mn	0.0051	0.0050	0.0068	0.0085	0.0041	0.0086	
Hg	0.0000047	0.0000046	0.0000048	0.0000047	0.0000049	0.0000051	
Мо	0.00030	0.00018	0.00026	0.00063	0.00015	0.00015	
Ni	0.00013	0.00014	0.00026	0.00037	0.000073	0.00020	
K	0.94	1.0	0.85	0.97	1.1	1.1	
Se	0.000019	0.000019	0.000019	0.000019	0.000020	0.000020	
Ag	0.000023	0.000023	0.000024	0.000023	0.000025	0.000025	
Na	1.3	0.32	1.0	0.34	1.1	0.30	
Sr	0.011	0.013	0.017	0.019	0.016	0.031	
Tl	0.0000023	0.0000023	0.0000024	0.0000029	0.0000025	0.0000025	
Sn	0.000035	0.000028	0.000042	0.000035	0.000030	0.000030	
U	0.00027	0.00017	0.000040	0.000025	0.00022	0.00017	
V	0.00056	0.00046	0.00029	0.00024	0.00047	0.00026	
Zn	0.00094	0.00093	0.00097	0.0014	0.00099	0.0010	

Table 5-8: Proportioning and Input Loading Rates (in units of mg/kg/wk) Used for the **Calculation of Pit Wall Source Terms**

PAG: Potentially Acid Generating; NPAG: Non-Potentially Acid Generating; the definition of PAG1, PAG2, and NPAG is discussed in Section 3.1.2.

¹ Long-term PAG source terms were not used directly but were multiplied with acid factors discussed below to generate estimated acidic loading rates.

An overview of the back-calculated humidity cell scaling factors for pit wall source terms is presented in Table 5-9. Importantly, none of the Goldboro PAG humidity cells had produced acidic leachate (*i.e.*, $pH \le 5.5$) such that assumptions had to be made with respect to the long-term drainage chemistry of PAG1 and PAG2 materials which are not actively managed in the pit walls until flooded in closure. Therefore, kinetic test data from multiple acidic PAG humidity cells from analogue sites were used to predict the effects of carbonate depletion and contact water acidification on metal leaching rates at Goldboro. For this assessment, stable loading rates under neutral and acidic conditions for individual PAG humidity cells were compared and processed to derive "acid factors" for a given species i (AF_i) as follows:

$$AF_i = L_{Ai}/L_{Ni} \qquad (Eq. 9)$$

where L_{Ai} is the median loading rate of species i under acidic conditions and L_{Ni} is the median loading rate of species i in neutral conditions. For PAG1 predictions, a humidity cell producing a stable pH of 3.5-4 was utilized for this calculation, while PAG2 acidic loading rates were simulated on the basis of humidity cells with a stable leachate pH of ~5.5. This is consistent with the concept that PAG2 materials will be more mildly acidic when exposed in the pit walls due to their lower sulphur contents. The resulting acid factors (Table 5-9) were then multiplied with the neutral long-term source term for PAG1 and PAG2 (Table 5-8), respectively, to derive loading rates that are representative of acidic conditions. It should be noted that this approach is considered preliminary and geochemical source term model outputs will be updated once acidic drainage from at least one of the Goldboro humidity cells is observed.

5.2.3 Conversion of Loads to Concentrations

The scaled pit wall loads were divided by the expected precipitation volume available per m^2 plan of pit wall. At Goldboro, a mean annual precipitation of 1,218 mm and pit wall runoff rate of 85% were assumed amounting to 1,035 L of contact water per year from which runoff concentration were calculated.

5.2.4 Solubility Limits and Model Calibration

Short-term and long-term NPAG model output concentrations were capped using the same solubility limits listed in Table 5-5 and subsequently processed in PHREEQC. PAG2 material is predicted to generate only mildly acidic (pH 5-6) drainage and the analysis of site monitoring and kinetic test data available to date has not revealed considerably elevated sulphate or metal release rates in response to lowered pH (minimum pH of 6.1 in HC2 and 5.1 in ore stockpile drainage). Therefore, the scaled and acid-corrected model output for long-term PAG2 pit wall runoff was capped at a solubility limit 10x higher than the Base

and Upper Case caps applied for the neutral source term output (Table 5-5). PAG1 material runoff concentrations were not limited at all as strongly elevated metal leaching rates are anticipated at the predicted long-term pH of 4.

Table 5-9: Scaling and Acid Factors Derived for the Pit Wall Source Term Model Using Site Analogue Humidity Cell Data

	Scalin	Scaling Factors		actors
Scenario/Class	Base Case	Upper Case	PAG1	PAG2
Sulphate	0.087	0.14	2.4	1.2
Cl	0.27	0.72	1.0	1.0
F	0.026	0.042	1.0	1.0
Al	0.0014	0.0020	573	4.7
Sb	0.27	0.39	0.98	1.0
As	0.019	0.044	1.7	0.90
Ba	0.17	0.42	33	4.5
Be	1.1	2.2	523	11
В	0.11	0.22	1.6	1.9
Cd	0.029	0.046	34	18
Ca	0.068	0.093	0.29	0.91
Cr	0.20	0.39	3.1	1.3
Со	0.49	1.2	322	98
Cu	0.0062	0.070	388	11
Fe	0.11	0.13	376	4.3
Pb	0.16	0.31	471	26
Mg	0.35	0.69	3.3	2.1
Mn	0.14	0.36	3.9	17
Hg	10	20	0.96	1.00
Мо	0.26	0.40	1.00	0.62
Ni	1.9	4.1	103	80
K	0.032	0.11	1.2	0.82
Se	0.19	0.33	14	2.3
Ag	0.016	0.031	0.98	1.0
Na	0.38	0.65	2.6	1.4
Sr	0.17	0.41	0.68	1.3
Tl	0.16	0.31	8.9	2.0
Sn	0.071	0.14	7.5	1.7
U	0.15	0.25	102	4.4
V	0.020	0.040	0.058	0.32
Zn	0.039	0.051	144	26

Notes:

PAG: Potentially Acid Generating; NPAG: Non-Potentially Acid Generating; the definition of PAG1, PAG2, and NPAG is discussed in Section 3.1.2.

5.2.5 Prediction of Pit Wall Blast Residue Leaching

5.2.5.1 *Operations*

The concentrations of ammonia, nitrate and nitrite in pit wall runoff are estimated using methodology developed previously in Lorax (2021) and rely largely on analogue pit sump water quality monitoring as well as assumptions around leachable nitrogen loads caused by blast fragmentation. Concentrations predicted were found to be in the conservative range of operational monitoring databases for other mine sites.

5.2.5.2 *Closure*

While pit sump chemistry commonly shows elevated nitrogen concentration during operations in response to blasting activities, limited surface area is available to fix undetonated blast residue. As such, nitrogen species are expected to be flushed from pit wall surfaces relatively rapidly after blasting is terminated at the end of mining. Consistent with information presented for smaller scale mine rock components (TMF embankments and NPAG TMF cover; Section 5.2.5.2), the annual nitrogen depletion rate for pit walls was set to 80% during closure which is considered a conservative estimate.

5.2.6 Results

Selected pit wall source term predictions are presented in Table 5-10 for each NPR designation. A list of the full model results in provided in Appendix F. Compositing of the PAG1, PAG2, and NPAG source terms according to pit wall exposures predicted by the block model was done in the site-wide water quality model prepared by others.

			NP	AG		PAG2					PAG	G1	
Parameter	Units	Short	-term	Long	-term	Short	-term	Long-	term	Short	-term	Long	;-term
		Base Case	Upper Case										
pН	-	7.5	6.5	7.0	6.0	7.5	6.5	5.5	5.0	7.0	6.0	4.0	3.5
Sulphate	mg/L	80	171	89	167	94	192	124	237	93	186	653	1075
Al	mg/L	0.020	0.029	0.017	0.025	0.018	0.025	0.030	0.043	0.011	0.015	4.5	6.4
As	mg/L	0.31	0.73	0.24	0.57	0.33	0.80	0.15	0.37	0.073	0.17	0.13	0.31
Cd	mg/L	0.000010	0.000016	0.000020	0.000032	0.000011	0.000017	0.000038	0.00076	0.000010	0.000016	0.0012	0.0019
Со	mg/L	0.0025	0.0050	0.0025	0.0050	0.0025	0.0050	0.0025	0.050	0.0025	0.0050	5.1	12
Cu	mg/L	0.00015	0.0017	0.00014	0.0016	0.00022	0.0025	0.0018	0.020	0.00015	0.0017	0.069	0.78
Fe	mg/L	0.12	0.24	0.12	0.24	0.12	0.24	0.42	0.48	0.12	0.24	102	116
Pb	mg/L	0.0011	0.0021	0.0011	0.0021	0.0011	0.0021	0.0011	0.021	0.0011	0.0021	1.4	2.8
Mn	mg/L	0.14	0.28	0.14	0.28	0.14	0.28	0.14	2.8	0.14	0.28	1.1	2.9
Ni	mg/L	0.031	0.062	0.031	0.062	0.031	0.062	0.031	0.62	0.031	0.062	18	39
Zn	mg/L	0.0090	0.012	0.0090	0.012	0.0095	0.012	0.25	0.33	0.0093	0.012	1.9	2.5
Ammonia	mg N/L	3.2	16	Rate	Rate	3.2	16	Rate	Rate	3.2	16	Rate	Rate
Nitrite	mg N/L	0.27	2.4	Rate	Rate	0.27	2.4	Rate	Rate	0.27	2.4	Rate	Rate
Nitrate	mg N/L	5.8	15	Rate	Rate	5.8	15	Rate	Rate	5.8	15	Rate	Rate

 Table 5-10:

 Selected Source Term Model Predictions for Goldboro Pit Walls

Notes:

PAG: Potentially Acid Generating; NPAG: Non-Potentially Acid Generating; the definition of PAG1, PAG2, and NPAG is discussed in Section 3.1.2.

"Rate" describes the nitrogen depletion rate in response to surface flushing of blast residue during closure.

5-19

5.3 Tailings Management Facility

5.3.1 Approach

The water chemistry associated with tailings discharged into the TMF is expected to differ from mine rock seepage due to the effects of the mill process on contact water chemistry. Key mill activities that affect tailings supernatant chemistry beyond the oxidative, mineralcontrolled reactions predicted for blasted mine rock include:

- Crushing and milling of ore drastically increasing the material's surface area;
- Addition of cyanide and other reagents to facilitate the gold extraction process;
- Addition of sodium metabisulfite (Na-MBS) and copper sulphate during cyanide detoxification; and
- In-line addition of ferric sulphate for arsenic treatment.

Bench-scale metallurgical testing is intended to capture the effectiveness of the various mill process steps. Representative tailings materials and associated supernatants underwent extensive environmental testing to allow the prediction of contact water chemistry under a variety of conditions. Two source terms were generated for tailings contact water: First, a process water source term represents the chemistry of water entrained in the tailings slurry as it is discharged into the TMF. Second, a TMF seepage source term considers the long-term contact of pore water and tailings under oxygen-deprived conditions before reporting to local groundwater systems. Anoxic conditions inhibit sulphide oxidation and thus acid production but enhance the solubility of certain species, including Fe and Mn, via reductive dissolution.

Approximately 170 kt of historic tailings will be disturbed during development of the open pit and deposited within the lined TMF footprint during the construction phase and in the early stages of operations. No water is expected to leave the facility during this time and historic tailings will be fully covered with ROM tailings slurry well before any discharge is expected to occur (Blackwell, pers. comm., 2022). It is therefore not expected that historic tailings leaching will impact the chemistry of the water column of the TMF Pond and therefore, no source term for historic tailings was derived.

5.3.2 Process water

Process water chemistry was modelled based on the water chemistry results obtained from the 2020 and 2021 master composite tailings supernatant. The Base Case source term was calculated as the average of the two solution compositions, while the Upper Case represents the maximum concentration from the two tests. The accumulation of loads expected for some species in response to recycling of TMF pond water to the mill is not considered in the process water source term since this mechanism is captured conservatively in the TMF water quality model prepared by others.

Note that the 2020 Master Composite tailings supernatant sample exhibited very high total CN concentrations (30 mg/L) even after cyanide detoxification step. Such high values are not expected operationally (Ausenco, pers. comm., 2021) and therefore, cyanide and species known to be strongly affected by cyanide were adjusted in the 2020 Master Composite sample for the calculation of source terms as follows:

- Total CN concentrations were reduced to 2.5 mg/L which represents a conservative achievable concentration operationally (Ausenco, pers. comm., 2022).
- Dissolved Fe forms strong complexes with CN and was found significantly elevated in the 2020 Master Composite sample compared with the 2021 sample. Dissolved Fe was therefore reduced to 1.0 mg/L, proportional to the total CN decrease.
- Ammonia, nitrate, and nitrite were increased stoichiometrically and proportionally as a result of the total CN adjustment as these species are expected to form in response to cyanide degradation.

In order to further support water quality modelling efforts, Lorax provided CN decay rates to be applied to the mixed TMF pond. In a pond environment, CN is known to decay naturally in response to a variety of external factors such as volatilization, photolysis, oxidation, hydrolysis, and sorption. Botz and Mudder (2000), constrained the loss of CN in such environments via computer modelling and calibrated the output against water quality monitoring results from tailings impoundments. It was found that the relative annual decay rate of cyanide remained relatively constant for several years into mine closure independent of the total cyanide concentration (Figure 5-3). Based on these findings, it was proposed to implement an annual CN decay rate of 55% in which all CN would be converted to ammonia. Since ammonia is produced during this process, oxidative (and other) mechanisms that degrade ammonia naturally in the same aqueous system are expected to act somewhat slower. In accordance with this theoretical constraint and in consideration of supporting ammonia data from the closed Equity Silver mine in Northern BC (Price and Aziz, 2012), an annual ammonia decay rate of 30% was implemented for the Goldboro TMF pond after tailings discharge has ceased.



Figure 5-3: Natural decay of total CN in a North American tailings impoundment (from Botz and Mudder, 2000).

5.3.3 TMF Seepage

Saturated column testing is intended to mimic reactions occurring in the saturated and suboxic pore spaces of sedimented tailings. Leachate from this type of test is therefore considered to represent a viable geochemical proxy when predicting TMF seepage to groundwater and collection ditches. A switch in column influent from the 2020 Master Composite supernatant to the more representative (lower CN) 2021 Master composite sample was undertaken in December 2021. To put more emphasis on leachates produced by the more recent influent but still maintain conservatism with respect to cyanide transport, Base and Upper Case TMF seepage source terms were calculated as follows:

For the Base Case, the median leachate concentration from the last eight saturated column cycles collected between October 2021 and February 2022 were used as the geochemical source term. For species that were exclusively below the analytical detection limit, half of the highest reported detection limit was adopted as the predicted concentration value. Note that the choice of kinetic test cycles employed for this exercise includes four cycles before and four cycles after the introduction of the 2021 Master Composite supernatant as the influent which is considered conservative. The Upper Case scenario was derived as the 90th percentile value from the same dataset used for the Base Case. Detection limit values were adopted as such for these predictions.

Due to the fact that the Goldboro saturated column experiments are ongoing and may not have reached fully oxygen-deprived at the time of source term modelling, two adjustments were made to the concentrations of Fe and Mn. These species are known to be significantly more mobile under sub- to anoxic conditions which may be more readily achieved in the field compared with bench-scale experiments. As an approximation, the Fe and Mn concentrations derived using the above calculation methods were multiplied by a factor of five (5) and 100, respectively, to account for the possibility of increased mobility within the full-scale TMF environment. These factors are in accordance with observations from other mine sites where corresponding bench-scale data are available.

5.3.4 Results

Selected results of the source term model output for tailings contact water are summarized in Table 5-11. A complete list of the source term model output is given in Appendix F.

		Proce	ss Water	TMF	Seepage	
Parameter	Units	Ope	rations	Operations/ Closure		
		Base Case	Upper Case	Base Case	Upper Case	
рН		7.4	7.2	7.8	7.7	
Sulphate	mg/L	970	1553	1332	1678	
Al	mg/L	0.018	0.023	0.0074	0.0095	
As	mg/L	0.82	1.1	1.4	1.4	
Cd	mg/L	0.000044	0.000074	0.000010	0.000025	
Со	mg/L	0.067	0.11	0.037	0.042	
Cu	mg/L	0.042	0.056	0.00035	0.00049	
Fe	mg/L	0.51	1.0	1.9	4.5	
Pb	mg/L	0.000051	0.000066	0.000020	0.00010	
Mn	mg/L	0.080	0.11	19	21	
Ni	mg/L	0.0014	0.0016	0.00083	0.0010	
Zn	mg/L	0.0019	0.0025	0.0010	0.0020	
Ammonia	mg N/L	18	33	7.6	11	
Nitrite	mg N/L	0.45	0.83	0.020	0.021	
Nitrate	mg N/L	0.44	0.84	0.050	0.10	
Cyanide	mg/L	1.3	2.5	0.84	2.4	

 Table 5-11:

 Selected Source Term Model Predictions for Goldboro Pit Walls

5.4 Overburden Stockpiles

5.4.1 Approach

Overburden is an umbrella term for organic topsoil as well as underlying glacial till material that will be stripped from several locations without the use of explosives during the construction period to prepare the ground for infrastructure as well as mining

operations. These materials are geotechnically and geochemically distinct, devoid of carbonate and will be stored in separate stockpiles during operations. Short-term source terms were developed for both organics and till materials based on the SFE results discussed in Section 3.3. The median values of the till and organics SFE database were used as the geochemical source term for the respective stockpiles. Due to the relatively low sulphur content of overburden in general and the aggressive nature of the SFE test, the resulting source terms are considered a conservative estimate of operational seepage chemistry from these materials and should be considered an Upper Case. Since scaling of kinetic test data was not conducted and the method described herein is based on SFE data alone, no Base Case source term was generated for these material types. Aluminium in the model output was found to be strongly elevated in comparison with baseline concentrations of comparable pH which was attributed in part to colloids bypassing the filter during the SFE tests. To account for experimental artifacts, Al concentrations in overburden materials were set to the 95th percentile value calculated for the 2021 baseline database (0.81 mg/L). Further, Al was subsequently allowed to precipitate during PHREEQC processing in the form of gibbsite (Al[OH]₃) to account for its solubility under different pH-regimes.

At closure, both stockpiles will be deconstructed and overburden will be rehandled for reclamation purposes such as the construction of WRSA covers. While the specific design of such covers was not available at the time of preparation of this report, it is assumed that till and topsoil will be co-deposited, compacted, and re-vegetated during site reclamation activities. Therefore, baseline surface water quality from monitoring stations capturing surface runoff from prepared/disturbed ground (stations SW-13-21, SW-14-21, SW-16-21, SW-17-21, SW-18-21, SW-19-21 and SW-20-21) is thought to constitute a good surrogate for long term overburden runoff from reclaimed sites (Muirhead, pers. comm., 2022). Upon review of the water quality data from various monitoring sites, many of which show pH values consistent with the range of SFE leachate results for topsoil and till, 95th percentile values were adopted as the long-term source term predictions for overburden. This approach adds a safety factor for the possibility that baseline data is influenced by diluted waters seasonally. For species where high analytical detection limits in the baseline database were found to result in unrealistic output values (Ag, Be, F, Hg, Sb, Sn), the maximum value of the operational source term derived from SFE data was adopted.

5.4.2 Results

Selected results of the source term model output for overburden contact water are summarized in Table 5-12. Refer to Appendix F for a complete list of the source term model output.

		Till	Soil	Overburden (Till + Soil)		
Parameter	Units	Short-	term	Long-term		
		Upper Case	Upper Case	Upper Case		
pН	mg/L	5.2	3.8	4.2		
Sulphate	mg/L	13	7.1	14		
Al	mg/L	0.15	0.81	0.81		
As	mg/L	0.0025	0.0072	0.012		
Cd	mg/L	0.000038	0.00018	0.000090		
Со	mg/L	0.00053	0.0012	0.0010		
Cu	mg/L	0.0026	0.0079	0.0020		
Fe	mg/L	0.35	0.42	0.42		
Pb	mg/L	0.0012	0.011	0.0017		
Mn	mg/L	0.022	0.092	0.36		
Ni	mg/L	0.0011	0.0031	0.0020		
Zn	mg/L	0.033	0.16	0.013		
Ammonia	mg N/L	0.30	1.4	0.050		
Nitrite	mg N/L	0.030	0.030	0.050		
Nitrate	mg N/L	0.35	0.62	0.050		

Table 5-12: Selected Source Term Model Predictions for Goldboro Pit Walls

6.1 Conclusions

The most salient conclusions from the geochemical characterization program include:

Mine Rock

- Waste rock and ore ARD characteristics were defined through the NPR designations as follows:
 - $\circ \quad PAG1 NPR < 1 \text{ or } 1 \leq NPR \leq 2 \text{ and total } S \geq 0.2 \text{ wt. } \%$
 - $PAG2 1 \le NPR \le 2$ and total S < 0.2 wt. %
 - \circ NPAG NPR > 2
- Sulphur content generally decreases from PAG1 to PAG2 to NPAG waste rock, while NP shows little variability between the different designations;
- There was limited correlation between sulphur content and lithology. Instead, the sulphur content appears to be controlled structurally with higher PAG proportions occurring within or near the hinges of the geologic anticline;
- Waste rock humidity cells are ongoing. Leachates have remained neutral to date; however, the two PAG1 humidity cells show slightly decreasing pH values (to a minimum pH of 6.14 in HC4 in the most recent sample [week 32]);
- CaNP depletion rates from the PAG humidity cells estimate the time to onset of ARD to be between 2 and 26 years for these humidity cells;
- Arsenic was identified as the main parameter of concern for the Project:
 - Arsenic content was elevated above 3x the AUCCA in the majority (99%) of the waste rock and ore samples and above 10x the AUCCA in 73% of the samples;
 - Arsenic concentrations were above the Tier 1 EQS of 0.005 mg/L in the SFE leachates, field bin leachates, and bulk sample stockpile runoff;
 - Arsenic loading rates were highest in the NPAG humidity cell leachate, indicating that As leaching is not limited to waste rock with high ARD potential;
- Besides As, other metal loading rates from the humidity cells were generally low. However, increased metal leaching would be expected if the PAG humidity cells become acidic;

• Copper, Pb (bulk sample runoff only), and Zn concentrations were occasionally above their respective Tier 1 EQS in field bin leachates and runoff from the bulk sample stockpile, indicating that there is some potential for elevated concentrations in contact water. These parameters will be monitored as part of the site-wide water quality monitoring program.

Tailings:

- All tailings samples were classified as PAG and had elevated As content (>10x AUCCA);
- Arsenic concentrations in SFE leachates were above the Tier 1 EQS in all samples. Other potential parameters of concern identified in the SFE tests included Co, Cu, and Fe which were above their respective Tier 1 EQS in one (Co, Fe) or two (Cu) samples;
- Kinetic tests using the 2020 Master Composite tailings sample included a humidity cell and a saturated column. Both of these kinetic tests are ongoing;
- The humidity cell has remained circumneutral, although pH has shown a slight decrease over time;
- The time to NP depletion for tailings is estimated at approximately one year;
- Following an initial decrease in loading rates, several parameters have shown an increase in loading rates since approximately week 5 (*e.g.*, sulphate, cyanide species, As, Cd, Pb, Mo);
- The saturated column leachate has also remained circumneutral. This column uses tailings supernatant as the influent. Influent 1 was used up to week 22. After this time, the influent was switched to Influent 2 which underwent a more rigorous cyanide detoxication process;
- Sulphate and some metals (*e.g.*, Cd) show decreasing trends which is consistent with rinsing of water-soluble oxidation products and mineral surface bound metals through the initial phase of the experiment. Other metal concentrations are relatively stable (*e.g.*, Mo);
- In contrast, As concentrations increased in the saturated column effluent and stabilized at approximately 1.4 mg/L, which is higher than the As concentration in both influents (<1 mg/L). This elevated concentration indicates that the tailings are releasing As under saturated conditions;
- Total cyanide concentrations remained lower in the effluent relative to Influent 1; however, the total cyanide concentration initially showed an increasing trend to

7.65 mg/L in week 6. These initial results should be considered conservative due to the high cyanide concentration of Influent 1. It is expected that total cyanide concentrations will decrease further following the change to Influent 2.

Historic Tailings:

• The historic tailings samples were generally classified as PAG. The pH of the SFE leachates were acidic and had high metal concentrations. These results indicate that metal leaching from the historic tailings is expected and is in part driven by acidic conditions. Metal leaching will be mitigated by relocating the historic tailings to the lined TMF where they will be covered by fresh ROM tailings and will be saturated in early operations. Therefore, the release of contact water from historic tailings within the TMF is not anticipated.

Overburden:

- Overburden sampling included both soil (n = 12) and till (n = 16). These samples had paste pH values below 5.5 and all soil samples and most till samples (14 of 16 samples) had NPR < 2. As the overburden samples have acidic paste pH values, they may initially generate acidic runoff. However, due to the relatively low sulphur content, the overburden samples are not expected to generate long-term ARD;
- Elements which had median values above 3x AUCCA included Cd, Hg, and Se for the soil samples. No median values were above 3x AUCCA for the till samples. Additional parameters with maximum values above at least 3x AUCCA included Ag, As, Cd (soil only), Hg, Pb (till only), and W (soil only);
- Overburden SFE leachates were slightly acidic (pH < 6.5). Median values for soil samples above the Tier 1 EQS included Al, As, Cd, Cr, Co, Cu, Fe, Pb, and Zn, while median values for till samples above the Tier 1 EQS included Al, Cr, Cu, Fe, Pb, Se, and Zn. These results indicate that there is some metal leaching potential from overburden material under mildly acidic conditions.

Source Terms

- The contact water chemistry was modelled for mine components containing mine rock, overburden or tailings and was largely based on the results from static and kinetic test programs described in this document.
- Where applicable, the scaling of humidity cell data was undertaken with reliance on analogue mine site information for which both kinetic test and operational water quality monitoring data are available. This approach is thought to result in a drastic

6-4

increase of model confidence as it relates to parameter-specific humidity cell scaling.

- Nitrogen leaching predictions associated with the flushing of explosives residue from blasted materials are based on the proposed mine schedule, site analogue data as well as explosive type and characteristics.
- With the exception of the temporary release of low-pH pit wall runoff from PAG exposures before pit lake stage elevation is reached, no ARD is predicted to occur from mine rock or tailings during operations and in the long-term. This prediction hinges on the assumption that PAG1 material can be accurately segregated during operations and permanently stored under saturated conditions within the TMF. Further, PAG2 waste rock is expected to be sufficiently low in volume to allow for effective co-mingling and/or layering within the WRSAs to prevent the onset of ARD. ML/ARD management and monitoring guidelines to ensure the validity of these assumptions are provided under separate cover.

6.2 Recommendations

Recommendations for additional geochemistry work for the Goldboro Project include:

- Continuation of humidity cells until a minimum of 40 weeks of results are available for all samples. At least one PAG1 cell should be continued longer-term until a better understanding of acidic (pH < 5) metal loading and CaNP depletion rates is obtained;
- Continuation of field bin leachate and ore stockpile drainage sampling;
- Ongoing assessment of As leaching controls under neutral pH (*i.e.*, in NPAG construction areas);
- Re-evaluation of saturated tailings source term once the column leachate reaches stable conditions after switching to Influent 2;
- Re-evaluation of long-term PAG source terms once site-specific acidic leachate becomes available from humidity cell testing;
- Mineralogical and kinetic testing on soil material to assess the source of acidity in these materials; and
- Continuation of implementation of geochemistry data into the block model to refine PAG locations and tonnages.

7. Closure

This technical report was prepared by Lorax Environmental Services Ltd. on behalf of Anaconda Mining Inc. Please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned should there have any questions or comments regarding the content of this report.

Sincerely, LORAX ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES LTD.

Prepared by:

Prepared by:

At

Jennifer Stevenson, M.Sc., P.Geo. (BC) Environmental Geoscientist

Patrick Mueller. B.Sc., P.Chem. Environmental Chemist

Prepared and Reviewed by:

Reviewed by:



Timo Kirchner, M.Sc., P.Geo. (BC, NS, ON) Environmental Geoscientist

Ence Math

Bruce Mattson, M.Sc., P.Geo. (BC, NT) Senior Environmental Geoscientist

- Andrina, J., Wilson, G. W., & Miller, S. D. (2012). Waste Rock Kinetic Testing Program: Assessment of the Scale Up Factor for Sulphate and Metal Release Rates. In 9th International Conference on Acid Rock Drainage. Ottawa, Canada.
- BaseMet Labs (2021). Metallurgical Study of the Goldboro Project (BL685; Report 1). Technical Report prepared on March 22, 2021.
- Blowes, D. W., Ptacek, C. J., Jambor, J. L., & Weisener, C. G. (2003). The geochemistry of acid mine drainage. In Mineralogy of Ore Deposits (pp. 149–204). Elsevier Ltd.
- Bornhorst, T. J., & Logsdon, M. J. (2016). Predicting future water-quality impacts from mining: A 52-year-old field analog for humidity cell testing, Copperwood deposit, Michigan. *Economic Geology*, 111(2), 527–542.
- Botz, M. M., & Mudder, T. I. (2000). Modeling of natural cyanide attenuation in tailings impoundments. Mining, Metallurgy & Exploration, 17(4), 228-233.
- Ferguson, K. & Leask, S. M. (1988). The Export of Nutrients from Surface Coal Mines. Regional Program Report 87-12. Environmental Protection, Conservation and Protection, Pacific and Yukon Region, Environment Canada, West Vancouver, B.C., 127 pp.
- GEMTEC (2018). Preliminary Metal Leaching & Acid Rock Drainage Characterization Goldboro Deposit, Draft Report_rev1. Submitted to Anaconda Mining Inc., March 26, 2018.
- GHD (2022). Historic Tailings Management Plan, Goldboro Gold Project. Part of this submission of the Goldboro Environmental Assessment Registration Document (EARD).
- Hustrulid, William A., 1999. Blasting principles for open pit mining: general design concepts. Balkema Publishing, Rotterdam, Netherlands.
- Jurjovec, J., Ptacek, C. J., & Blowes, D. W. (2002). Acid neutralization mechanisms and metal release in mine tailings: a laboratory column experiment. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 66(9), 1511-1523.
- Kempton, H. (2012). A Review of Scale Factors for Estimating Waste Rock Weathering from Laboratory Tests. In 9th International Conference on Acid Rock Drainage. Ottawa, Canada.

- Kirchner, T. & Mattson, B. (2015). Scaling geochemical loads in mine drainage chemistry modelling - an empirical derivation of bulk scaling factors, submitted to 10th International Conference on Acid Rock Drainage, Santiago, Chile.
- Lapakko, K. A. (2003). Developments in humidity cell tests and their application, in: Jambor, J. L.; Blowes, D. W.; Ritchie, A. I. M., (Eds.), Environmental aspects of mine wastes, Minerals Association of Canada 147-164.
- Lorax (2017). Trend-Roman Mine Water Decay Assessment. Technical Memorandum prepared by Lorax Environmental Services Ltd. for Anglo American, Vancouver, BC. November 9, 2017.
- Lorax (2019). Fifteen Mile Stream Project: Geochemical Source Term Predictions. Technical report prepared for Atlantic Mining NS Corp. on September 18, 2019.
- Lorax (2021). Beaver Dam Project: Geochemical Source Term Update. Technical report prepared for Atlantic Mining NS Corp. on June 11, 2020.
- Lorax (2022). Goldboro Project: ML/ARD Management Plan. Technical document prepared for Anaconda Mining in May 2022.
- Malmström, M. E., Destouni, G., Banwart, S. A., & Stromberg, H. E. (2000). Resolving the scale-dependence of mineral weathering rates. Environmental Science & Technology, 34(7), 1375–1378.
- Mattson, B. (2005). Evaluating Depletion of Carbonate Neutralization Potential from Laboratory Kinetic Tests. Securing the Future 2005, International Conference on Mining and the Environment and Metals and Energy Recovery. June 27 - July 1, 2005, Skellefteå, Sweden.
- Nicholson, R.V. (1994). Iron-sulphide oxidation mechanisms: laboratory studies. In The Environmental Geochemistry of Sulphide Mine-wastes. Short Course Handbook, Mineralogical Association of Canada, 22, pp. 163-183.
- Nordmin Resource and Industrial Engineering (Nordmin) (2022). NI 43-101 Technical Report & Feasibility Study Goldboro Gold Project, Eastern Goldfields District, Nova Scotia (Project # 20048-02). January 2022.
- Nova Scotia Environment and Climate Change (NSECC) (2021). Table 3 Nova Scotia Tier 1 Environmental Quality Standards (EQS) for Surface Water and Groundwater Discharging to Surface Water (µg/L). In Notification of Contamination Protocol. Revised September 30, 2021.

- Parkhurst, D. L., & Appelo, C. A. J. (1999). User's guide to PHREEQC (Version 2): A computer program for speciation, batch-reaction, one-dimensional transport, and inverse geochemical calculations.
- Petrunic, B. M., MacQuarrie, K. T. B., & Al, T. A. (2005). Reductive dissolution of Mn oxides in river-recharged aquifers: a laboratory column study. Journal of Hydrology, 301(1-4), 163-181.
- Pommen, L.W. (1983). The Effect on Water Quality of Explosives Use in Surface Mining

 Volume 1: Nitrogen Sources, Water Quality and Prediction and Management of
 Impacts. Ministry of the Environment, Water Management Branch, Victoria B.C.
 May 1983.
- Price, W.A. (1997). Guidelines and Recommended Methods for the Prediction of Metal Leaching and Acid Rock Drainage at Minesites in British Columbia. Reclamation Section, Energy and minerals Division, British Columbia Ministry of Employment and Investment.
- Price, W.A. (2009). MEND Report 1.20.1 Prediction Manual for Drainage Chemistry from Sulphidic Geologic Materials, dated December 2009.
- Price, W.A. and Aziz, M. (2012) The flooded tailings impoundment at the Equity Silver mine. Proceedings of the 36th Annual British Columbia Mine Reclamation Symposium, September 17-20, 2012, Kamloops, B.C.
- Rudnick, R.L. and S. Gao (2014). Composition of the Continental Crust. In: Holland, H. and Turekian, K. (eds). Treatise on Geochemistry 2nd Edition, Vol. 4, pp. 1-51. Oxford, UK, Elsevier Ltd.
- Sapsford, D. J., Bowell, R. J., Dey, M., & Williams, K. P. (2009). Humidity cell tests for the prediction of acid rock drainage. *Minerals Engineering*, 22(1), 25-36.
- Sexsmith, K., MacGregor, D., Barnes, A. (2015) Comparison of actual and calculated lag times in humidity cell tests. In: Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Acid Rock Drainage and IMWA Annual Conference. April 21 – 24, 2015. Santiago, Chile.
- WSP (2019). Goldboro Acid Rock Drainage (ARD) and Metal Leaching Assessment. Prepared for Anaconda Mining Inc., July 15, 2019.

Appendix A: Geological Cross-Sections
























































Appendix B: Mineralogy

Appendix B.1: QEMSCAN Results (Mine Rock)

Appendix B.2: TIMA Results (Tailings)



Sample ID	GB_HC1	GB_HC2	GB_HC3	GB_HC4	GB_HC5	GB_HC6	GB-2020-014	GB-2020-060	GB-2020-080	GB-2020-104	GB-LX2021-3	4 GB-2020-065	GB-2020-049	GB-2020-057	GB-2020-076	GB-2020-106	GB-NM2021-34	GB-NM2021-29	GB-NM2021-30	GB-2020-051
					50% argillita	graunualia						orgillito						groundeleo	grounded	amuuaaka L
Rock Type	argillite	argillite	greywacke	greywacke	50% arguinte,	greywacke marker unit	argillite	argillite	argillite	argillite	argillite	arginne +	greywacke	greywacke	greywacke	greywacke	greywacke	greywacke marker unit	greywacke marker unit	grywacke +
Ore/Waste	waste	waste	waste	waste	waste	waste	waste	waste	waste	waste	waste	ore	waste	waste	waste	waste	waste	waste	waste	waste
Designation	NPAG	PAGI	NPAG	PAG I	PAG II	PAGI	NPAG	NPAG	PAGII	PAG I	PAGI	PAGI	NPAG	PAG II	PAGI	PAG I	NPAG	NPAG	NPAG	PAGI
Calculated ESD Particle Size	23.73	25.5	32.31	31.47	29.44	31.47	32.2	26.03	30.07	24.54	26.47	24.38	27.24	34.81	34.63	24.99	35.15	35.15	32.39	29.96
Mineral Mass (%)																				
Quartz	34.72	32.32	40.58	54.26	42.31	45.22	40.07	34.91	45.08	24.62	25.3	22.58	52.08	54.74	52.3	25.17	55.34	51.23	52.92	60.99
Plagioclase	14.25	15.37	23.15	20.3	20.97	24.45	15.95	16.96	23.31	7.38	11.01	9.36	18.07	25.76	25.44	8.34	21.24	27.81	23.18	16.63
Muscovite	22.38	23.26	13.42	8.39	13.75	9.85	19.94	21.01	11.32	34.34	30.05	40.41	11.82	6.55	7.31	32.77	7.63	5.76	7.94	9.09
Biotite	23.11	23.36	16.45	6.09	16.48	7.19	17.92	19.05	16.91	28.7	22.96	18.58	11.03	8.29	8.71	17.38	9.6	8.99	7.89	6.54
Chlorite	1.99	2.15	2.59	5.02	2.33	3.69	3.32	4.61	0.52	1.08	6.33	2.12	1.96	1.25	1.34	6.42	1.86	2.1	1.97	1.15
K-Feldspar	0.84	0.57	1.18	2.87	0.75	3.79	0.55	0.64	0.5	1.05	0.48	2.81	1.33	0.52	2.06	5.6	1.7	1.4	2.92	2
Pyrrhotite	0.01	0.33	0.03	0.16	0.17	0.26	0.04	0.07	0.16	0.48	0.16	0.18	0.16	0.17	0.35	0.38	0.05	0.33	0.23	0.19
Pyrite	0	0.04	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.12	0.04	0	0.02	0.04	0	0.11	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.26	0.05	0.01	0.04	0.01
Arsenopyrite Othor Sulphides	0.02	0.04	0	0.11	0.07	0.74	0	0.01	0.11	0.35	0	1.52	0.05	0.14	0.17	0.28	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.51
Calcita	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	0 10	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.4	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01
Dolomite	0.07	0.1	0.15	0.01	0.05	0.72	0.23	0.00	0.19	0.17	0.03	0	0.40	0.4	0.42	0.14	0.42	0.19	0.75	0.39
Ankerite	0.00	0.11	0.01	0.08	0.08	1 11	0.00	0.11	0.07	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.13	0.1	0.09	0.05	0.14	0.01	0.15	0.01
Other Silicates	0.98	1.04	0.74	1.32	0.93	0.95	0.55	1.11	0.92	0.91	1.64	1.09	1.75	0.76	0.74	1.47	0.74	0.65	0.72	1.39
Ti-Oxides	0.38	0.29	0.45	0.75	0.49	0.8	0.39	0.54	0.3	0.13	0.66	0.36	0.56	0.77	0.43	0.64	0.47	0.49	0.63	0.39
Apatite	0.32	0.33	0.29	0.22	0.26	0.26	0.35	0.32	0.24	0.39	0.42	0.45	0.36	0.25	0.34	0.4	0.28	0.3	0.22	0.25
Epidote	0.45	0.53	0.62	0.14	0.52	0.15	0.06	0.35	0.06	0.11	0.54	0.11	0.06	0.02	0.01	0.07	0.19	0.38	0.17	0.03
Amphibole/Pyroxene	0.19	0.11	0.16	0.05	0.63	0.29	0.14	0.18	0.22	0.08	0.23	0.08	0.09	0.2	0.18	0.16	0.16	0.19	0.18	0.05
Other	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.09	0.1	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.08	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.05	0.03	0.01	0.04	0.02
Talc	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
Fe-Oxides	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.1	0.05	0.04	0.03	0	0.03	0	0	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01
Total	100.01	100.02	99.99	99.99	100	100.01	100	99.98	100	100	99.98	100.01	100	100	99.98	100.01	100	100.01	100	100.01
Moon Crain Size by Frequency (um)																				
Pyrrhotite	6 19	17 29	11.62	15.97	20	16.66	10.13	17.13	24.83	20.38	21.53	15.23	20.64	21.7	28.9	18 64	13.44	57.36	18.47	20.7
Pyrite	9.35	9.73	10.47	15.57	13.4	17.26	13.86	7 43	18	13.81	7 14	16.33	14 37	12.79	13.93	18.04	24 24	10.97	20.54	10.34
Arsenopyrite	12.06	13.83	6.55	13.22	13.59	28.72	5.61	14.59	24.62	44.74	9.35	28.37	34.21	32.72	27.79	27.36	31.92	16.4	12.54	23.45
Other Sulphides	8.05	7.31	11.71	12.05	8.23	7.88	9.31	10.05	8.2	10.19	9.18	7.39	11.78	5.91	26.18	9.59	17.83	7.62	10.69	11.97
Quartz	21.08	20.88	25.73	28.57	25.95	27.12	32.04	24.02	24.64	26.47	15.39	28.77	27	28.81	28.63	26.42	31.62	29.21	27.91	30.61
K-Feldspar	9.06	7.63	11.47	11.84	9.75	16.16	13.91	7.52	10.81	7.1	6.56	12.76	11.05	10.4	17.31	14.46	14.99	12.68	17.32	14.04
Plagioclase	15.19	16.28	19.85	21.82	19.35	23.66	24.82	17.19	20.82	17.53	12.88	16.11	21.34	25.82	25.64	20.53	23.72	23.65	23.61	23.28
Amphibole/Pyroxene	5.94	5.9	5.87	5.91	16.91	9.32	6.29	6.82	5.88	6.34	5.97	6	6.26	6.26	6.21	7.03	6.58	6.36	6.67	6.53
Epidote	5.97	6.11	6.12	6.08	6.12	5.94	9.05	6.11	7.58	6.45	6.07	5.89	6.23	7.48	6.97	6.38	6.11	6.15	5.96	6
Muscovite	13.31	13.53	13.19	10.92	14.67	13.89	17.2	12.5	12.91	14.41	14.01	16.28	11.7	11.9	12.68	14.26	12.87	12.58	14.42	11.97
Biotite	17.13	17.44	18.37	14.44	10.8/	17.09	18.47	20.26	17.98	17.53	16.87	18.41	17.12	12.55	17.18	19.36	10.03	10.38	10.8	17.19
Tale	9.57	5.8	5.9	5.76	6.08	14.19 5.84	6.65	6.12	9.04	6 79	9.82	6.09	6.27	65	6.1	6.23	5.92	5 75	6.15	6.33
Other Silicates	6.06	6.02	6.11	7 92	6.56	7 55	6 29	6 58	6 37	6 75	5.75	7 39	8 26	6.15	6.5	7 15	6 78	674	6 74	8 67
Ti-Oxides	12.88	12.61	14.9	13.08	13.63	13.7	16.01	22.69	10.89	10.73	15.22	11.05	12.39	18.45	12.29	11.75	13.86	13.71	15.24	13.31
Fe-Oxides	6.01	8.7	9	14.05	23.34	21.38	12.62	6.76	11.22	7.78	5.61	8.63	9.02	14.21	7.91	8.75	9.45	8.81	8.37	7.29
Calcite	10.42	18.59	15.83	8.06	10.12	19.74	19.4	10.77	15.13	21.12	10.95	6.61	18.85	15.82	18.54	14.01	19.44	14.71	22.93	19.38
Dolomite	8.14	5.61	6.94	9.12	5.61	7.18	10.03	5.84	6.55	11.67	5.91	5.84	7	0	7.85	7.96	5.61	19.64	5.61	8.82
Ankerite	7.78	6.27	7.01	6.46	6.31	17.19	13.21	6.82	6.48	6.91	6.53	7.16	7.24	6.89	6.95	10.83	7.17	6.84	7.33	7.18
Apatite	10.68	10.72	13.25	13.3	11.87	18.41	14.23	11.33	12.01	12.36	9.24	13.33	19.41	18.55	22.17	14.29	19.15	20.89	17.47	18.08
Other	7.34	6.28	10.61	7.37	7.98	16.94	11.41	6.15	7.48	6.56	6.06	11.29	13.08	16.43	7.54	6.59	13.31	11.61	11.45	10.37
Sulphides (relative abundance)																				
Pyrrhotite	25%	77%	50%	52%	63%	23%	44%	70%	55%	54%	94%	10%	67%	53%	61%	40%	31%	92%	79%	26%
Pyrite	0%	9%	17%	10%	4%	11%	44%	0%	7%	4%	0%	6%	8%	3%	2%	28%	31%	3%	14%	1%
Arsenopyrite	50%	9%	0%	35%	26%	65%	0%	10%	38%	39%	0%	83%	21%	44%	30%	30%	25%	3%	3%	71%
Other Sulphides	25%	5%	33%	3%	7%	1%	11%	20%	0%	2%	6%	1%	4%	0%	7%	2%	13%	3%	3%	1%
Carbonates (relative abundance)																				
Calcite	22%	48%	52%	11%	38%	35%	38%	35%	73%	61%	21%	0%	78%	80%	82%	26%	75%	63%	83%	81%
Dolomite	19%	0%	4%	0%	0%	12%	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	9% 650/	0%	<u> </u>	0%	1%
Апкетие	39%	32%	44%	89%	62%	82%	52%	03%	21%	39%	/9%	100%	22%	20%	18%	63%	23%	55%	1/%	18%

Appendix B: Mineralogy Goldboro Project: Geochemistry Report

Appendix B.1 QEMSCAN Results, Table 1 Modals and Particle Size

Appendix B.1 QEMSCAN Results, Table 2 Iron-Sulphide Liberation <u>Fe-Sulphides Liberation</u>



Absolute Mass of Fe-Sulphides Across Samples

Mineral Name	GB_HC1	GB_HC2	GB_HC3	GB_HC4	GB_HC5	GB_HC6	GB-2020-014	GB-2020-060	GB-2020-080	GB-2020-104	GB-LX2021-34	GB-2020-065	GB-2020-049	GB-2020-057	GB-2020-076	GB-2020-106	GB-NM2021-3	34 <mark>GB-NM2021-2</mark> 9	GB-NM2021-30	GB-2020-051
FeS Liberated	0.00	0.26	0.03	0.16	0.06	0.26	0.04	0.06	0.16	0.41	0.06	0.24	0.08	0.12	0.31	0.52	0.08	0.33	0.23	0.17
FeS Exposed	0.01	0.10	0.01	0.04	0.12	0.11	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.10	0.11	0.04	0.10	0.05	0.06	0.11	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.03
FeS Locked	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	0.01	0.37	0.04	0.20	0.18	0.37	0.08	0.08	0.18	0.52	0.16	0.29	0.18	0.18	0.37	0.63	0.10	0.34	0.27	0.20



Normalized Mass of Fe-Sulphides Across Samples

Mineral Name	GB_HC1	GB_HC2	GB_HC3	GB_HC4	GB_HC5	GB_HC6	GB-2020-014	GB-2020-060	GB-2020-080	GB-2020-104	GB-LX2021-34	GB-2020-065	GB-2020-049	GB-2020-057	GB-2020-076	GB-2020-106	GB-NM2021-34	GB-NM2021-29	GB-NM2021-30	GB-2020-051
FeS Liberated	32.8	72.0	67.8	79.6	31.8	68.4	51.0	83.3	91.7	78.9	34.0	84.3	43.4	70.5	84.2	81.5	77.5	96.8	83.4	85.4
FeS Exposed	62.4	26.8	30.3	18.0	67.8	30.5	46.5	14.0	7.68	19.8	65.7	14.3	55.6	28.7	15.1	18.0	17.5	3.14	15.3	13.5
FeS Locked	4.75	1.22	1.95	2.42	0.41	1.14	2.56	2.67	0.63	1.33	0.23	1.47	0.92	0.71	0.70	0.49	5.05	0.07	1.32	1.11
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Appendix B.1 QEMSCAN Results, Table 3 Calcium-Magnesium Carbonates Liberation Ca-Mg-Carbonates Liberation



Absolute Mass of Ca-Mg-Carbonates Across Samples

Mineral Name	GB_HC1	GB_HC2	GB_HC3	GB_HC4	GB_HC5	GB_HC6	GB-2020-014	GB-2020-060	GB-2020-080	GB-2020-104	GB-LX2021-34	GB-2020-065	GB-2020-049	GB-2020-057	GB-2020-076	GB-2020-106	6 GB-NM2021-34	GB-NM2021-2	9GB-NM2021-30	GB-2020-051
Ca-Mg-Carbs Liberated	0.20	0.11	0.16	0.02	0.06	1.79	0.47	0.06	0.13	0.16	0.05	0.02	0.41	0.24	0.17	0.30	0.37	0.15	0.63	0.57
Ca-Mg-Carbs Exposed	0.09	0.08	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.27	0.12	0.09	0.12	0.11	0.07	0.09	0.17	0.23	0.32	0.22	0.16	0.13	0.24	0.15
Ca-Mg-Carbs Locked	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.01
Total	0.31	0.21	0.25	0.09	0.13	2.07	0.60	0.16	0.26	0.28	0.15	0.13	0.59	0.50	0.51	0.54	0.56	0.29	0.90	0.73



Normalized Mass of Ca-Mg-Carbonates Across Samples

Mineral Name	GB_HC1	GB_HC2	GB_HC3	GB_HC4	GB_HC5	GB_HC6	GB-2020-014	GB-2020-060	GB-2020-080	GB-2020-104	GB-LX2021-34	GB-2020-065	GB-2020-049	GB-2020-057	GB-2020-076	GB-2020-10	06 GB-NM2021-3	34GB-NM2021-29	GB-NM2021-30	GB-2020-051
Ca-Mg-Carbs Liberated	65.5	55.4	64.7	28.4	42.6	86.2	78.2	37.7	48.0	55.9	34.3	18.8	69.1	48.5	33.0	56.5	67.2	51.2	69.4	77.9
Ca-Mg-Carbs Exposed	29.2	36.5	29.6	59.2	45.6	13.2	20.3	53.8	44.4	38.9	47.0	69.8	29.0	45.0	61.8	40.9	29.4	43.9	26.5	20.6
Ca-Mg-Carbs Locked	5.28	8.08	5.69	12.5	11.8	0.57	1.46	8.50	7.61	5.23	18.7	11.4	1.86	6.45	5.21	2.59	3.38	4.93	4.15	1.55
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0



Appendix B.1 QEMSCAN Results, Table 4 Mineralogical Acid Base Accounting

Parameter/Sample	GB-2020-65	GB-2020-60	GB-2020-104	GB-2020-49	GB-2020-106	GB-2020-51	GB_HC1	GB_HC2	GB_HC3	GB_HC4
NP from Ca-Mg Carbonates (tonnes CaCO3/1000 tonnes)	1.2	1.7	2.8	5.9	5.3	7.3	3.2	2.1	2.5	0.9
AP from Fe-Sulphides (tonnes CaCO3/1000 tonnes)	4.0	0.8	6.3	2.2	8.8	2.4	0.1	4.5	0.5	2.4
NP/AP	0.3	2.0	0.4	2.6	0.6	3.0	27.2	0.5	4.8	0.4
Available NP/AP	0.2	1.6	0.4	2.9	0.5	2.9	23.8	0.5	4.2	0.3

Parameter/Sample	GB-2020-014	GB-2020-057	GB-2020-076	GB-2020-080	GB_HC5	GB_HC6	GB-NM2021-29	GB-NM2021-30	GB-NM2021-34	GB-LX2021-34
NP from Ca-Mg Carbonates (tonnes CaCO3/1000 tonnes)	6.0	5.0	5.1	2.6	1.3	20.6	3.0	9.0	5.6	1.4
AP from Fe-Sulphides (tonnes CaCO3/1000 tonnes)	1.1	2.2	4.3	2.2	2.2	5.1	4.0	3.4	1.4	1.9
NP/AP	5.2	2.3	1.2	1.2	0.6	4.1	0.7	2.7	3.9	0.7
Available NP/AP	6.9	1.4	0.6	0.7	1.0	4.3	0.5	2.4	3.4	0.8

Notes:

NP = Neutralization Potential

AP = Acid Generation Potential

"Available NP/AP" takes into account the exposure of Ca-Mg-carbonates and Fe-sulphides

A carbonate/sulphide ratio > 2 indicates probable net neutralizing conditions. Only net acid consuming carbonates (Ca-Mg carbonates) are used for the mineralogical neutralization potential (NP) determination. Only Fe-sulphides are used for the mineralogical acid generation potential (AGP) as they are the main sulphides to contribute to net acidity.

In cases of low carbonate and sulphide abundance (typically <0.5 wt.% of each), values are only semi-quantitative due to low particle statistics for study. More replicate analyses are recommended to properly quantify the NP/AGP potential of these samples.

High Definition Mineralogical Analysis using QEMSCAN (Quantitative Evaluation of Materials by Scanning Electron Microscopy)

Cumulative Retained Grain Size Distribution





GB-2020-104 Grain Size





High Definition Mineralogical Analysis using QEMSCAN (Quantitative Evaluation of Materials by Scanning Electron Microscopy)

Cumulative Retained Grain Size Distribution





GB_HC1 Grain Size





High Definition Mineralogical Analysis using QEMSCAN (Quantitative Evaluation of Materials by Scanning Electron Microscopy)

Cumulative Retained Grain Size Distribution









High Definition Mineralogical Analysis using QEMSCAN (Quantitative Evaluation of Materials by Scanning Electron Microscopy)

Cumulative Retained Grain Size Distribution









High Definition Mineralogical Analysis using QEMSCAN (Quantitative Evaluation of Materials by Scanning Electron Microscopy)

Cumulative Retained Grain Size Distribution









High Definition Mineralogical Analysis using QEMSCAN (Quantitative Evaluation of Materials by Scanning Electron Microscopy)



Sample GB-2020-65 GB-2020-60 GB-2020-104 GB-2020-49 GB-2020-106 GB-2020-51 1.111.111 . \$ 5 .. . 12.1 Ca-Mg-Carbs Liberated Ca-Mg-Carbonates Liberation • 3 • • • • • • • A 11 12 4 ۵ \$ 1. 1 ħ ----• à 84.00 10.00 1 Ca-Mg-Carbs Exposed ٩. 0 ... ۵ 10.11 .. -4 1 2 - * * * 1777 **- 1**77 - 174 1 •• 5 12 ß 10.44 ... -...... - 1 1 10.000.000.000.000 1. ... 6,4 414 651 10 -14 * 8 24 * Ja 18 1 0 1 - 1 1 3 10 9-44 Ø 1 Ę Ca-Mg-Carbs Locked C. c fi 4 8 M I ٠ t. 18 884 + 4 + F - Y & 10 Sample GB_HC1 GB_HC2 GB_HC3 GB_HC4 1233 -A12.14 4 Ca-Mg-Carbs Liberated Ca-Mg-Carbonates Liberation Q A 86 * 100 4 1. 23 10 Ca-Mg-Carbs Exposed 1 . 29 8 - 4 Bar -2 -ĝ 1 1. 1. 1. 1. Ca-Mg-Carbs Locked -10 17.5.2 24 2.000 6 638 * 6 R. 100 18 ÷., 1.7 - (A)





















Lorax Environmental Services

Custom-Min

MI5037-SEP21

High Definition Mineralogical Analysis using QEMSCAN (Quantitative Evaluation of Materials by Scanning Electron Microscopy)

Micas/Clays

Ca-Mg-Carbonates

Oxides

Other





-Sulphides Liberation	FeS Exposed			
ŭ	FeS Locked	*** (***	**** () ***	≫ -4





Appendix B.2 TIMA Results - 2021 Master Composite Tailings, Table 1 Mineralogical Modals

	ings					Мос	dals	
	Tail		100					
	te .							
	osi		90 -					
	<u> </u>							
	ö		80 -			-		
	ster							
	Mas		70 -					
	21 1							
Mineral (%)	20:		60 -					
Pyrite	0.05							
Pyrrhotite	0.10	ť%	50 -					
Chalcopyrite	0.03	3						
Arsenopyrite	0.23		40 -					
Other Sulphides	0.01							
Quartz	45.6		20					
Plagioclase	16.5		30					
K-Feldspar	12.2							
Micas	15.3		20 -					
Chlorites	2.06							
Clays	5.25		10 -					
Amphiboles	0.04							
Pyroxenes	0.01		~					
Other Silicates	0.99		0 -	Ì		Coldba	ra Tailinga Daa21	
Fe-Oxides	0.11					Gulub	no rainnys Deczi	
Other Oxides	0.21		Pyr	ite	Pyrrho	tite	Chalcopyrite	Arsenopyrite
Carbonates	0.59		Oth	ner Sulphides		1	Plagioclase	■K-Feldspar
Sulphates	0.01		Mic	as	Chlorit	es	Clays	Amphiboles
Other	0.76		■Pyr	oxenes	■Other	Silicates	■Fe-Oxides	Other Oxides
Total	100		∎Ca	rbonates	■Sulpha	ates	∎Other	

		wadad samada	1	and when a start of							
		Mod	dal - ARD Lorax				1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Mod	dal - ARD Lorax	1.2.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1	
 Pyrite Plagioclase Pyroxenes Holes 	 Pyrrhotite K-Feldspar Other Silicates 	 Chalcopyrite Micas Fe-Oxides 	 Arsenopyrite Chlorites Other Oxides 	 Other Sulphides Clays Carbonates 	QuartzAmphibolesOther	 Pyrite Plagioclase Pyroxenes Holes 	 Pyrrhotite K-Feldspar Other Silicates 	 Chalcopyrite Micas Fe-Oxides 	 Arsenopyrite Chlorites Other Oxides 	 Other Sulphides Clays Carbonates 	Quartz Amphiboles Other
Particles	Modal	- ARD Lorax		L I I I I	TESCAN	TIM/Particles	Modal -	ARD Lorax	1 1 1 1	L I I I I	TESCAN TIM
	Date(r	n/d/y): 04/22/22	2 mm				Date(m	/d/y): 04/22/22	1 mm		
			SGS						SGS		

Particle viewer rearrant washes who washes a start and a start and a start and a start 11001 - Harrocomertadord los dates - 1705 - 400 110 easiession industrial contraction and an analysis of the nertanness (shoelde a the alger and the second of the second seco Innamenanterstation and a second a felow and the form that he are e-estatesetarehistorios-jataonenteriosetaretera actual totache plantal a la tarte para de presente de la terre de tranet assasses as a second of states and a second a second as the second as the second of the secon

Particle viewer

	all prove a star		wayal a shal	0)3~)~~~~0~	10/010		N 9 19	10 BR A.			a state of the second
-		Mod	lal - ARD Lorax					Mod	ial - ARD Lorax		
 Pyrite Plagioclase Pyroxenes Holes 	 Pyrrhotite K-Feldspar Other Silicates 	 Chalcopyrite Micas Fe-Oxides 	 Arsenopyrite Chlorites Other Oxides 	 Other Sulphides Clays Carbonates 	QuartzAmphibolesOther	 Pyrite Plagioclase Pyroxenes Holes 	 Pyrrhotite K-Feldspar Other Silicates 	 Chalcopyrite Micas Fe-Oxides 	 Arsenopyrite Chlorites Other Oxides 	 Other Sulphides Clays Carbonates 	QuartzAmphibolesOther
Particles	Modal -	ARD Lorax			TESCA	N TIM Particles	Modal -	ARD Lorax			TESCAN
	Date(m,	/d/y): 04/22/22	Z mm			1	Date(m	/d/y): 04/22/22	I mm		

Particle viewer <u>___</u> 201 98847-14824 JU9801 - 0190 **~~**///**~/// 10405360m#\$\$0100000056~99498~F1 0~81=60-9090-4-2+91912/116_0mbadosals-1-11-2020201-171-0016-30208201-092001-0 Arbollingtabletices and to have a contraction a contraction. PERSONAL CONTRACTOR AND A CONFOL DA PLACE -10014204472=-01100004/50007540-22-19132004 as he has a second as the second and a second as



Particle viewer

Particle Images

Appendix B.2 TIMA Results - 2021 Master Composite Tailings, Particle Size Images
Appendix C: Static Test Results

Appendix C.1: Waste Rock and Ore Static Test Results Appendix C.2: Tailings Static Test Results Appendix C.3: Historic Tailings Static Test Results Appendix C.3: Overburden Static Test Results



Appendix C.1: Waste Rock and Ore Static Test Results, Table 1: Acid Base Accounting Results

Sample ID	Rock Type	Ore/Waste	Phase	Drillhole	Interv	val]	Paste pH	Total S	Sulphate S (HCl)	Sulphide S	Total Carbon	Carbonate	Inorganic C	Organic C	CaNP	Mod NP	Corrected Mod. NP	CaNP/TAP	Corrected Mod. NP/TAP	Operational NPR	Designation	NAG pH
Units	-	-	-	-	m	m	-	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	kg CaCO ₃ /t	kg CaCO ₃ /t	kg CaCO ₃ /t	-	-	-	-	-
GB-2020-001	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-17-10	20.5	22.4	9.27	< 0.005	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.074	0.210	0.042	0.032	3.50	8.2	3.2	22.42	20.48	22.42	NPAG	-
GB-2020-002	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-17-10	33.5	35.5	9.45	0.220	0.07	0.15	0.125	0.270	0.054	0.071	4.50	8.8	3.8	0.66	0.55	0.66	PAG1	4.09
GB-2020-003	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-17-10	36.5	38.5	9.51	0.008	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.064	0.225	0.045	0.019	3.75	8.9	3.9	15.01	15.60	15.60	NPAG	-
GB-2020-004	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-17-10	50.0	52.0	9.68	0.049	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.050	0.125	0.025	0.025	2.08	7.0	2.0	1.36	1.31	1.36	PAG2	-
GB-2020-005	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-17-10	67.0	69.2	9.23	0.121	<0.04	0.09	0.055	0.145	0.029	0.026	2.42	8.0	3.0	0.64	0.79	0.79	PAG1	-
GB-2020-006	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-17-10	78.0	80.0	9.28	0.241	0.08	0.16	0.099	0.250	0.050	0.049	4.17	7.6	2.6	0.55	0.35	0.55	PAGI	
GB-2020-007	argillite	ore	Phase I	BR-17-10	81.5	83.5	9.66	0.552	0.19	0.36	0.052	0.090	0.018	0.034	1.50	6.3	1.3	0.09	0.08	0.09	PAGI	-
GB-2020-008	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-1/-10	118.0 1	20.0	9.84	0.116	0.06	0.06	0.035	0.050	0.010	0.025	0.83	1.3	2.3	0.23	0.63	0.63	PAGI	-
GB-2020-009	grouwacke	waste	Phase I Phase I	BR-18-18 BD 19 19	12.0	22.0	9.08	0.001	0.05	< 0.04	0.055	0.125	0.025	0.030	2.08	0.5	1.5	5.10	6.22	6.22	PAG2 NDAG	0.82
GB-2020-010	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-18	$\frac{20.0}{34.4}$	36.0	9.75	0.018	<0.04	<0.04	0.030	0.173	0.033	0.021	4.00	10.3	5.3	12.81	16.96	16.96	NPAG	-
GB-2020-011 GB-2020-012	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-18	48.0	50.0	9 44	0.010	<0.04	0.04	0.070	0.240	0.040	0.023	4.00	7.8	2.8	1 72	1 07	1 72	PAG2	616
GB-2020-012 GB-2020-013	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-18	58.0	60.0	9 78	0.033	<0.04	<0.03	0.141	0.270	0.034	0.007	3.67	9.1	4.1	3 56	3.98	3.98	NPAG	-
GB-2020-014	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-18	66.0	68.0	9.45	0.032	<0.04	<0.04	0.107	0.190	0.038	0.069	3.17	6.9	1.9	3.17	1.90	3.17	NPAG	7.21
GB-2020-015	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-18	80.0	82.0	9.80	0.055	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.057	0.175	0.035	0.022	2.92	8.0	3.0	1.70	1.75	1.75	PAG2	-
GB-2020-016	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-24	22.9	24.3	9.22	0.050	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.165	0.664	0.133	0.032	11.07	16.9	11.9	7.09	7.62	7.62	NPAG	-
GB-2020-017	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-24	28.0	30.0	9.56	< 0.005	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.056	0.180	0.036	0.020	3.00	7.4	2.4	19.21	15.36	19.21	NPAG	-
GB-2020-018	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-24	44.5	46.0	9.49	0.030	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.185	0.769	0.154	0.031	12.83	21.1	16.1	13.68	17.17	17.17	NPAG	-
GB-2020-019	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-24	48.5	50.5	9.61	0.042	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.111	0.375	0.075	0.036	6.25	11.8	6.8	4.77	5.18	5.18	NPAG	-
GB-2020-020	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-24	57.0	59.0	9.62	0.016	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.080	0.285	0.057	0.023	4.75	10.8	5.8	9.51	11.60	11.60	NPAG	-
GB-2020-021	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-24	66.0	67.4	9.61	0.006	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.049	0.135	0.027	0.022	2.25	7.7	2.7	12.01	14.40	14.40	NPAG	-
GB-2020-022	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-24	76.0	78.0	9.19	0.161	0.05	0.11	0.342	1.33	0.266	0.076	22.18	30.5	25.5	4.41	5.07	5.07	NPAG	-
GB-2020-023	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-24	89.5	91.5	9.58	0.029	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.095	0.335	0.067	0.028	5.59	11.8	6.8	6.17	7.50	7.50	NPAG	-
GB-2020-024	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-24	116.7 1	18.5	9.76	0.014	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.067	0.180	0.036	0.031	3.00	8.3	3.3	6.86	7.54	7.54	NPAG	
GB-2020-025	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-24	120.4 1	22.4	9.73	0.029	<0.04	<0.04	0.074	0.215	0.043	0.031	3.59	8.8	3.8	3.96	4.19	4.19	NPAG	-
GB-2020-026	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-24	129.0 1	31.0	9.72	0.056	<0.04	<0.04	0.117	0.405	0.081	0.036	6.76	11.8	6.8	3.86	3.89	3.89	NPAG	-
GB-2020-027	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-24	138.4 1	40.4	9.71	0.048	<0.04	<0.04	0.074	0.215	0.043	0.031	3.59	8.2	3.2	2.39	2.13	2.39	NPAG	-
GB-2020-028	argillite	ore	Phase I	BR-18-24	148.1 1	49.0	9.51	0.396	0.23	0.17	0.059	0.135	0.027	0.032	2.25	7.8	2.8	0.18	0.23	0.23	PAGI	-
GB-2020-029	argillite	waste	Phase I Phase I	BR-18-24	158.2 1	74.0	9.74	0.275	0.20	0.07	0.052	0.130	0.026	0.026	2.17	7.8	2.8	0.25	0.33	0.33	PAGI PAGI	3./
GB 2020-030	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR 18 28	172.5 1 12.7	1/4.0	9.90	0.092	<0.07	<0.04	0.033	0.100	0.032	0.021	2.07	0.4	5.4	3.16	3.85	3.85	NPAG	1.39
GB-2020-031	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-28	28.0	30.0	9.25	0.049	<0.04	<0.04	0.095	0.290	0.038	0.037	3.67	10.9	5.5	6.91	10 54	10 54	NPAG	
GB-2020-032	argillite	ore	Phase I	BR-18-28	38.2	38 7	8.97	0.017	0.12	0.14	0.075	0.220	0.044	0.031	7.42	11.5	6.5	0.92	0.81	0.92	PAGI	_
GB-2020-034	grevwacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-28	49.0	51.5	9.49	0.039	<0.04	< 0.04	0.043	0.115	0.023	0.020	1.92	8.8	3.8	1.57	3.12	3.12	NPAG	-
GB-2020-035	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-29	17.0	19.0	8.68	0.320	0.13	0.19	0.295	1.26	0.252	0.043	21.02	27.9	22.9	2.10	2.29	2.29	NPAG	-
GB-2020-036	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-29	29.0	31.0	9.03	0.013	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.064	0.270	0.054	0.010	4.50	9.7	4.7	11.09	11.57	11.57	NPAG	-
GB-2020-037	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-29	41.7	43.5	9.09	0.128	0.08	0.05	0.061	0.140	0.028	0.033	2.34	8.0	3.0	0.58	0.75	0.75	PAG1	-
GB-2020-038	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-29	48.0	49.8	9.36	0.015	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.018	< 0.025	0.005	0.013	0.42	6.5	1.5	0.89	3.20	3.20	NPAG	-
GB-2020-039	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-29	58.0	59.8	8.91	0.105	< 0.04	0.07	0.208	0.809	0.162	0.046	13.49	20.9	15.9	4.11	4.85	4.85	NPAG	-
GB-2020-040	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-29	69.9 ´	71.4	9.26	0.166	0.10	0.07	0.075	0.240	0.048	0.027	4.00	10.7	5.7	0.77	1.10	1.10	PAG2	-
GB-2020-041	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-31	16.0	18.6	9.55	< 0.005	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.048	0.130	0.026	0.022	2.17	8.6	3.6	13.88	23.04	23.04	NPAG	-
GB-2020-042	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-31	20.5	21.5	9.42	0.007	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.061	0.160	0.032	0.029	2.67	8.6	3.6	12.20	16.46	16.46	NPAG	-
GB-2020-043	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-31	36.0	38.0	9.61	0.019	< 0.04	<0.04	0.096	0.290	0.058	0.038	4.84	11.7	6.7	8.15	11.28	11.28	NPAG	-
GB-2020-044	argillite	ore	Phase I	BR-18-31	51.6	54.5	9.05	0.548	0.20	0.35	0.082	0.230	0.046	0.036	3.84	11.0	6.0	0.22	0.35	0.35	PAGI	-
GB-2020-045	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-31	58.9	10.0	9.78	0.026	<0.04	<0.04	0.043	0.075	0.015	0.028	1.25	/.8	2.8	1.54	3.45	3.45	NPAG DAC1	-
GB-2020-046	greywacke marker unit	waste	Phase I	BR-18-35	17.0	19.0	9.58	0.212	0.12	0.09	0.072	0.200	0.040	0.032	3.34	8.0	3.0	0.50	0.45	0.50	PAGI	-
GB-2020-047	quartz venn	waste	Phase I	BR-18-33	24.0	25.9	8.15	<0.005	<0.04	<0.04	0.024	<0.025	0.005	0.019	0.42	2.0	0.1	2.07	0.04	2.07	NPAG DAC1	-
GD-2020-048	greywacke marker unit	waste	Phase I	DR-10-33	20.0 . 45.1	50.0 47.1	0.10	0.302	<0.04	<0.04	0.152	0.440	0.088	0.044	7.54	0.0	0.9	0.78	0.75	0.78	NDAG	-
GB 2020-049	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR 18 38	43.1	+/.1 51 1	9.19	0.033	<0.04	<0.04	0.039	0.100	0.032	0.027	6.34	9.0	4.0 6.3	0.68	0.67	0.68	PAG1	-
GB-2020-050	quartz vein	waste	Phase I	BR-18-38	86.0	88.0	9.32	0.277	0.19	0.13	0.123	0.340	0.070	0.049	5.67	11.5	6.1	0.87	0.94	0.08	PAGI	
GB-2020-051	grevwacke marker unit	waste	Phase I	BR-18-38	90.0	91.0	9 29	0.066	<0.02	<0.12	0.094	0.260	0.052	0.047	4 34	9.8	4.8	2.10	2.33	2.33	NPAG	_
GB-2020-052	greywacke + quartz vein	waste	Phase I	BR-18-38	130.0 1	32.0	9.19	0.174	0.05	0.12	0.112	0.340	0.068	0.044	5.67	10.4	5.4	1.04	0.99	1.04	PAG2	-
GB-2020-054	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-38	143.5 1	45.5	9.75	0.021	< 0.04	<0.04	0.040	0.095	0.019	0.021	1.58	7.8	2.8	2.41	4.27	4.27	NPAG	-
GB-2020-055	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-54	14.0	15.9	8.09	0.101	0.06	0.04	0.023	< 0.025	0.005	0.018	0.42	5.5	0.5	0.13	0.16	0.16	PAG1	-
GB-2020-056	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-54	20.0	22.0	9.44	0.005	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.028	0.045	0.009	0.019	0.75	5.6	0.6	4.80	3.84	4.80	NPAG	-
GB-2020-057	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-54	29.0	31.0	9.75	0.080	0.06	< 0.04	0.067	0.195	0.039	0.028	3.25	9.3	4.3	1.30	1.72	1.72	PAG2	7.58
GB-2020-058	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-54	45.0	47.0	9.54	0.029	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.037	0.080	0.016	0.021	1.33	8.0	3.0	1.47	3.31	3.31	NPAG	-

Appendix C.1: Waste Rock and Ore Static Test Results, Table 1: Acid Base Accounting Results

Sample ID	Rock Type	Ore/Waste	Phase	Drillhole	Inter	val Past	te pH 7	Total S	Sulphate S (HCl)	Sulphide S	Total Carbon	Carbonate	Inorganic C	Organic C	CaNP	Mod NP	Corrected Mod. NP	CaNP/TAP	Corrected Mod. NP/TAP	Operational NPR	Designation	NAG pH
Units	-	-	-	-	m	m	-	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	kg CaCO ₃ /t	kg CaCO ₃ /t	kg CaCO ₃ /t	-	-	-	-	-
GB-2020-059	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-54	65.0	67.0 9.	.82	0.008	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.090	0.285	0.057	0.033	4.75	12.7	7.7	19.01	30.80	30.80	NPAG	-
GB-2020-060	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-54	85.5	87.5 9.	.58	0.033	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.052	0.140	0.028	0.024	2.34	9.5	4.5	2.26	4.36	4.36	NPAG	-
GB-2020-061	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-54	100.3	102.3 9.	.42	0.008	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.068	0.200	0.040	0.028	3.34	10.6	5.6	13.34	22.40	22.40	NPAG	-
GB-2020-062	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-54	124.0	126.0 9.	.81	0.015	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.063	0.150	0.030	0.033	2.50	10.3	5.3	5.34	11.31	11.31	NPAG	-
GB-2020-063	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-54	140.0	142.0 9.	0.74	0.020	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.092	0.280	0.056	0.036	4.67	12.1	7.1	7.47	11.36	11.36	NPAG	-
GB-2020-064	greywacke + quartz vein	waste	Phase I	BR-18-61	6.9	9.0 9.	0.01	0.142	<0.04	0.13	0.048	0.090	0.018	0.030	1.50	4.3	0.1	0.34	0.02	0.34	PAG1	-
GB-2020-065	argillite + quartz vein	ore	Phase I	BR-18-61	16.4	17.5 8.	5.52	0.475	0.16	0.32	0.295	0.684	0.137	0.158	11.41	5.6	0.6	0.77	0.04	0.77	PAGI	-
GB-2020-066	greywacke + quartz vein	waste	Phase I	BR-18-61	30.0	31.5 9.	2.31	0.374	0.08	0.29	0.051	0.120	0.024	0.027	2.00	7.0	2.0	0.17	0.17	0.17	PAGI DAGI	-
GB-2020-067	quartz vein	waste	Phase I Phase I	BR-18-01 BD 18-61	40.0	41.5 9. 560 0	0.09	0.317	0.09	0.25	0.075	0.150	0.030	0.045	2.50	5.0	0.0	0.25	0.06	0.25	PAGI PAGI	-
GB-2020-069	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-61	58.0	59.0 9	0.00	0.940	0.33	0.00	0.395	0.974	0.193	0.200	6.92	8.0	3.0	0.35	0.05	0.35	PAGI	29
GB-2020-009	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-61	68.0	69.0 9	0.31	0.010	<0.21	<0.41	0.140	0.415	0.005	0.003	5.09	79	2.9	3.97	2.26	3.97	NPAG	-
GB-2020-071	grevwacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-61	78.5	80.5 9	.65	0.050	<0.04	< 0.04	0.084	0.245	0.049	0.035	4.09	9.1	4.1	2.62	2.62	2.62	NPAG	-
GB-2020-072	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-62	20.5	22.3 8	3.65	0.526	0.14	0.39	0.183	0.460	0.092	0.091	7.67	9.0	4.0	0.47	0.24	0.47	PAG1	-
GB-2020-073	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-62	32.0	34.0 9.	.22	0.034	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.096	0.270	0.054	0.042	4.50	7.4	2.4	4.24	2.26	4.24	NPAG	-
GB-2020-074	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-62	40.0	41.5 9.	.55	0.150	0.08	0.07	0.056	0.130	0.026	0.030	2.17	7.5	2.5	0.46	0.53	0.53	PAG1	-
GB-2020-075	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-62	48.6	50.2 9.	.56	0.172	0.09	0.08	0.054	0.160	0.032	0.022	2.67	7.9	2.9	0.50	0.54	0.54	PAG1	-
GB-2020-076	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-62	54.6	56.6 9.	.61	0.212	0.09	0.12	0.057	0.180	0.036	0.021	3.00	8.8	3.8	0.45	0.57	0.57	PAG1	4.19
GB-2020-077	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-19-84	9.0	11.0 8.	.90	0.032	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.027	< 0.025	0.005	0.022	0.42	6.7	1.7	0.42	1.70	1.70	PAG2	-
GB-2020-078	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-19-84	23.0	25.0 9.	.33	0.010	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.072	0.250	0.050	0.022	4.17	10.2	5.2	13.34	16.64	16.64	NPAG	-
GB-2020-079	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-19-95	18.7	20.7 9.	0.11	0.044	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.040	0.090	0.018	0.022	1.50	8.3	3.3	1.09	2.40	2.40	NPAG	-
GB-2020-080	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-19-95	54.0	56.0 9.	0.38	0.086	< 0.04	0.05	0.073	0.225	0.045	0.028	3.75	8.2	3.2	1.40	1.19	1.40	PAG2	5.94
GB-2020-081	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-20-117	16.0	18.0 9.	0.08	0.089	<0.04	0.06	0.017	<0.025	0.005	0.012	0.42	8.7	3.7	0.15	1.33	1.33	PAG2	-
GB-2020-082	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-20-117	67.8	69.8 9.	2.14	0.019	<0.04	< 0.04	0.118	0.455	0.091	0.027	7.59	14.2	9.2	12.78	15.49	15.49	NPAG DAC1	-
GB-2020-085	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-20-117	145.0	147.0 9. 140 0	v.24	0.170	0.08	0.10	0.039	0.080	0.010	0.025	1.55	0.9	1.9	0.24	0.35	0.35	NPAG	-
GB-2020-085	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR_20_127	25.0	27.0 9	77	0.008	<0.04	< 0.04	0.030	0.143	0.029	0.021	1.08	9.0	4.0	3.85	19.20	19.20	NPAG	-
GB-2020-085	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-20-127	39.0	41.0 9	59	0.007	0.04	0.04	0.035	0.005	0.013	0.020	6.67	14.3	93	1.67	2 33	2 33	NPAG	
GB-2020-087	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-20-127	45.0	47.0 9	.62	0.027	< 0.04	< 0.03	0.081	0.240	0.048	0.033	4.00	11.1	6.1	4.74	7.23	7.23	NPAG	-
GB-2020-088	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-20-127	53.3	55.2 9.	9.61	0.238	0.12	0.12	0.132	0.475	0.095	0.037	7.92	17.2	12.2	1.07	1.64	1.64	PAG1	-
GB-2020-089	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-20-145	14.0	16.0 9.	.19	0.033	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.038	0.045	0.009	0.029	0.75	7.8	2.8	0.73	2.72	2.72	NPAG	-
GB-2020-090	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-20-145	35.0	37.0 9.	.33	0.006	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.062	0.165	0.033	0.029	2.75	9.2	4.2	14.68	22.40	22.40	NPAG	-
GB-2020-091	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-20-145	53.0	55.0 9.	.68	0.006	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.042	0.085	0.017	0.025	1.42	8.9	3.9	7.56	20.80	20.80	NPAG	-
GB-2020-092	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-20-160	10.0	12.0 9.	9.59	0.043	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.105	0.370	0.074	0.031	6.17	13.2	8.2	4.59	6.10	6.10	NPAG	-
GB-2020-093	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-20-160	27.0	29.0 9.	.66	0.052	0.05	< 0.04	0.092	0.345	0.069	0.023	5.75	13.0	8.0	3.54	4.92	4.92	NPAG	-
GB-2020-094	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-20-174	10.5	12.5 9.	0.05	0.022	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.053	0.150	0.030	0.023	2.50	8.6	3.6	3.64	5.24	5.24	NPAG	-
GB-2020-095	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-20-174	27.0	28.5 8.	.98	0.030	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.081	0.215	0.043	0.038	3.59	9.7	4.7	3.83	5.01	5.01	NPAG	-
GB-2020-096	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-20-174	69.0	70.5 9.	9.65	0.008	<0.04	< 0.04	0.047	0.130	0.026	0.021	2.17	9.8	4.8	8.67	19.20	19.20	NPAG	-
GB-2020-097	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-20-174	89.0	91.0 9.	0.47	0.026	<0.04	< 0.04	0.036	0.085	0.017	0.019	1.42	8.1	3.1	1.74	3.82	3.82	NPAG	-
GB-2020-098	arginite	waste	Phase I Dhase I	BR-20-191	19.8	21.5 9.	25	0.020	<0.04	< 0.04	0.055	0.250	0.050	0.005	4.1/	9.8	4.8	6.07	7.08	7.08	NPAG	-
GB 2020-099	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR 20 100	26.0	28.0 8	.23	0.070	<0.00	< 0.04	0.200	0.839	0.108	0.038	5.42	21.1	5.8	5.26	7.30	7.30	NPAG	-
GB-2020-100	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-20-199	20.9	20.9 0.	0.93	0.033	<0.04	<0.04	0.092	0.323	0.005	0.027	2.50	7.6	2.6	J.20 4 45	4.62	4.62	NPAG	-
GB-2020-101 GB-2020-102	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-20-179	48.5	50.4 9	59	0.010	<0.04	<0.04	0.034	0.150	0.030	0.024	1 33	6.9	1.9	3 56	5.07	5.07	NPAG	_
GB-2020-102 GB-2020-103	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-20-179	89.6	91.6 9.	9.66	0.012	<0.04	<0.04	0.030	0.100	0.020	0.011	1.67	7.3	2.3	4.45	6.13	6.13	NPAG	-
GB-2020-104	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-20-179	12.6	14.8 8.	3.87	0.300	0.14	0.16	0.118	0.410	0.082	0.036	6.84	7.7	2.7	0.73	0.29	0.73	PAG1	-
GB-2020-105	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-20-179	83.5	85.5 9.	.32	0.119	0.08	0.04	0.093	0.290	0.058	0.035	4.84	7.8	2.8	1.30	0.75	1.30	PAG2	-
GB-2020-106	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-22	28.5	29.5 8.	3.52	0.316	0.18	0.14	0.106	0.255	0.051	0.055	4.25	10.8	5.8	0.43	0.59	0.59	PAG1	-
GB-2020-107	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-22	36.0	37.0 9.	0.01	0.056	0.05	< 0.04	0.103	0.370	0.074	0.029	6.17	11.3	6.3	3.53	3.60	3.60	NPAG	-
GB-2020-108	argillite	ore	Phase I	BR-18-22	50.6	51.9 8.	.92	0.332	0.14	0.19	0.123	0.350	0.070	0.053	5.84	10.3	5.3	0.56	0.51	0.56	PAG1	-
GB-2020-109	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-22	52.4	54.4 9.	0.04	0.059	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.096	0.320	0.064	0.032	5.34	7.5	2.5	2.89	1.36	2.89	NPAG	-
GB-2020-110	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-22	75.5	77.5 9.	.05	0.011	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.075	0.315	0.063	0.012	5.25	11.0	6.0	15.28	17.45	17.45	NPAG	-
GB-2020-111	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-22	94.0	95.5 9.	0.51	0.009	<0.04	< 0.04	0.055	0.165	0.033	0.022	2.75	6.8	1.8	9.79	6.40	9.79	NPAG	-
GB-2020-112	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-71	15.4	17.5 8.	39	0.037	<0.04	< 0.04	0.029	0.085	0.017	0.012	1.42	6.9	1.9	1.23	1.64	1.64	PAG2	
GB-2020-113	argillite	waste	Phase I	BK-18-71	33.9	<u>36.0 9.</u>	.06	0.052	<0.04	< 0.04	0.080	0.300	0.060	0.020	5.00	1.5	2.5	3.08	1.54	3.08	NPAG	-
GB-2020-114 GB-2020-115	greywacke	waste	Phase I	DK-18-/1	48.0	<u>50.0</u> 9.	72	0.012	<0.04	< 0.04	0.099	0.370	0.074	0.025	0.1/	13.1	ð.1	10.40	21.60	21.00		
GB-I X2021 01	argume + quartz veni greywacke	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-10-/1 BR-17 08	11 /	13.4 9	87	0.104	< 0.08	0.08	0.370	0.125	0.204	0.100	2 08	4.0 8.6	3.6	5.52 2.15	3.72	3.32	NPAG	-
SD L (12021-01	510 y walke	waste	i nuse to - putp	DIX-1/-00	11.7	1.J.T 0.		0.051	< 0.0 1	0.0+	0.000	0.125	0.025	0.055	2.00	0.0	5.0	2.13	5.12	5.14	DATE	

Appendix C.1: Waste Rock and Ore Static Test Results, Table 1: Acid Base Accounting Results

Sample ID	Rock Type	Ore/Waste	Phase	Drillhole	Inte	rval	Paste pH	Total S	Sulphate S (HCl)	Sulphide S	Total Carbon	Carbonate	Inorganic C	Organic C	CaNP	Mod NP	Corrected Mod. NP	CaNP/TAP	Corrected Mod. NP/TAP	Operational NPR	Designation	NAG pH
Units	-	-	-	-	m	m	-	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	kg CaCO ₃ /t	kg CaCO ₃ /t	kg CaCO ₃ /t	-	-	-	-	-
GB-LX2021-02	argillite	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-18-33	55.0	57.0	9.19	0.134	< 0.04	0.12	0.090	0.175	0.035	0.055	2.92	8.9	3.9	0.70	0.93	0.93	PAG1	-
GB-LX2021-03	argillite	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-18-33	86.0	88.0	9.56	0.043	< 0.04	0.04	0.075	0.180	0.036	0.039	3.00	9.0	4.0	2.23	2.98	2.98	NPAG	-
GB-LX2021-04	argillite	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-18-41	23.0	25.0	8.52	0.015	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.065	0.045	0.009	0.056	0.75	8.3	3.3	1.60	7.04	7.04	NPAG	
GB-LX2021-05	argillite + quartz veining	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-18-41	106.6	108.6	8.15	0.056	< 0.04	0.05	0.167	0.310	0.062	0.105	5.17	17.5	12.5	2.95	7.14	7.14	NPAG	-
GB-LX2021-06	argillite	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-18-43	40.5	42.5	9.41	< 0.005	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.076	0.200	0.040	0.036	3.34	10.0	5.0	21.35	32.00	32.00	NPAG	
GB-LX2021-07	argillite	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-18-43	160.2	162.3	9.43	0.058	< 0.04	0.05	0.046	0.070	0.014	0.032	1.17	7.5	2.5	0.64	1.38	1.38	PAG2	-
GB-LX2021-08	greywacke	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-18-46	172.0	174.0	9.36	0.065	< 0.04	0.05	0.062	0.125	0.025	0.037	2.08	9.4	4.4	1.03	2.17	2.17	NPAG	-
GB-LX2021-09	arginite	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BK-18-0/	30.0	32.0	9.07	0.083	< 0.04	0.06	0.087	0.250	0.050	0.037	4.17	11.5	6.5	1.01	2.51	2.51	NPAG	-
GB-LX2021-10	greywacke	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-18-67	44.9 8/1 5	40.4 86.5	9.51	0.034	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.080	0.203	0.033	0.035	4.42	9.4	0.0	7.01	17.60	17.60	NPAG	
GB-LX2021-12	argillite	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-18-67	153.7	155.6	9.50	0.000	<0.04	< 0.04	0.091	0.105	0.021	0.035	3.84	9.2	4 2	4 91	5 38	5 38	NPAG	-
GB-LX2021-12 GB-LX2021-13	argillite	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-19-80	30.0	32.0	9.02	0.019	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.129	0.355	0.071	0.058	5.92	13.9	8.9	9.97	14.99	14.99	NPAG	-
GB-LX2021-14	greywacke	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-19-80	42.0	44.0	9.49	0.019	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.114	0.335	0.067	0.047	5.59	13.0	8.0	9.41	13.47	13.47	NPAG	-
GB-LX2021-15	argillite	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-19-96	70.3	72.3	9.36	0.092	< 0.04	0.07	0.155	0.455	0.091	0.064	7.59	12.6	7.6	2.64	2.64	2.64	NPAG	-
GB-LX2021-16	argillite	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-19-98	61.0	63.0	9.58	0.022	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.100	0.260	0.052	0.048	4.34	10.2	5.2	6.31	7.56	7.56	NPAG	-
GB-LX2021-17	greywacke	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-19-101	75.9	77.8	9.66	0.023	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.081	0.145	0.029	0.052	2.42	9.8	4.8	3.36	6.68	6.68	NPAG	-
GB-LX2021-18	greywacke	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-20-138	94.8	96.8	9.77	0.019	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.055	0.120	0.024	0.031	2.00	9.0	4.0	3.37	6.74	6.74	NPAG	-
GB-LX2021-19	argillite	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-20-139	13.3	15.3	9.14	0.009	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.042	0.060	0.012	0.030	1.00	6.9	1.9	3.56	6.76	6.76	NPAG	-
GB-LX2021-20	greywacke	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-20-205	20.1	22.1	9.31	0.013	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.081	0.170	0.034	0.047	2.84	9.8	4.8	6.98	11.82	11.82	NPAG	-
GB-LX2021-21	greywacke	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-20-205	94.5	96.5	9.54	0.205	0.1	0.11	0.071	0.120	0.024	0.047	2.00	8.8	3.8	0.31	0.59	0.59	PAG1	-
GB-LX2021-22	greywacke	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-20-195	68.0	70.0	9.39	0.032	<0.04	< 0.04	0.116	0.385	0.077	0.039	6.42	16.2	11.2	6.42	11.20	11.20	NPAG	-
GB-LX2021-23	arginite	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-20-195	87.9	89.9	8.80	0.188	<0.05	0.14	0.018	<0.025	0.005	0.013	0.42	0.4	1.4	0.07	0.24	0.24	PAGI	-
GB-LX2021-24	greywacke	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-21-225	40.0 58.0	42.0 60.0	9.65	0.010	<0.04	< 0.04	0.103	0.333	0.007	0.044	<i>J.3</i>	13.8	7.4	/ 98	7.89	7.89	NPAG	-
GB-LX2021-25	argillite	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-21-226	95.0	97.0	9.30	0.006	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.103	0.160	0.032	0.039	2.67	9.1	4.1	14.23	21.87	21.87	NPAG	
GB-LX2021-27	grevwacke marker unit	waste	Phase Ib - core	BR-18-40	30.0	32.0	9.69	0.182	0.08	0.10	0.127	0.375	0.075	0.052	6.25	15.2	10.2	1.10	1.79	1.79	PAG2	-
GB-LX2021-28	greywacke	waste	Phase Ib - core	BR-18-67	120.0	122.0	9.92	0.024	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.114	0.290	0.058	0.056	4.84	15.0	10.0	6.45	13.33	13.33	NPAG	-
GB-LX2021-29	greywacke	waste	Phase Ib - core	BR-19-87	33.8	35.8	9.81	0.031	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.064	0.160	0.032	0.032	2.67	10.1	5.1	2.75	5.26	5.26	NPAG	-
GB-LX2021-30	greywacke	waste	Phase Ib - core	BR-19-87	95.0	97.0	9.75	0.035	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.195	0.749	0.150	0.045	12.49	22.1	17.1	11.42	15.63	15.63	NPAG	-
GB-LX2021-31	greywacke	waste	Phase Ib - core	BR-19-101	10.0	12.0	9.41	< 0.005	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.032	0.030	0.006	0.026	0.50	7.0	2.0	3.20	12.80	12.80	NPAG	-
GB-LX2021-32	argillite	waste	Phase Ib - core	BR-20-113	20.0	22.0	9.31	0.054	< 0.04	0.04	0.069	0.180	0.036	0.033	3.00	9.0	4.0	1.78	2.37	2.37	NPAG	-
GB-LX2021-33	greywacke	waste	Phase Ib - core	BR-20-113	77.0	79.0	9.97	0.050	0.05	< 0.04	0.126	0.415	0.083	0.043	6.92	14.0	9.0	4.43	5.76	5.76	NPAG	-
GB-LX2021-34	argillite	waste	Phase Ib - core	BR-20-116	28.0	30.0	9.66	0.083	< 0.04	0.04	0.049	0.080	0.016	0.033	1.33	7.5	2.5	0.51	0.96	0.96	PAG1	7.92
GB-LX2021-35	greywacke	waste	Phase Ib - core	BR-20-116	45.0	47.0	9.92	0.064	< 0.04	0.04	0.071	0.200	0.040	0.031	3.34	10.5	5.5	1.67	2.75	2.75	NPAG	
GB-NM2021-01	greywacke	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-17-01	50.3	55.0 20.0	9.42	0.482	0.06	0.42	0.152	0.455	0.091	0.061	7.59	13.7	8.7	0.50	0.58	0.58	PAGI	-
GB-NM2021-05		waste	Phase II - pulp	DD 19 61	55.5	39.0 61.0	8.89	0.275	0.04	0.25	0.107	0.240	0.048	0.039	4.00	0.0	1.0	0.47	0.12	0.47	PAGI DAC1	-
GD-INIVI2021-04		waste	Phase II - pulp	DK-10-01	33.3	01.0	8.90	0.005	0.10	0.44	0.192	0.433	0.091	0.101	7.39	8.0	5.0	0.40	0.10	0.40	PAGI	
GB-NM2021-05	Approx. 30% greywacke, 70% quartz vein	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-18-61	126.0	131.0	8.79	0.531	0.16	0.37	0.096	0.195	0.039	0.057	3.25	8.4	3.4	0.20	0.20	0.20	PAG1	-
GB-NM2021-06	Approx. 20% quartz vein, 80% greywacke	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-18-61	144.5	149.5	9.44	0.145	< 0.04	0.16	0.077	0.150	0.030	0.047	2.50	7.4	2.4	0.55	0.53	0.55	PAG1	-
GB-NM2021-07	greywacke	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-19-86	148.5	153.5	9.03	0.224	0.04	0.18	0.095	0.280	0.056	0.039	4.67	10.7	5.7	0.67	0.81	0.81	PAG1	-
GB-NM2021-08	Approx. 60% greywacke, 40% argillite + quartz vein	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-19-97	118.6	123.8	9.56	0.155	0.04	0.11	0.223	0.679	0.136	0.087	11.33	13.3	8.3	2.34	1.71	2.34	NPAG	-
GB-NM2021-09	Approx. 40% greywacke, 60% quartz vein	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-19-103	25.2	30.2	9.04	0.479	0.06	0.42	0.136	0.210	0.042	0.094	3.50	4.6	0.1	0.23	0.01	0.23	PAG1	-
GB-NM2021-10	Approx. 60% argillite, 40% quartz vein	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-20-127	87.0	92.5	9.27	0.174	< 0.04	0.14	0.117	0.340	0.068	0.049	5.67	10.3	5.3	1.04	0.97	1.04	PAG2	-
GB-NM2021-11	Approx. 40% argillite + quartz veining, 60% quartz vein	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-20-131	75.9	81.0	9.23	0.452	0.08	0.37	0.172	0.380	0.076	0.096	6.34	8.0	3.0	0.45	0.21	0.45	PAG1	-
GB-NM2021-12	Approx. 70% argillte, 30% greywacke	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-20-132	35.9	40.9	9.14	0.086	< 0.04	0.07	0.120	0.295	0.059	0.061	4.92	8.8	3.8	1.83	1.41	1.83	PAG2	-
GB-NM2021-13	greywacke + quartz vein	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-20-132	84.5	89.4	9.22	0.978	0.15	0.83	0.112	0.225	0.045	0.067	3.75	8.6	3.6	0.12	0.12	0.12	PAG1	-
CD NN 2021 14	Approx. 20% argillite + quartz veining, 80%			DD 20 124	14.0	10.0	0.62	0.264	0.07	0.10	0.095	0.100	0.026	0.040	2.00	47	0.1	0.26	0.01	0.26	DAC1	
OB-INIVI2021-14	greywacke + quartz veining Approx. 90% greywacke + quartz veining.	waste	Phase II - pulp	вк-20-134	14.0	19.0	8.02	0.264	0.07	0.19	0.085	0.180	0.036	0.049	3.00	4./	0.1	0.36	0.01	0.36	PAGI	-
GB-NM2021-15	10% argillite	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-20-134	53.0	58.0	9.24	0.554	0.09	0.46	0.239	0.744	0.149	0.090	12.41	8.4	3.4	0.72	0.20	0.72	PAG1	-
GB-NM2021-16	Approx. 40% quartz vein, 60% greywacke	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-20-134	110.3	115.3	9.14	1.720	0.22	1.50	0.125	0.245	0.049	0.076	4.09	8.5	3.5	0.08	0.07	0.08	PAG1	-

	Appendix C.1: Waste Rock and Or	re Static Test Results, '	Table 1: Acid Base	Accounting Results
--	---------------------------------	---------------------------	--------------------	--------------------

Line C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. <th>Sample ID</th> <th>Rock Type</th> <th>Ore/Waste</th> <th>Phase</th> <th>Drillhole</th> <th>Interva</th> <th>l Pas</th> <th>te pH Total</th> <th>S Sulphate S (HCl)</th> <th>Sulphide S</th> <th>Total Carbon</th> <th>Carbonate</th> <th>Inorganic C</th> <th>Organic C</th> <th>CaNP</th> <th>Mod NP</th> <th>Corrected Mod. NP</th> <th>CaNP/TAP</th> <th>Corrected Mod. NP/TAP</th> <th>Operational NPR</th> <th>Designation NAG pH</th>	Sample ID	Rock Type	Ore/Waste	Phase	Drillhole	Interva	l Pas	te pH Total	S Sulphate S (HCl)	Sulphide S	Total Carbon	Carbonate	Inorganic C	Organic C	CaNP	Mod NP	Corrected Mod. NP	CaNP/TAP	Corrected Mod. NP/TAP	Operational NPR	Designation NAG pH	
Circle Marceline Jone Jone Jone Jone Jone Jone Jone Jo	Units	-	-	-	-	m ı	m	- %	%	%	%	%	%	%	kg CaCO ₃ /t	kg CaCO ₃ /t	kg CaCO ₃ /t	-	-	-		
Char Name Symple Sympl	GB-NM2021-17	Approx. 80% argillite, 20% greywacke	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-20-144	107.6 11	2.9 9	.17 0.17	3 0.05	0.12	0.115	0.270	0.054	0.061	4.50	9.0	4.0	0.83	0.74	0.83	PAG1 -	
GRN 2012 Approx 3b growsche, 3b support, 3b su	GB-NM2021-18	argillite	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-20-145	100.0 10	4.8 9	.36 0.21	4 0.08	0.13	0.104	0.245	0.049	0.055	4.09	10.5	5.5	0.61	0.82	0.82	PAG1 -	
Approximation Approximation<	GB-NM2021-19	Approx. 20% greywacke, 80% argillite	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-20-152	77.0 82	2.0 9	.24 0.05	3 0.05	< 0.04	0.075	0.180	0.036	0.039	3.00	8.9	3.9	1.81	2.35	2.35	NPAG -	
Approx.68 Approx.68 <t< td=""><td>GB-NM2021-20</td><td>Approx. 80% argillite + quartz veining, 20% greywacke</td><td>waste</td><td>Phase II - pulp</td><td>BR-20-156</td><td>62.6 68</td><td>8.2 9</td><td>.09 0.14</td><td>5 0.06</td><td>0.09</td><td>0.124</td><td>0.405</td><td>0.081</td><td>0.043</td><td>6.76</td><td>12.8</td><td>7.8</td><td>1.48</td><td>1.71</td><td>1.71</td><td>PAG2 -</td></t<>	GB-NM2021-20	Approx. 80% argillite + quartz veining, 20% greywacke	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-20-156	62.6 68	8.2 9	.09 0.14	5 0.06	0.09	0.124	0.405	0.081	0.043	6.76	12.8	7.8	1.48	1.71	1.71	PAG2 -	
(in) MUR212 product - space - sp	GB-NM2021-21	Approx. 40% greywacke, 60% argillite + quartz veining	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-20-165	11.6 16	5.3 7	.91 0.26	0.05	0.21	0.161	0.330	0.066	0.095	5.50	5.3	0.3	0.67	0.04	0.67	PAG1 -	
MAXD2112 Space Mark Space Mark Space Mode Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark	GB-NM2021-22	greywacke	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-20-165	26.0 31	1.0 8	.92 0.40	3 < 0.04	0.38	0.128	0.280	0.056	0.072	4.67	7.1	2.1	0.37	0.16	0.37	PAG1 -	
General province quants withing on province quants withing on province quants withing on province quants withing on province difference quants withing on province difference quants withing on quants within	GB-NM2021-23	Approx. 15% argillite + quartz veining, 40% greywacke, 45% argillite	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-20-172	52.3 57	7.3 8	.94 0.10	5 < 0.04	0.11	0.208	0.679	0.136	0.072	11.33	17.3	12.3	3.42	3.71	3.71	NPAG -	
Approx Approx Approx Bes and the second	GB-NM2021-24	Approx. 50% argillite + quartz veining, 50% greywacke + quartz veining	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-20-176	36.0 41	1.0 8	.97 0.08	3 < 0.04	0.07	0.087	0.255	0.051	0.036	4.25	9.5	4.5	1.64	1.73	1.73	PAG2 -	
OB Marce Phase II publy BR 2019 60 0.20 0.20 0.20 0.40 0.41 7.5 2.5 0.61 0.63 0.61 PAGe GB - ML201-27 Approx .80% argilitie - quartx veining. 100 grywack make Phase II - ore BR -20-18 60.5 5.5 9.43 0.24 0.11 0.15 0.21 0.133 1.316 1.02 5.2 1.60 0.63 1.60 PAGe PAGE GB - MM201-28 Approx .90% grywacke unit wase Phase II - core BR -17 2.0 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.05 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.03 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.03 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 <	GB-NM2021-25	Approx. 20% argillite + quartz veining, 40% greywacke, 30% quartz vein	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-20-178	74.0 81	1.7 9	.61 0.31	3 0.07	0.25	0.206	0.570	0.114	0.092	9.51	11.9	6.9	0.96	0.69	0.96	PAG1 -	
OBN:00:1-27 Open: SMs argilite 'quark veining. 2Mg 'wake Phase II - cup BR-302 6 5.5 9.4 0.26 0.11 0.158 0.133 15.16 10.2 5.2 1.60 0.63 1.60 PAG GB-N2021-28 Approx.9Ms greywacke, 10% argilite wate Phase II - cup BR-18-12 150 10.0 0.041 0.043 0.043 0.043 24.3 19.3 10.0 6.86 6.86 NPAG - GB-N2021-30 greywacke marker unit wake Phase II - cup BR-18-21 150 10.0 0.041 0.045 0.051 0.051 0.053 4.75 2.19 16.9 2.38 NPAG - - 0.053 0.051 0.051 0.017 2.48 2.34 4.56 NPAG - - 0.033 0.015 0.173 0.018 0.133 0.151 0.133 0.151 0.133 0.151 0.133 0.151 0.134 0.13 0.124 0.134 0.13 0.124 0.1	GB-NM2021-26	Approx. 75% argillite + quartz veining, 25% greywacke	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-20-219	16.0 21	1.0 9	.06 0.21	3 < 0.04	0.20	0.095	0.250	0.050	0.045	4.17	7.5	2.5	0.61	0.37	0.61	PAG1 -	
GB-MM221-28 Approx. 90% greywackc, 10% arg/into vasae Phase I- core BR-182 152 0.50 0.04 0.007 0.270 0.054 0.043 4.50 24.3 1.60 6.58 6.56 6.76 NPAG - GB-MM221-30 greywackc marker unit vasae Phase I- core BR-182 18.50 10.00 0.012 0.005 0.026 0.027 0.10 1.03 1.30	GB-NM2021-27	Approx. 80% argillite + quartz veining, 20% greywacke	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-20-219	60.5 65	5.5 9	.43 0.26	4 0.11	0.15	0.291	0.789	0.158	0.133	13.16	10.2	5.2	1.60	0.63	1.60	PAG1 -	
GB - MA2021-32 greywacke marker unit waste Phase II- core BR-18-2 18.50 19.00 10.04 -0.04 0.025 0.130 0.026 2.17 21.7 21.7 16.7 16.7 16.9 15.30 15.30 NPAG - GB - MA2021-31 greywacke marker unit waste Phase II- core BR-18-36 27.7 27.7 07.6 0.005 0.076 0.005 0.013 0.014 10.01 28.4 23.4 57.2 12.08 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 17.00 NPAG - GB - MA2021-32 greywacke marker unit waste Phase II- core BR-19-98 24.2 29.5 9.0 0.006 -0.04 0.058 0.012 0.026 2.42 10.2 15.5 2.67 17.10 17.00 NPAG - GB - MA2021-32 greywacke marker unit waste Phase II- core BR-19-98 24.2 9.0 0.032 0.13 0.022 1.01 1.04 1.04 1.02 1.04 1.04 1.04 1.04 1.04 1	GB-NM2021-28	Approx. 90% greywacke, 10% argillite	waste	Phase II - core	BR-18-17	23.0 28	8.0 9	.94 0.09	0.05	0.04	0.097	0.270	0.054	0.043	4.50	24.3	19.3	1.60	6.86	6.86	NPAG -	
GB-MX021-30 greywacke marker unit waste Phase II-core BR-18-32 IS30 0.00 0.012 0.007 0.008 4.75 2.19 16.9 1.23 4.36 4.36 NPAG - GB-NX021-32 greywacke marker unit waste Phase II-core BR-18-30 2.77 2.77 0.000 0.000 0.001 0.013 0.013 0.013 0.013 0.013 0.013 0.013 0.013 0.013 0.014 0.021 0.023 0.021 0.031 0.013 0.013 0.013 0.013 0.014 0.021 0.023 0.021 0.031 0.014 0.021 0.023 0.014 0.031 0.013	GB-NM2021-29	greywacke marker unit	waste	Phase II - core	BR-18-20	185.0 19	0.0 10	0.05 0.04	0.04	< 0.04	0.052	0.130	0.026	0.026	2.17	21.7	16.7	1.69	13.03	13.03	NPAG -	
GB-NM2021-31 greywacke marker unit waste Phase II-core BR-18-56 27.7 32.7 9.71 0.062 0.064 0.013 0.051 11.07 22.4 5.72 12.08 12.08 NPAG . GB-NM2021-32 greywacke marker unit waste Phase II-core BR-19-80 13.0 10.0 7.7 0.060 <0.014	GB-NM2021-30	greywacke marker unit	waste	Phase II - core	BR-18-22	183.0 18	8.0 10	0.00 0.12	4 0.05	0.07	0.095	0.285	0.057	0.038	4.75	21.9	16.9	1.23	4.36	4.36	NPAG -	
GB-NM2021-32 greywacke marker unit waste Phase II-core BR-18-40 13.0 18.0 9.77 0.060 <0.05 0.072 0.190 0.038 0.034 3.1.7 21.2 16.2 1.69 8.64 8.64 NRAG . GB-NM2021-34 greywacke waste Phase II-core BR-19-88 25.5 9.55 0.027 0.014 0.015 0.003 3.17 19.4 14.4 2.30 10.47 10.47 NPAG . 389995 Mixed-bulk sample stockpile ore Bulk Sample Stockpile n/a n/a 8.89 0.863 0.14 0.72 0.269 0.714 0.143 0.126 11.91 10.8 5.8 0.44 0.22 0.044 PAGI . 389996 Mixed-bulk sample stockpile ore Bulk Sample Stockpile n/a n/a 8.80 0.572 0.08 0.072 0.165 0.125 0.42 7.5 2.5 0.55 0.627 0.70 PAGI 	GB-NM2021-31	greywacke marker unit	waste	Phase II - core	BR-18-36	27.7 32	2.7 9	.71 0.06	2 0.06	< 0.04	0.184	0.664	0.133	0.051	11.07	28.4	23.4	5.72	12.08	12.08	NPAG -	
OB-NM2021-33 greywacke waste Phase II -core BR-19-98 24.5 29.5 0.029 -0.04 0.008 0.0145 0.002 31.0 14.4 2.30 17.10 17.10 NPAG - 389995 Mixed - bulk sample stockpile ore Bulk Sample Stockpile n'a n'a n'a 8.91 0.863 0.14 0.72 0.269 0.714 0.143 0.126 11.91 10.8 5.8 0.44 0.22 0.44 PAG1 - 389995 Mixed - bulk sample stockpile ore Bulk Sample Stockpile n'a n'a n'a 8.89 0.56 0.12 0.48 0.130 0.012 0.42 1.5 2.5 0.50 0.50 0.61 - 389997 Mixed - bulk sample stockpile ore Bulk Sample Stockpile n'a n'a 8.81 0.654 0.13 0.52 0.33 0.667 0.174 0.159 14.49 14.0 9.0 0.71 0.44 0.71 PAG1 - 389997 Mixed - bulk sample stockpile ore Bulk Sample Stockpi	GB-NM2021-32	greywacke marker unit	waste	Phase II - core	BR-18-40	13.0 18	8.0 9	.77 0.06) <0.04	0.05	0.072	0.190	0.038	0.034	3.17	21.2	16.2	1.69	8.64	8.64	NPAG -	
GH-MU201-34 greywacke waste PhaseII - core BR/2-0-185 51.0 50.0 9.66 0.044 <0.05 0.070 0.0190 0.028 5.17 19.4 14.4 2.30 10.47 10.47 NPAGI . 389995 Mixed - bulk sample stockpile ore Bulk Sample Stockpile n/a n/a 8.91 0.86 0.14 0.72 0.156 0.150 11.91 10.8 5.8 0.44 0.22 0.70 PAGI . 389995 Mixed - bulk sample stockpile ore Bulk Sample Stockpile n/a n/a 8.80 0.56 0.12 0.48 0.366 0.174 0.156 0.150 12.99 10.9 5.9 0.70 0.32 0.70 PAGI . 389998 Mixed - bulk sample stockpile ore Bulk Sample Stockpile n/a n/a 8.81 0.65 0.12 0.33 0.869 0.174 0.156 0.216 0.216 0.216 18.01 14.7 9.7 0.41	GB-NM2021-33	greywacke	waste	Phase II - core	BR-19-98	24.5 29	9.5 9	.95 0.02	9 <0.04	<0.04	0.058	0.145	0.029	0.029	2.42	20.5	15.5	2.67	17.10	17.10	NPAG -	
Mixed - bulk sample stockpile ore Bulk Sample Stockpile ore Bulk Sample Stockpile n/a n/a n/a 8.99 0.865 0.14 0.12 0.19 1.191 10.8 5.8 0.44 0.22 0.44 PAGI - 389996 Mixed - bulk sample stockpile ore Bulk Sample Stockpile n/a n/a n/a 8.80 0.556 0.12 0.48 0.306 0.779 0.126 6.42 7.5 2.5 0.55 0.22 0.55 PAGI - 389996 Mixed - bulk sample stockpile ore Bulk Sample Stockpile n/a n/a n/a 8.81 0.566 0.12 0.48 0.016 0.179 14.49 14.0 9.0 0.71 0.44 0.75 PAGI - 389997 Mixed - bulk sample stockpile ore Bulk Sample Stockpile n/a n/a n/a 8.64 0.76 0.13 0.52 0.33 0.869 0.174 0.14 0.147 0.75 0.41 0.71 0.41 0.75 0.41 0.75 0.41 0.75 0.41	GB-NM2021-34	greywacke	waste	Phase II - core	BR-20-183	51.0 56	5.0 9	.66 0.04	4 <0.04	0.05	0.070	0.190	0.038	0.032	3.17	19.4	14.4	2.30	10.47	10.47	NPAG -	
Mixed - bulk sample stockpile ore Bulk Sample Stockpile n/a	389995	Mixed - bulk sample stockpile	ore	Bulk Sample Stockpile	n/a	n/a n	/a 8	.91 0.86	3 0.14	0.72	0.269	0.714	0.143	0.126	11.91	10.8	5.8	0.44	0.22	0.44	PAGI -	
38/99/1 Mixed - bulk sample stockpile ore Bulk Sample stockpile n/a n/a n/a 8.81 0.372 0.03 0.329 0.174 0.129 0.42 1.3 0.2.4 1.3 0.2.4 1.3 0.2.4 1.3 0.2.4 1.3 0.2.4 1.3 0.2.4 1.3 0.2.4 1.3 0.2.4 1.3 0.2.4 1.3 0.2.4 1.3 0.2.4 1.3 0.2.4 1.3 0.2.4 1.3 0.2.4 1.3 0.2.4 1.4 n/a n/	389996	Mixed - bulk sample stockpile	ore	Bulk Sample Stockpile	n/a	n/a n	$\frac{1}{a}$ 8	.89 0.59	0.12	0.48	0.306	0.779	0.156	0.150	12.99	10.9	5.9	0.70	0.32	0.70	PAGI -	
385995 Inited - bulk sample stockpile ore Bulk Sample Stockpile In/a In/a N/a 8.51 0.53 0.53 0.539 0.114 0.139 14.49 14.0 9.0 0.11 0.44 0.71 PAGI - 389999 Mixed - bulk sample stockpile ore Bulk Sample Stockpile n/a n/a n/a 8.64 0.766 0.12 0.65 0.421 0.042 0.064 3.50 9.3 4.3 3.30 4.05 4.05 NPAGI - GB_HC1 argillite waste Humidity Cell n/a n/a 9.34 0.22 0.05 0.110 0.042 0.064 3.84 9.1 4.1 0.55 0.59 0.59 PAGI - GB_HC3 greywacke waste Humidity Cell n/a n/a 9.44 0.02 0.046 0.064 3.84 9.1 4.1 0.55 0.59 0.59 PAGI - GB GB GB greywacke	280008	Mixed - bulk sample stockpile	ore	Bulk Sample Stockpile	n/a	n/a n	$\frac{1}{a}$ 8	$\frac{.05}{.05}$ 0.57	2 0.08	0.29	0.203	0.385	0.077	0.120	0.42	1.5	2.5	0.55	0.22	0.55	PAGI -	
Sorgery Mixed bulk sample slockpile Ore Bulk sample slockpile Ind	280000	Mixed - bulk sample stockpile	ore	Dulk Sample Stockpile	n/a			.81 0.03	+ 0.13	0.52	0.333	1.090	0.174	0.139	14.49	14.0	9.0	0.71	0.44	0.71	PAGI -	
OB_RC1 arginite waste Humidity Cell $n'a$ <td>CR HC1</td> <td>argillite</td> <td>vesto</td> <td>Humidity Coll</td> <td>n/a</td> <td>n/a n/a</td> <td>\sqrt{a} 0</td> <td>.04 0.70 58 0.03</td> <td>5 0.12 <0.04</td> <td>0.03</td> <td>0.452</td> <td>0.210</td> <td>0.210</td> <td>0.210</td> <td>3 50</td> <td>0.3</td> <td>9.7</td> <td>3.30</td> <td>4.05</td> <td>4.05</td> <td>NDAG</td>	CR HC1	argillite	vesto	Humidity Coll	n/a	n/a n/a	\sqrt{a} 0	.04 0.70 58 0.03	5 0.12 <0.04	0.03	0.452	0.210	0.210	0.210	3 50	0.3	9.7	3.30	4.05	4.05	NDAG	
GB_RC2 again <	GB HC2	argillite	waste	Humidity Cell	n/a	$\frac{11/a}{n/a}$ n	\sqrt{a} 9	$\frac{.36}{34}$ 0.22	1 0.05	0.04	0.100	0.210	0.042	0.064	3.30	9.5	4.5	0.55	0.59	4.05	PAGI	
GB_RC3 greywacke waste Humidity Cell ina ina <t< td=""><td>GB HC3</td><td>argume</td><td>waste</td><td>Humidity Cell</td><td>n/a</td><td>$\frac{n/a}{n/a}$ n</td><td>\sqrt{a} 9</td><td>94 0.03</td><td>2 < 0.03</td><td>0.17</td><td>0.055</td><td>0.230</td><td>0.040</td><td>0.004</td><td>1.67</td><td>9.1</td><td>4.1</td><td>1.67</td><td>4.60</td><td>4.60</td><td>NPAG -</td></t<>	GB HC3	argume	waste	Humidity Cell	n/a	$\frac{n/a}{n/a}$ n	\sqrt{a} 9	94 0.03	2 < 0.03	0.17	0.055	0.230	0.040	0.004	1.67	9.1	4.1	1.67	4.60	4.60	NPAG -	
GB_HC4 gloywake wase Humidity Cell h/a h/a <th a<="" th=""> h/</th>	h/	GB_HC4	greywacke	waste	Humidity Cell	n/a	n/a n	\sqrt{a} 9	16 0.03	0.04	0.18	0.033	<0.025	0.020	0.035	0.42	6.7	1.7	0.06	0.25	0.25	PAGI -
OB_Incs Solve againet, Solve againet, Solve greywacke waste Humidity Cell ind ind <th< td=""><td>GB_HC5</td><td>50% argillite 50% greywacke</td><td>waste</td><td>Humidity Cell</td><td>n/a</td><td>n/a n</td><td>\sqrt{a} 9</td><td>65 0.05</td><td>1 < 0.04</td><td>0.13</td><td>0.024</td><td><0.025</td><td>0.003</td><td>0.019</td><td>1.92</td><td>7.5</td><td>2.5</td><td>1 14</td><td>1.48</td><td>1.48</td><td>PAGII -</td></th<>	GB_HC5	50% argillite 50% greywacke	waste	Humidity Cell	n/a	n/a n	\sqrt{a} 9	65 0.05	1 < 0.04	0.13	0.024	<0.025	0.003	0.019	1.92	7.5	2.5	1 14	1.48	1.48	PAGII -	
Best of the sample stockpile ore Field Bin n/a	GB_HC6	greywacke marker unit	waste	Humidity Cell	n/a	n/a n	\sqrt{a} 9	$\frac{100}{29}$ 0.05	5 0.05	0.07	0.003	<0.115	0.023	0.040	6.42	11.8	6.8	1.00	1.40	1.46	PAGI -	
FB-2 PAG1, mixed lithology waste Field Bin n/a n/a n/a 9.77 0.020 <0.04 0.055 3.67 12.6 7.6 5.87 12.16 11.0 11.00	FB-1	Mixed - bulk sample stockpile	ore	Field Bin	n/a	n/a n	/a 9	.46 0.45	5 0.09	0.37	0.329	0.994	0.199	0.130	16.58	7.4	2.4	1.16	0.17	1.16	PAGI -	
FB-3 PAG2, greywacke waste Field Bin n/a n/a n/a n/a 9.80 0.388 0.14 0.025 0.062	FB-2	PAG1, mixed lithology	waste	Field Bin	n/a	n/a n	\sqrt{a} 9	.77 0.02) <0.04	< 0.04	0.099	0.220	0.044	0.055	3.67	12.6	7.6	5.87	12.16	12.16	NPAG -	
$FB-4 \qquad NPAG argillite \qquad waste \qquad Field Bin \qquad n/a \qquad n/a \qquad n/a \qquad 9.70 \qquad 0.020 \qquad <0.04 \qquad <0.04 \qquad 0.073 \qquad 0.170 \qquad 0.034 \qquad 0.039 \qquad 2.83 \qquad 11.2 \qquad 6.2 \qquad 4.53 \qquad 9.92 \qquad 9.92 \qquad NPAG \qquad -$	FB-3	PAG2, greywacke	waste	Field Bin	n/a	n/a n	/a 9	.80 0.38	3 0.14	0.25	0.062	0.080	< 0.025	0.037	2.08	9.2	4.2	0.17	0.35	0.35	PAG I -	
	FB-4	NPAG, argillite	waste	Field Bin	n/a	n/a n	/a 9	.70 0.02	0 <0.04	< 0.04	0.073	0.170	0.034	0.039	2.83	11.2	6.2	4.53	9.92	9.92	NPAG -	

Notes: S: Sulphur, C: Carbon, CaNP: Carbonate Neutralization Potential, Mod. NP: Modified Neutralization Potential, TAP: Total Acid Potential, equal to Total S x 31.25, NPR: Neutralization Potential Ratio, NAG: Net Acid Generation, n/a: not applicable Corrected Modified NP is calculated as Modified NP - 5 kgCaCO₃/t

Operational NPR is the higher of the NPR calculated as CaNP/TAP and Corrected Modified NP/TAP

Light grey shading indicates an NPR value between 1 and 2

Dark grey shading indicates an NPR value below 1

Designations are defined as:

PAG1: NPR < 1 or $1 \le NPR \le 2$ and total $S \ge 0.2$ wt.%

PAG2: $1 \le NPR \le 2$ and total S < 0.2 wt.%

NPAG: NPR > 2

PAG: Potentially Acid Generating, NPAG: Non-Potentially Acid Generating, NPR: Neutralization Potential Ratio

Appendix C.1. Wash	Rock and Ore Static rest Results, rable 2. Sond r hase Metal Results																		
Sample ID	Rock Type	Ore/Waste	Phase	Drillhole	Inte	rval	Ag	Al	As	Ba	Be	Bi	Ca	Cd	Со	Cr	Cu	Fe	K
Units				_	m	m	μσ/σ	%	<u></u>	μσ/σ	μσ/σ	μσ/σ	%	μσ/σ	Πα/α	Πα/α	μσ/σ	%	%
AUCCA (Rudnick and	1 Gao. 2014)						0.053	8.15	4.8	628	2.1	0.16	2.57	0.09	17.3	92	28	3.92	2.32
GB-2020-001	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-17-10	20.5	22.4	< 0.5	8.1	52	790	2.1	0.25	0.95	0.10	14	27	16	3.7	3.3
GB-2020-002	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-17-10	33.5	35.5	< 0.5	9.6	270	930	3.2	0.68	0.84	0.47	21	36	63	5.4	4.7
GB-2020-003	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-17-10	36.5	38.5	< 0.5	5.6	15	400	1.3	< 0.09	0.95	0.060	8.2	18	14	2.5	1.7
GB-2020-004	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-17-10	50.0	52.0	< 0.5	7.0	52	590	1.9	< 0.09	0.94	0.11	13	26	24	3.4	2.5
GB-2020-005	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-17-10	67.0	69.2	< 0.5	6.1	83	460	1.6	0.23	0.92	0.22	11	31	15	2.9	1.9
GB-2020-006	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-17-10	78.0	80.0	< 0.5	8.6	460	870	2.3	< 0.09	0.64	0.10	19	50	36	3.8	3.8
GB-2020-007	argillite	ore	Phase I	BR-17-10	81.5	83.5	< 0.5	11	1800	1100	3.2	0.25	0.43	0.12	19	94	57	5.9	5.0
GB-2020-008	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-17-10	118.0	120.0	< 0.5	5.5	88	370	1.3	< 0.09	0.73	0.040	7.9	24	23	2.4	1.7
GB-2020-009	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-18	12.0	14.0	< 0.5	9.0	89	900	2.7	0.21	0.96	0.050	18	34	37	4.2	3.8
GB-2020-010	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-18	20.0	22.0	< 0.5	6.1	29	440	1.5	< 0.09	1.0	0.030	9.4	23	20	2.8	2.0
GB-2020-011	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-18	34.4	36.0	< 0.5	6.6	18	440	1.5	0.13	1.1	0.12	10	26	9.3	2.9	2.1
GB-2020-012	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-18	48.0	50.0	< 0.5	11	120	1100	3.7	0.41	0.84	0.14	22	43	38	5.6	5.6
GB-2020-013	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-18	58.0	60.0	< 0.5	5.1	130	370	1.1	0.10	0.80	0.060	6.8	24	15	2.5	1.7
GB-2020-014	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-18	66.0	68.0	< 0.5	11	89	1300	3.0	0.27	0.53	0.070	23	52	28	5.0	5.5
GB-2020-015	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-18	80.0	82.0	< 0.5	6.3	220	500	1.6	0.090	0.79	8.0	8.9	35	15	2.5	2.3
GB-2020-016	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-24	22.9	24.3	< 0.5	4.5	330	430	1.5	0.24	0.93	0.040	9.2	40	18	2.2	2.0
GB-2020-017	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-24	28.0	30.0	< 0.5	6.4	15	530	1.8	< 0.09	0.86	0.12	9.9	28	20	2.7	2.4
GB-2020-018	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-24	44.5	46.0	< 0.5	6.9	230	530	2.0	0.15	1.6	0.060	15	41	28	4.0	2.4
GB-2020-019	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-24	48.5	50.5	< 0.5	5.0	44	370	1.2	0.11	0.74	0.030	7.5	21	35	2.2	1.8
GB-2020-020	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-24	57.0	59.0	< 0.5	7.4	130	730	2.1	0.11	1.0	0.060	13	36	18	3.4	3.2
GB-2020-021	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-24	66.0	67.4	< 0.5	5.7	15	430	1.4	< 0.09	0.67	0.040	7.9	24	8.6	2.4	1.9
GB-2020-022	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-24	76.0	78.0	< 0.5	6.9	1000	590	2.5	0.15	1.3	0.12	14	38	48	4.2	2.9
GB-2020-023	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-24	89.5	91.5	< 0.5	6.2	380	440	1.6	0.090	0.90	0.030	9.7	31	11	2.8	2.0
GB-2020-024	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-24	116.7	118.5	< 0.5	7.0	25	540	1.9	0.18	0.89	0.030	12	36	17	3.5	2.6
GB-2020-025	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-24	120.4	122.4	< 0.5	7.4	140	620	2.1	0.27	0.91	0.070	14	58	17	3.5	3.0
GB-2020-026	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-24	129.0	131.0	< 0.5	6.5	39	560	1.9	0.71	0.88	0.080	12	36	23	3.0	2.5
GB-2020-027	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-24	138.4	140.4	< 0.5	7.1	130	610	2.2	0.35	0.81	0.060	12	40	32	3.7	2.8
GB-2020-028	argillite	ore	Phase I	BR-18-24	148.1	149.0	< 0.5	8.2	590	790	2.9	0.31	1.1	0.17	18	46	47	4.0	3.4
GB-2020-029	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-24	158.2	160.0	< 0.5	6.5	490	520	1.7	0.090	0.82	0.060	12	32	24	2.9	2.3
GB-2020-030	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-24	172.3	174.0	< 0.5	6.1	160	440	1.6	< 0.09	1.0	0.060	8.8	30	17	2.7	1.9
GB-2020-031	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-28	12.7	14.5	< 0.5	4.2	89	260	1.0	0.16	0.66	0.040	5.1	22	11	1.8	1.2
GB-2020-032	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-28	28.0	30.0	< 0.5	7.7	79	610	2.3	0.13	1.0	0.090	17	46	35	4.5	2.8
GB-2020-033	argillite	ore	Phase I	BR-18-28	38.2	38.7	< 0.5	8.4	1600	800	2.8	0.68	0.93	0.070	16	53	52	4.6	3.6
GB-2020-034	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-28	49.0	51.5	< 0.5	6.8	36	610	1.8	< 0.09	0.65	0.090	11	43	19	3.4	2.5
GB-2020-035	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-29	17.0	19.0	< 0.5	4.9	2600	510	1.4	0.39	1.0	0.21	8.9	34	20	2.5	2.2
GB-2020-036	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-29	29.0	31.0	< 0.5	8.2	230	860	3.0	0.14	0.74	0.10	17	69	22	4.7	4.2
GB-2020-037	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-29	41.7	43.5	< 0.5	7.9	2700	710	2.4	0.11	0.78	0.11	14	43	13	3.6	3.1
GB-2020-038	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-29	48.0	49.8	< 0.5	5.2	18	310	1.2	0.14	0.65	< 0.02	6.7	24	13	2.1	1.4
GB-2020-039	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-29	58.0	59.8	<0.5	5.2	390	310	1.2	0.35	0.78	0.090	6.7	27	12	2.2	1.3
GB-2020-040	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-29	69.9	71.4	<0.5	6.0	2000	450	1.4	0.12	0.82	0.020	8.9	38	13	2.5	1.9
GB-2020-041	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-31	16.0	18.6	<0.5	5.9	23	460	1.3	<0.09	0.87	<0.02	8.7	40	9.4	2.3	1.7
GB-2020-042	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-31	20.5	21.5	<0.5	8.4	45	810	2.4	0.14	0.72	0.040	16	33	34	3.9	3.2
GB-2020-043	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-31	36.0	38.0	<0.5	7.9	/1	670	2.1	0.62	1.2	0.080	15	32	42	3.9	2.7
GB-2020-044	argillite	ore	Phase I	BR-18-31	51.6	54.5	0.50	9.6	3100	930	3.4	0.18	0.95	2.0	22	41	5/	4.4	3.8
GB-2020-045	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-31	58.9	60.9	<0.5	6.0	41	390	1.4	<0.09	0.94	<0.02	9.1	21	9.6	2.5	1.6
GB-2020-046	greywacke marker unit	waste	Phase I	BK-18-35	1/.0	19.0	<0.5	5.4	1100	410	1.3	<0.09	0.74	0.15	/.0	<u> </u>	28	2.1	1.5
GB-2020-047		waste	Phase I	BK-18-35	24.0	25.9	<0.5	0.041	5./	<u> </u>	< 0.02	<0.09	0.029	< 0.02	0.11	5.1	2.1	0.24	0.018
GB-2020-048	greywacke marker unit	waste	Phase I	DK-18-33	28.0	30.0	<0.5	5.0	1200	400	1.4	<0.09	0.59	0.13	0.4	30	21	2.0	1.5
GB-2020-049	greywacke	waste	Phase I	DK-18-33	45.1	4/.1	<0.5	5.4	100	390	1.4	<0.09	0.58	< 0.02	1.1	29	14	2.1	1./
GP 2020-051	arginite + quariz veni	ore	Pilase I	DK-18-38	49.0	J1.1 99.0	<0.5	/.1	4200	220	3.1	0.22	1.1	0.050	10	0/	18	5.5 1.5	2.9
GD-2020-051	qualiz velli	waste	Phase I	DK-18-38	0.06	00.U	<0.5	4.2	700	330	1.1	<0.09	0.39	< 0.02	4.0	0 1	0.3	1.5	0.54
GD-2020-052	grouweeke Lewertz vein	waste	Phase I	DK-18-38	90.0	91.0	<0.5	1.9	1600	120	0.54	<0.09	0.42	< 0.02	2.0	0.1	2.0 17	0.87	0.34
GP 2020-054	greywacke + quartz veni	waste	Phase I	DK-18-38	130.0	132.0	<0.5	J.ð	1000	430	1.9	<0.09	0.00	0.080	ð.4	20	1/	2.4	2.1
OD-2020-034	gitywackt	waste	rnase I	DK-10-30	143.3	143.3	<0.5	1.9	90	030	۷.3	<0.09	0.93	<0.02	15	55	12	5.7	5.0

Sample ID	Rock Type	Ore/Waste	Phase	Drillhole	Inte	erval	Ag	Al	As	Ba	Be	Bi	Ca	Cd	Со	Cr	Cu	Fe	K
Units		-	_	-	m	m	μg/g	%	µg/g	µg/g	μg/g	ug/g	%	μg/g	μg/g	ug/g	μg/g	%	%
GB-2020-055	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-54	14.0	15.9	<0.5	5.2	74	340	1.1	<0.09	0.39	< 0.02	6.4	21	13	2.1	1.3
GB-2020-056	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-54	20.0	22.0	< 0.5	5.9	12	430	1.5	< 0.09	0.66	< 0.02	8.1	22	11	2.2	1.6
GB-2020-057	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-54	29.0	31.0	< 0.5	5.3	230	350	1.2	< 0.09	0.78	< 0.02	6.7	25	16	2.0	1.4
GB-2020-058	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-54	45.0	47.0	< 0.5	8.5	180	890	2.6	0.17	0.64	< 0.02	22	55	50	4.3	3.3
GB-2020-059	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-54	65.0	67.0	< 0.5	7.6	37	580	2.3	0.10	1.4	< 0.02	16	67	38	3.9	2.6
GB-2020-060	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-54	85.5	87.5	< 0.5	8.7	57	790	3.0	0.19	0.99	0.030	18	43	43	4.2	3.0
GB-2020-061	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-54	100.3	102.3	< 0.5	6.4	60	500	1.7	< 0.09	0.83	< 0.02	11	29	14	2.8	2.0
GB-2020-062	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-54	124.0	126.0	< 0.5	6.6	26	450	1.7	< 0.09	1.0	0.030	12	32	13	3.0	1.8
GB-2020-063	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-54	140.0	142.0	< 0.5	6.2	28	520	1.6	< 0.09	0.90	0.040	10	29	20	2.5	2.0
GB-2020-064	greywacke + quartz vein	waste	Phase I	BR-18-61	6.9	9.0	1.1	2.8	2200	150	0.77	4.2	0.37	0.28	6.6	18	3.2	1.2	0.71
GB-2020-065	argillite + quartz vein	ore	Phase I	BR-18-61	16.4	17.5	0.70	8.0	5700	750	3.4	0.45	0.64	0.34	14	49	40	3.3	3.5
GB-2020-066	greywacke + quartz vein	waste	Phase I	BR-18-61	30.0	31.5	< 0.5	5.9	4500	440	1.7	< 0.09	0.70	0.24	7.7	31	7.4	2.3	2.1
GB-2020-067	quartz vein	waste	Phase I	BR-18-61	40.0	41.5	< 0.5	5.1	3200	380	2.0	< 0.09	0.57	0.30	6.1	28	3.9	1.4	1.6
GB-2020-068	argillite	ore	Phase I	BR-18-61	54.0	56.0	<0.5	9.6	13000	1100	3.5	0.47	0.64	0.24	20	96	34	4.8	4.5
GB-2020-069	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-61	58.0	59.0	<0.5	8.5	1000	800	3.3	0.81	0.73	0.060	20	49	68	4.5	4.0
GB-2020-070	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-61	68.0	69.0	<0.5	8.6	240	790	3.0	<0.09	0.77	0.040	18	51	27	4.1	3.8
GB-2020-071	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-61	78.5	80.5	<0.5	9.0	120	700	2.9	<0.09	1.2	0.060	19	56	16	4.4	3.3
GB-2020-072	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-62	20.5	22.3	<0.5	9.8	1100	1100	3.4	1.9	0.49	0.23	30	60	69	4.8	4.6
GB-2020-073	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-62	32.0	34.0	<0.5	8.9	190	770	3.0	0.24	0.82	0.090	20	48	26	4.2	3.6
GB-2020-074	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-62	40.0	41.5	<0.5	6.3	900	420	2.1	<0.09	0.81	<0.02	8.0	30	19	2.4	2.3
GB-2020-075	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-62	48.0	50.2	<0.5	5.9	820	430	1.0	<0.09	0.72	0.33	8.2	29	10	2.2	2.1
GB-2020-076	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-62	54.6	50.0	<0.5	5.7	1600	420	1.5	<0.09	0.65	0.16	/.0	<u> </u>	19	2.2	1.9
GB-2020-077	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BK-19-84	9.0	25.0	< 0.5	6.0	29	440 590	1.5	0.21	0.09	< 0.02	9.8	40	10	2.1	1.7
GB-2020-078	graywacke	waste	Pliase I Dhasa I	DK-19-04	25.0 19.7	23.0	< 0.5	5.1	50	360	1.9	<0.09	0.89	< 0.02	15	47	14	2.1	2.5
GB 2020-079	argilite	waste	Phase I	BR 10 05	54.0	20.7 56.0	<0.5	7.8	110	750	2.4	0.13	0.31	<0.02	13	27	23	2.1	2.0
GB-2020-080	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-20-117	16.0	18.0	<0.5	6.0	240	470	1.5	<0.09	0.94	0.24	10	17	48	2.8	2.9
GB-2020-081	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-20-117	67.8	69.8	<0.5	7.6	140	720	2.4	<0.09	0.40	0.040	16	27	25	4.0	3.2
GB-2020-082	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-20-117	145.0	147.0	<0.5	5.8	2300	500	1.6	0.16	0.32	0.070	86	27	11	2.6	2.4
GB-2020-084	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-20-127	145.0	14 0	<0.5	7.0	2500	540	2.0	<0.09	1.0	0.050	13	22	13	3.6	2.4
GB-2020-085	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-20-127	25.0	27.0	<0.5	6.3	17	480	1.6	<0.09	0.89	0.050	9.6	24	16	2.7	2.0
GB-2020-086	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-20-127	39.0	41.0	<0.5	5.0	120	320	1.4	<0.09	0.94	0.050	8.5	24	22	2.5	1.5
GB-2020-087	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-20-127	45.0	47.0	<0.5	5.0	41	310	1.1	<0.09	0.71	0.090	7.6	34	14	2.1	1.3
GB-2020-088	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-20-127	53.3	55.2	< 0.5	6.4	1000	620	2.0	0.15	1.2	0.14	9.7	28	42	2.9	2.5
GB-2020-089	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-20-145	14.0	16.0	< 0.5	7.6	33	610	2.2	0.13	0.96	0.080	14	30	15	3.8	2.7
GB-2020-090	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-20-145	35.0	37.0	< 0.5	7.1	42	610	1.9	0.14	0.85	0.050	13	23	24	3.3	2.6
GB-2020-091	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-20-145	53.0	55.0	< 0.5	6.2	27	500	1.6	0.10	0.79	0.030	11	20	12	3.0	2.2
GB-2020-092	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-20-160	10.0	12.0	< 0.5	4.6	48	260	0.94	< 0.09	0.80	0.050	6.4	14	9.3	1.9	1.2
GB-2020-093	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-20-160	27.0	29.0	< 0.5	5.4	440	330	1.2	< 0.09	0.80	0.070	7.9	21	10	2.3	1.4
GB-2020-094	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-20-174	10.5	12.5	< 0.5	7.9	210	720	2.6	< 0.09	0.75	0.16	16	37	8.7	3.6	3.0
GB-2020-095	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-20-174	27.0	28.5	< 0.5	5.4	34	440	1.4	< 0.09	0.60	0.64	8.9	25	18	2.3	1.9
GB-2020-096	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-20-174	69.0	70.5	< 0.5	6.5	23	480	1.6	< 0.09	0.99	0.020	11	39	19	3.0	2.0
GB-2020-097	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-20-174	89.0	91.0	< 0.5	8.7	59	820	2.5	0.14	0.72	< 0.02	16	41	28	4.3	2.9
GB-2020-098	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-20-191	19.8	21.5	< 0.5	9.4	53	810	2.8	0.13	0.89	0.070	22	56	55	5.8	3.2
GB-2020-099	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-20-191	36.1	38.1	< 0.5	5.3	95	360	1.1	0.12	0.99	0.15	8.1	41	15	2.4	1.7
GB-2020-100	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-20-199	26.9	28.9	< 0.5	6.7	270	600	2.0	0.24	0.68	0.060	12	44	19	3.0	2.7
GB-2020-101	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-20-199	20.4	22.5	< 0.5	5.5	39	380	1.2	0.10	0.59	0.060	7.9	36	12	2.3	1.7
GB-2020-102	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-20-179	48.5	50.4	< 0.5	5.9	130	420	1.4	< 0.09	0.76	0.030	9.2	43	4.9	2.6	1.8
GB-2020-103	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-20-179	89.6	91.6	<0.5	6.4	26	540	1.6	0.10	0.77	< 0.02	9.3	44	12	2.7	2.2
GB-2020-104	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-20-179	12.6	14.8	<0.5	9.7	1700	990	2.8	< 0.09	0.39	0.11	18	62	45	4.9	3.7
GB-2020-105	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-20-179	83.5	85.5	<0.5	7.9	160	740	2.4	0.24	0.77	0.11	17	64	35	4.4	2.8
GB-2020-106	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-22	28.5	29.5	<0.5	7.8	530	730	2.2	0.11	0.53	0.040	13	47	26	3.8	3.2
GB-2020-107	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-22	36.0	37.0	<0.5	5.5	150	400	1.8	0.24	0.80	0.030	7.7	49	17	2.7	1.8
GB-2020-108	argillite	ore	Phase I	BR-18-22	50.6	51.9	<0.5	9.2	3800	1000	3.4	0.32	0.64	0.060	19	60	49	4.9	3.7
GB-2020-109	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-22	52.4	54.4	< 0.5	5.5	320	500	1.8	0.11	0.68	< 0.02	13	51	16	2.8	2.3

Sample ID	Rock Type	Ore/Waste	Phase	Drillhole	Int	erval	Ag	Al	As	Ba	Be	Bi	Ca	Cd	Со	Cr	Cu	Fe	K
Units	-	-	-	-	m	m	µg/g	%	µg/g	μg/g	μg/g	µg/g	%	μg/g	μg/g	μg/g	μg/g	%	%
GB-2020-110	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-22	75.5	77.5	<0.5	5.7	89	490	1.6	0.12	0.72	0.070	9.3	34	22	2.5	2.3
GB-2020-111	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-22	94.0	95.5	< 0.5	7.9	50	740	2.2	< 0.09	1.0	0.020	16	50	16	3.9	3.0
GB-2020-112	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-71	15.4	17.5	< 0.5	6.4	48	520	1.6	0.13	0.40	0.050	11	41	24	3.0	2.2
GB-2020-113	argillite	waste	Phase I	BR-18-71	33.9	36.0	< 0.5	8.0	86	700	2.3	0.27	0.80	0.17	16	53	39	4.3	2.8
GB-2020-114	greywacke	waste	Phase I	BR-18-71	48.0	50.0	< 0.5	5.4	17	370	1.2	< 0.09	0.83	0.050	7.7	53	18	2.2	1.5
GB-2020-115	argillite + quartz vein	ore	Phase I	BR-18-71	62.3	64.3	< 0.5	4.7	800	480	1.7	0.25	0.37	0.070	7.6	95	31	2.3	2.0
GB-LX2021-01	greywacke	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-17-08	11.4	13.4	< 0.5	10	350	600	2	0.09	1.5	0.08	14	160	21	3.6	2.1
GB-LX2021-02	argillite	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-18-33	55.0	57.0	< 0.5	15	2500	880	3	0.14	1.6	0.07	17	200	21	4.6	1.8
GB-LX2021-03	argillite	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-18-33	86.0	88.0	< 0.5	13	610	820	3	0.26	1.4	0.07	15	240	35	4.2	1.7
GB-LX2021-04	argillite	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-18-41	23.0	25.0	< 0.5	9.4	63	790	3	0.43	1.1	0.08	17	200	39	4.4	1.6
GB-LX2021-05	argillite + quartz veining	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-18-41	106.6	108.6	< 0.5	14	160	750	3	< 0.09	1.9	0.09	15	190	13	4.2	1.4
GB-LX2021-06	argillite	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-18-43	40.5	42.5	< 0.5	12	46	830	2	< 0.09	1.5	0.04	16	120	16	4.3	1.4
GB-LX2021-07	argillite	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-18-43	160.2	162.3	< 0.5	12	140	950	3	0.45	0.95	0.11	20	69	45	5.4	1.5
GB-LX2021-08	greywacke	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-18-46	172.0	174.0	< 0.5	9.8	380	750	2	0.21	1	0.17	15	97	33	4.2	1.1
GB-LX2021-09	argillite	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-18-67	30.0	32.0	< 0.5	10	260	820	3	0.31	0.98	0.1	20	83	42	4.9	1.7
GB-LX2021-10	greywacke	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-18-67	44.9	46.4	< 0.5	11	400	590	2	0.18	1.6	0.21	14	130	20	3.9	1.3
GB-LX2021-11	greywacke	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-18-67	84.5	86.5	<0.5	11	80	750	3	0.09	1.2	0.11	15	78	31	4.1	1.2
GB-LX2021-12	argillite	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-18-67	153.7	155.6	<0.5	12	78	830	3	0.16	1.3	0.09	16	180	31	4.1	1.3
GB-LX2021-13	argillite	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-19-80	30.0	32.0	<0.5	6.8	52	570	2	0.72	1.2	0.1	14	230	31	3.5	1.5
GB-LX2021-14	greywacke	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-19-80	42.0	44.0	<0.5	6.3	28	360	1	0.1	0.94	0.06	8	100	14	2.6	1.3
GB-LX2021-15	argillite	waste	Phase lb - pulp	BR-19-96	70.3	72.3	<0.5	8.2	270	750	2	0.19	0.67	0.15	15	120	24	3.5	1.3
GB-LX2021-16	argillite	waste	Phase lb - pulp	BR-19-98	61.0	63.0	<0.5	10	49	800	3	0.49	1.1	0.08	17	110	41	4.4	1.3
GB-LX2021-17	greywacke	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-19-101	75.9	77.8	<0.5	5.5	16	330	1	<0.09	0.84	0.05	10	110	14	2.1	0.81
GB-LX2021-18		waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-20-138	94.8	96.8	<0.5	/./	160	790	2	<0.09	1.4	0.06	12	94	10	3.5	0.80
GB-LA2021-19	arguinte	waste	Phase ID - pulp	BR-20-139	13.3	15.5	<0.5	6.2	55 62	/80		0.17	1.1	0.05	0	110	20	4.1	1.1
GB I X2021-20	growwacke	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-20-203	20.1	06.5	<0.5	0.5	210	500	1	< 0.09	0.85	0.04	0	230	20	2.3	1.3
GB I X2021-21	grouwacke	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-20-203	68 0	70.0	<0.5	7.3	540	400	2	0.14	0.9	0.00	12	250	14	2.0	1.5
GB-LX2021-22	argillite	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-20-195	87.9	89.9	<0.5	5.8	1700	580	1	0.13	0.29	0.03	11	180	24	3.5	1.0
GB-LX2021-24	greywacke	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-21-225	40.0	42.0	<0.5	6.5	1700	370	1	<0.09	0.29	0.02	9	91	11	2.6	1.4
GB-LX2021-25	greywacke	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-21-226	58.0	60.0	<0.5	6.2	17	390	1	0.22	0.98	0.02	8	87	12	2.4	1.1
GB-LX2021-26	argillite	waste	Phase Ib - pulp	BR-21-226	95.0	97.0	<0.5	9.1	44	860	3	0.17	1	0.09	18	93	47	4.4	1.6
GB-LX2021-27	grevwacke marker unit	waste	Phase Ib - core	BR-18-40	30.0	32.0	<0.5	4.8	760	320	1.2	0.12	0.73	0.03	6	18	9.9	1.9	1.4
GB-LX2021-28	greywacke	waste	Phase Ib - core	BR-18-67	120.0	122.0	< 0.5	7	38	530	2	0.17	1.2	0.05	13	26	16	3.6	2.3
GB-LX2021-29	greywacke	waste	Phase Ib - core	BR-19-87	33.8	35.8	< 0.5	5.4	25	380	1.2	0.1	0.69	0.04	7	16	11	2.2	1.7
GB-LX2021-30	greywacke	waste	Phase Ib - core	BR-19-87	95.0	97.0	< 0.5	5.8	76	400	1.6	< 0.09	1.2	0.05	9	20	13	2.8	2
GB-LX2021-31	greywacke	waste	Phase Ib - core	BR-19-101	10.0	12.0	< 0.5	5.6	21	400	1.0	< 0.09	0.68	0.060	8	23	15	2.6	1.7
GB-LX2021-32	argillite	waste	Phase Ib - core	BR-20-113	20.0	22.0	< 0.5	7.3	33	700	2.0	0.11	0.48	0.050	11	29	26	3.4	2.6
GB-LX2021-33	greywacke	waste	Phase Ib - core	BR-20-113	77.0	79.0	< 0.5	5.8	16	410	1.0	< 0.09	0.99	0.030	9	31	12	2.6	1.8
GB-LX2021-34	argillite	waste	Phase Ib - core	BR-20-116	28.0	30.0	< 0.5	9.1	110	950	3.0	0.44	0.55	0.070	25	69	38	4.7	2.3
GB-LX2021-35	greywacke	waste	Phase Ib - core	BR-20-116	45.0	47.0	< 0.5	6.1	38	440	1.0	0.16	0.83	0.150	10	22	15	2.8	2.1
GB-NM2021-01	greywacke	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-17-01	50.3	55.0	< 0.5	4.8	6000	360	1.6	1.0	0.88	0.35	8.1	210	14	2.4	1.7
GB-NM2021-03	quartz vein	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-18-61	34.1	39.0	< 0.5	5.1	5100	380	1.7	0.17	0.70	0.17	7.0	120	5.2	2.1	2.1
GB-NM2021-04	argillite	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-18-61	55.5	61.0	< 0.5	8.9	3900	830	3.3	0.92	0.86	0.25	18	79	50	4.7	4.2
GB-NM2021-05	Approx. 30% greywacke, 70% quartz vein	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-18-61	126.0	131.0	< 0.5	6.6	3800	590	1.9	0.92	0.71	0.24	13	180	34	3.5	2.9
GB-NM2021-06	Approx. 20% quartz vein, 80% greywacke	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-18-61	144.5	149.5	< 0.5	6.0	970	410	1.6	< 0.09	0.68	0.10	8.1	130	18	2.6	2.0
GB-NM2021-07	greywacke	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-19-86	148.5	153.5	< 0.5	5.9	1200	400	1.4	0.19	0.88	0.050	8.8	150	22	2.7	1.8
GB-NM2021-08	Approx. 60% greywacke, 40% argillite + quartz vein	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-19-97	118.6	123.8	<0.5	9.3	850	690	2.4	0.42	1.3	0.16	14	100	25	4.1	2.6
GB-INM2021-09	Approx. 40% greywacke, 60% quartz vein	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR 20 127	25.2	30.2	<0.5	3.9 7.6	2100	640	1.2	0.48	0.45	0.4/	1.5	150	11 26	2.5	1.5
GB-NM2021-10	Approx. 00% argillite + quartz veining 60% quartz vein	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-20-12/ BR-20-131	07.0 75 Q	92.3 81.0	<0.5	23	7000	170	0.89	0.22	0.85	3.2	14 <u>1</u> 8	170	20 5 0	3.9 1.6	0.95
GB-NM2021-12	Approx. 70% argillte, 30% grevwacke	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-20-132	35.9	40.9	<0.5	7.7	700	600	2.2	0.16	0.89	0.53	14	91	21	3.6	2.9
GB-NM2021-13	greywacke + quartz vein	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-20-132	84.5	89.4	< 0.5	4.5	15000	330	1.4	0.21	0.94	0.090	6.6	170	3.6	2.8	1.8
GB-NM2021-14	Approx. 20% argillite + quartz veining, 80% greywacke + quartz veining	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-20-134	14.0	19.0	< 0.5	5.2	2800	350	1.6	0.12	0.60	0.24	7.2	160	9.9	2.2	1.5
GB-NM2021-15	Approx. 90% greywacke + quartz veining, 10% argillite	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-20-134	53.0	58.0	< 0.5	5.1	8800	450	2.00	0.57	0.79	0.23	10.0	280	14.0	2.8	1.7
GB-NM2021-16	Approx. 40% quartz vein, 60% greywacke	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-20-134	110.3	115.3	< 0.5	4.8	20000	360	1.00	0.66	0.79	0.11	9.0	330	9.1	3.4	1.7

Sample ID	Rock Type	Ore/Waste	Phase	Drillhole	Inte	erval	Ag	Al	As	Ba	Be	Bi	Ca	Cd	Со	Cr	Cu	Fe	К
Units		-	-	-	m	m	μg/g	%	μg/g	µg/g	μg/g	μg/g	%	μg/g	μg/g	μg/g	μg/g	%	%
GB-NM2021-17	Approx. 80% argillite, 20% greywacke	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-20-144	107.6	112.9	< 0.5	9	1200	660	2.4	0.24	1	0.16	14	100	30	4	2
GB-NM2021-18	argillite	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-20-145	100.0	104.8	< 0.5	9	560	830	2.8	0.23	1	0.10	17	100	50	5	2
GB-NM2021-19	Approx. 20% greywacke, 80% argillite	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-20-152	77.0	82.0	< 0.5	8	370	690	2.4	0.50	1	0.080	16	110	32	4	2
GB-NM2021-20	Approx. 80% argillite + quartz veining, 20% greywacke	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-20-156	62.6	68.2	< 0.5	7	900	560	2.3	0.24	1	0.13	14	150	23	4	2
GB-NM2021-21	Approx. 40% greywacke, 60% argillite + quartz veining	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-20-165	11.6	16.3	< 0.5	10	3400	770	2.8	0.65	1	0.31	16	120	44	5	3
GB-NM2021-22	greywacke	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-20-165	26.0	31.0	< 0.5	6	6600	400	1.9	0.27	1	0.44	9.6	120	19	3	2
GB-NM2021-23	Approx. 15% argillite + quartz veining, 40% greywacke, 45% argillite	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-20-172	52.3	57.3	< 0.5	11	1100	860	3.3	0.23	1	1.8	17	110	36	5	4
GB-NM2021-24	Approx. 50% argillite + quartz veining, 50% greywacke + quartz veining	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-20-176	36.0	41.0	< 0.5	7	770	550	1.8	0.11	1	0.11	11	120	20	3	3
GB-NM2021-25	Approx. 20% argillite + quartz veining, 40% greywacke, 30% quartz vein	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-20-178	74.0	81.7	< 0.5	7	3000	560	2.1	0.31	1	0.65	12	150	22	4	2
GB-NM2021-26	Approx. 75% argillite + quartz veining, 25% greywacke	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-20-219	16.0	21.0	< 0.5	10	2600	720	2.8	0.17	1	0.10	16	130	18	5	3
GB-NM2021-27	Approx. 80% argillite + quartz veining, 20% greywacke	waste	Phase II - pulp	BR-20-219	60.5	65.5	<0.5	7	1700	640	2.3	0.20	1	0.25	14	200	27	3	2
GB-NM2021-28	Approx. 90% greywacke, 10% argillite	waste	Phase II - core	BR-18-17	23.0	28.0	<0.5	2	160	420	1.0	<0.09	1	0.090	10	54	16	3	2
GB-NM2021-29	greywacke marker unit	waste	Phase II - core	BR-18-20	185.0	190.0	< 0.5	5	60	350	1.0	0.11	1	0.030	7.0	47	9.6	2	1
GB-NM2021-30	greywacke marker unit	waste	Phase II - core	BR-18-22	183.0	188.0	< 0.5	4	120	350	1.0	< 0.09	1	0.040	6.0	37	9.8	2	1
GB-NM2021-31	greywacke marker unit	waste	Phase II - core	BR-18-36	27.7	32.7	< 0.5	1	66	400	1.0	< 0.09	1	< 0.02	8.0	41	12	2	2
GB-NM2021-32	greywacke marker unit	waste	Phase II - core	BR-18-40	13.0	18.0	< 0.5	5	34	370	1.0	0.11	1	0.030	7.0	44	13	2	1
GB-NM2021-33	greywacke	waste	Phase II - core	BR-19-98	24.5	29.5	< 0.5	5	140	370	1.0	0.18	1	0.050	8.0	44	9.2	2	2
GB-NM2021-34	greywacke	waste	Phase II - core	BR-20-183	51.0	56.0	< 0.5	5	140	330	1.0	< 0.09	1	0.040	6.0	38	16	2	2
389995	Mixed - bulk sample stockpile	ore	Bulk Sample Stockpile	n/a	n/a	n/a	< 0.5	3.4	13000	330	1.00	0.65	0.47	0.37	10.0	95	14.0	2.8	1.7
389996	Mixed - bulk sample stockpile	ore	Bulk Sample Stockpile	n/a	n/a	n/a	< 0.5	5.0	8400	510	2.00	0.48	0.6	0.17	11.0	100	13.0	2.3	2.4
389997	Mixed - bulk sample stockpile	ore	Bulk Sample Stockpile	n/a	n/a	n/a	< 0.5	6.5	5800	640	2.00	0.42	0.51	0.26	10.0	90	21.0	3	2.9
389998	Mixed - bulk sample stockpile	ore	Bulk Sample Stockpile	n/a	n/a	n/a	< 0.5	5.4	8600	520	2.00	0.69	0.76	0.28	10.0	110	19.0	2.7	2.6
389999	Mixed - bulk sample stockpile	ore	Bulk Sample Stockpile	n/a	n/a	n/a	< 0.5	5.7	12000	590	2.00	0.85	0.74	0.32	11.0	150	22.0	2.9	2.7
GB_HC1	argillite	waste	Humidity Cell	n/a	n/a	n/a	< 0.5	9.8	220	730	2.00	0.10	0.930	0.07	16.0	190	17.0	4.30	3.90
GB_HC2	argillite	waste	Humidity Cell	n/a	n/a	n/a	< 0.5	12.0	540	840	3.00	0.33	0.980	0.14	15.0	170	39.0	4.90	4.10
GB_HC3	greywacke	waste	Humidity Cell	n/a	n/a	n/a	< 0.5	9.9	68	570	2.00	< 0.09	1.400	0.20	11.0	180	17.0	3.70	2.90
GB_HC4	greywacke	waste	Humidity Cell	n/a	n/a	n/a	< 0.5	7.0	1000	420	1.00	0.17	0.520	0.07	10.0	300	17.0	3.70	2.20
GB_HC5	50% argillite, 50% greywacke	waste	Humidity Cell	n/a	n/a	n/a	< 0.5	9.9	360	650	2.00	0.20	1.10	0.16	15	78	20	4.1	3.3
GB_HC6	greywacke marker unit	waste	Humidity Cell	n/a	n/a	n/a	< 0.5	6.8	1900	420	1.00	0.11	1.00	0.13	8.0	56	19	2.6	1.80
FB-1	Mixed - bulk sample stockpile	ore	Field Bin	n/a	n/a	n/a	< 0.5	7.3	6400	630	2.00	0.40	0.8	0.11	11.0	28	20.0	3.2	3
FB-2	PAG1, mixed lithology	waste	Field Bin	n/a	n/a	n/a	<0.5	6.8	80	520	2.00	0.13	0.79	0.06	10.0	98	15.0	2.7	2.1
FB-3	PAG2, greywacke	waste	Field Bin	n/a	n/a	n/a	< 0.5	6.0	3100	390	2.00	0.15	0.7	0.08	9.0	146	16.0	2.6	1.7
FB-4	NPAG, argillite	waste	Field Bin	n/a	n/a	n/a	< 0.5	7.9	190	620	2.00	0.22	0.76	0.08	14.0	109	22.0	3.6	2.6
Notes:																			

AUCCA - Average Upper Continental Crustal Abundance Rudnick, R.L. and S. Gao (2014). Composition of the Continental Crust. In: Holland, H. and Turekian, K. (eds). Treatise on Geochemistry 2nd Edition, Vol. 4, pp. 1-51. Oxford, UK, Elsevier Ltd.

Light grey shading indicates a value greater than 3x the AUCCA Dark grey shading indicates a value greater than 10x the AUCCA

Sample ID	Rock Type	Li	Mg	Mn	Мо	Na	Ni	Р	Pb	Sb	Se	Sn	Sr	Ti	Tl	U	V	Y	Zn
Units	-	µg∕g	%	µg∕g	μg/g	%	µg∕g	%	µg∕g	µg∕g	µg∕g	μg/g	μg/g	μg/g	µg∕g	μg/g	µg∕g	μg/g	μg/g
AUCCA (Rudnick an	d Gao, 2014)	24	1.5	774	1.1	2.43	47	0.0655	17	0.4	0.09	2.1	320	3840	0.9	2.7	97	21	67
GB-2020-001	argillite	54	1.3	720	0.40	1.4	35	0.060	22	<0.8	<0.7	<6	170	4100	0.72	1.7	78	13	81
GB-2020-002	argillite	73	1.7	740	0.40	0.90	49	0.063	150	<0.8	<0.7	<6	160	4600	1.1	2.0	100	19	160
GB-2020-003	greywacke	36	0.86	650	0.30	1.8	19	0.051	15	< 0.8	< 0.7	<6	170	2900	0.39	1.1	47	11	40
GB-2020-004	greywacke	46	1.2	740	0.30	1.6	30	0.058	24	< 0.8	<0.7	<6	170	3800	0.61	1.5	64	13	67
GB-2020-005	greywacke	43	0.95	650	0.60	1.9	28	0.059	27	<0.8	<0.7	<6	170	3400	0.50	1.4	58	12	150
GB-2020-006	argillite	55	1.2	640	0.30	1.3	37	0.056	17	<0.8	<0.7	<6	110	4300	0.84	1.7	79	14	72
GB-2020-007	argillite	74	1.8	590	0.20	1.5	48	0.066	24	1.5	<0.7	<6	97	4800	1.1	1.9	100	16	120
GB-2020-008	greywacke	31	0.76	560	0.40	1.9	18	0.059	11	<0.8	<0.7	<6	150	3100	0.38	1.3	45	9.7	41
GB-2020-009	greywacke	58	1.4	730	0.30	1.4	42	0.070	18	<0.8	<0.7	<6	180	4500	0.83	1.9	85	17	86
GB-2020-010	greywacke	39	0.91	620	0.60	1.9	22	0.057	13	<0.8	<0.7	<0	190	3200	0.44	1.2	48	12	4/
GB-2020-011		41	0.93	090	0.40	2.1	24 51	0.004	25	<0.8	<0.7	<0	200	5400	0.40	1.4	01	12	/8
GD-2020-012		00 26	0.76	950	0.20	0.75	31 16	0.082	16	<0.8	<0.7	<0	140	2700	1.5	2.5	100	23	150
GB-2020-013		70	0.70	800	0.70	1.7	51	0.000	20	<0.8	<0.7	<0	140	5400	0.38	1.4	100	20	43
GB 2020-014	grouwere	19	0.80	550	0.20	1.8	22	0.000	44	<0.8	<0.7	<0	160	3400	0.46	2.3	51	12	110
GB 2020-015		20	0.80	560	0.00	0.65	22	0.033	20	<0.8	<0.7	<0	130	2300	0.40	1.5	<u> </u>	12	190 37
GB 2020-010	arevayee	23	0.74	540	0.50	1.7	20	0.049	20	-0.8	<0.7	<0	130	3400	0.43	1.3	50	11	17
GB 2020-017		56	1.3	870	0.30	1.7	34	0.058	22	1.4	<0.7	<0	230	3900	0.52	1.5	50 60	15	47
GB-2020-019	greywacke	30	0.68	450	0.50	1.0	17	0.003	9.5	0.90	<0.7	<0	130	2400	0.07	0.99	37	7.9	10
GB-2020-019	argillite	49	1.1	640	0.00	1.0	31	0.041	12	1.0	<0.7	<6	210	3900	0.40	1.6	64	1.9	40
GB-2020-020	greywacke	36	0.80	590	0.40	1.0	19	0.050	30	<0.8	<0.7	9.1	140	3000	0.72	1.0	44	10	40
GB-2020-022	argillite	61	1.6	1400	0.50	0.89	33	0.030	40	1.6	<0.7	<6	140	3300	0.45	1.1	63	15	99
GB-2020-022	greywacke	37	0.86	550	0.50	1.0	23	0.056	9.5	1.0	<0.7	<6	180	3300	0.45	1.1	51	12	43
GB-2020-024	greywacke	52	1.2	700	0.30	1.5	30	0.050	17	<0.8	<0.7	<6	170	3600	0.13	1.1	58	13	58
GB-2020-025	argillite	50	1.2	760	0.40	1.5	32	0.060	21	<0.8	<0.7	<6	180	3900	0.69	1.5	66	12	70
GB-2020-026	grevwacke	46	1.1	800	2.3	1.3	28	0.056	61	<0.8	<0.7	<6	160	3400	0.64	1.4	56	12	81
GB-2020-027	argillite	53	1.3	750	0.40	1.2	30	0.053	27	0.90	<0.7	<6	170	3400	0.74	1.4	59	12	81
GB-2020-028	argillite	61	1.4	1000	0.30	1.2	39	0.064	28	1.9	< 0.7	<6	220	5000	0.85	1.7	74	16	88
GB-2020-029	argillite	41	0.94	590	0.30	1.5	25	0.061	10	1.1	<0.7	<6	160	3400	0.54	1.4	51	12	54
GB-2020-030	greywacke	39	0.85	600	0.30	1.9	22	0.059	13	< 0.8	< 0.7	<6	210	3300	0.44	1.3	50	10	48
GB-2020-031	greywacke	27	0.58	510	0.40	1.3	12	0.043	18	< 0.8	< 0.7	<6	130	2400	0.32	0.98	32	8.3	35
GB-2020-032	argillite	70	1.5	910	0.30	1.5	38	0.063	27	< 0.8	< 0.7	<6	200	3900	0.79	1.7	68	15	110
GB-2020-033	argillite	78	1.6	990	0.60	1.1	34	0.066	32	2.2	<0.7	<6	170	4000	0.90	1.8	75	19	88
GB-2020-034	greywacke	54	1.2	700	0.30	1.5	28	0.059	11	< 0.8	< 0.7	<6	130	3800	0.62	1.7	57	12	100
GB-2020-035	argillite	36	0.87	960	0.40	0.43	21	0.085	18	6.5	<0.7	<6	98	2800	0.49	1.2	44	15	69
GB-2020-036	argillite	67	1.6	800	0.30	0.76	43	0.066	19	< 0.8	< 0.7	<6	160	4400	1.2	2.0	76	18	110
GB-2020-037	argillite	48	1.2	720	0.40	1.5	35	0.067	23	3.5	<0.7	<6	170	3700	0.66	1.7	78	15	76
GB-2020-038	greywacke	30	0.63	470	0.40	1.9	16	0.053	12	< 0.8	<0.7	<6	160	2500	0.25	1.2	46	10	35
GB-2020-039	greywacke	32	0.80	920	0.50	2.0	15	0.047	97	<0.8	<0.7	<6	150	2600	0.28	1.2	49	13	76
GB-2020-040	greywacke	37	0.80	640	0.40	1.7	21	0.071	13	2.4	<0.7	<6	180	2900	0.42	1.3	54	14	43
GB-2020-041	greywacke	37	0.78	630	0.40	1.8	20	0.051	5.6	<0.8	<0.7	<6	170	2500	0.36	1.1	48	10	39
GB-2020-042	argillite	56	1.3	740	0.30	1.5	37	0.063	20	< 0.8	<0.7	<6	150	3900	0.72	1.7	75	14	66
GB-2020-043	greywacke	54	1.4	960	0.40	1.6	38	0.067	40	0.90	<0.7	<6	220	3600	0.59	1.6	74	17	110
GB-2020-044	argillite	64	1.5	900	0.30	1.2	41	0.054	170	2.6	<0.7	<6	160	4300	0.94	2.0	89	16	860
GB-2020-045	greywacke	38	0.80	600	0.60	2.0	21	0.058	5.7	<0.8	<0.7	<6	180	2800	0.34	1.2	50	11	36
GB-2020-046	greywacke marker unit	27	0.61	410	0.50	2.1	17	0.053	10	<0.8	<0.7	<6	130	2600	0.31	1.2	46	9.7	41
GB-2020-047	quartz vein	2.2	0.0038	46	0.70	0.012	0.60	0.0015	< 0.05	<0.8	<0.7	<6	1.0	17	< 0.02	< 0.002	<1	0.16	0.70
GB-2020-048	greywacke marker unit	25	0.63	670	0.50	2.2	15	0.055	13	<0.8	<0.7	<6	120	2400	0.32	1.2	46	11	40
GB-2020-049	greywacke	30	0.70	530	0.50	1.7	17	0.050	8.1	<0.8	<0.7	<6	120	2400	0.39	1.2	44	9.6	38
GB-2020-050	argillite + quartz vein	47	1.1	660	0.60	1.3	34	0.054	29	2.7	<0.7	<6	270	3300	0.70	1.6	68	14	56
GB-2020-051	quartz vein	19	0.44	330	0.50	1.4	11 5 (0.046	14	3./	<0.7	<0	100	1800	0.28	0.86	52	8.0	25
GB-2020-052	greywacke marker unit	11	0.24	200	0.90	0.09	5.0	0.018	4./	<0.8	<0.7	<0	48	880	0.080	0.42	10	5./	14
GB-2020-054	greywacke + quartz vem	40	0.85	020	0.40	1.5	21	0.042	14	1.8	<0.7	<0	130	2500	0.49	1.5	4/	12	/0
UD-2020-034	greywacke	51	1.2	/40	0.20	1.4	30	0.000	12	<0.8	<0.7	<0	180	3300	0.70	1./	00	10	00

Sample ID	Rock Type	Li	Mg	Mn	Мо	Na	Ni	Р	Pb	Sb	Se	Sn	Sr	Ti	Tl	U	V	Y	Zn
Units	-	μg/g	%	µg/g	µg/g	%	µg∕g	%	µg/g	μg/g	µg/g	µg∕g	µg/g	µg/g	µg∕g	µg/g	µg/g	μg/g	µg/g
GB-2020-055	greywacke	30	0.55	420	0.30	1.9	15	0.052	11	< 0.8	< 0.7	<6	130	3000	0.21	1.4	49	9.3	43
GB-2020-056	greywacke	33	0.71	540	0.60	1.8	19	0.052	12	< 0.8	< 0.7	<6	150	2600	0.30	1.2	45	7.4	41
GB-2020-057	greywacke	32	0.56	470	0.30	1.9	17	0.048	11	2.1	< 0.7	<6	160	2400	0.29	1.2	40	7.8	38
GB-2020-058	argillite	67	1.5	800	0.30	0.76	45	0.060	17	0.80	< 0.7	<6	120	3700	0.77	1.8	80	10	86
GB-2020-059	argillite	57	1.3	960	0.30	1.5	37	0.062	23	< 0.8	< 0.7	<6	230	3300	0.64	1.6	72	11	76
GB-2020-060	argillite	63	1.4	850	0.30	1.2	42	0.069	40	< 0.8	< 0.7	<6	190	3900	0.70	1.9	80	12	91
GB-2020-061	greywacke	48	0.93	780	0.30	1.8	23	0.059	20	< 0.8	< 0.7	<6	180	3000	0.45	1.5	53	15	51
GB-2020-062	greywacke	45	0.96	730	0.40	1.9	25	0.061	18	< 0.8	< 0.7	<6	180	3000	0.41	1.5	56	10	55
GB-2020-063	greywacke	39	0.87	710	0.40	1.4	24	0.053	13	< 0.8	< 0.7	<6	170	2600	0.43	1.2	49	11	50
GB-2020-064	greywacke + quartz vein	16	0.38	230	0.40	1.1	13	0.035	130	2.1	< 0.7	<6	84	1200	0.16	0.60	21	5.7	30
GB-2020-065	argillite + quartz vein	60	1.3	660	0.30	0.87	35	0.043	32	3.9	< 0.7	<6	160	3200	0.85	1.7	72	18	88
GB-2020-066	greywacke + quartz vein	35	0.72	590	0.50	1.5	18	0.052	10	7.8	< 0.7	<6	150	2400	0.42	1.2	47	12	37
GB-2020-067	quartz vein	25	0.48	620	0.70	1.3	13	0.028	19	4.8	< 0.7	<6	150	1700	0.26	0.91	39	10	21
GB-2020-068	argillite	79	1.7	940	0.60	0.74	50	0.046	23	9.8	< 0.7	<6	140	4200	1.1	2.2	94	18	110
GB-2020-069	argillite	65	1.5	730	0.30	0.87	49	0.067	23	1.1	< 0.7	<6	140	3100	0.91	1.7	79	17	86
GB-2020-070	argillite	59	1.4	740	0.20	1.1	42	0.066	14	< 0.8	< 0.7	<6	150	3800	0.90	2.0	77	15	74
GB-2020-071	greywacke	61	1.5	880	0.30	1.6	40	0.067	22	<0.8	<0.7	<6	220	3800	0.86	2.0	81	18	81
GB-2020-072	argillite	65	1.6	690	15	0.63	61	0.063	23	0.80	< 0.7	<6	96	3900	1.1	2.0	92	18	100
GB-2020-073	argillite	66	1.5	870	0.40	1.3	40	0.059	23	<0.8	< 0.7	<6	170	3800	0.86	1.9	79	19	85
GB-2020-074	greywacke	36	0.80	530	0.30	1.5	20	0.054	17	< 0.8	< 0.7	<6	180	2800	0.47	1.3	45	11	51
GB-2020-075	greywacke	35	0.72	510	0.20	1.5	19	0.051	9.9	1.2	< 0.7	<6	140	2600	0.42	1.3	44	11	43
GB-2020-076	greywacke	33	0.67	490	0.40	1.7	18	0.051	10	1.8	< 0.7	<6	130	2700	0.36	1.2	43	9.9	41
GB-2020-077	greywacke	40	0.87	610	0.30	2.0	23	0.057	26	< 0.8	< 0.7	<6	170	3000	0.35	1.3	50	10	74
GB-2020-078	greywacke	48	1.1	720	0.30	1.7	29	0.058	17	<0.8	< 0.7	<6	200	3200	0.49	1.5	60	11	54
GB-2020-079	greywacke	38	0.66	540	0.40	1.8	17	0.048	21	<0.8	< 0.7	<6	130	2600	0.36	1.5	43	11	42
GB-2020-080	argillite	48	1.1	650	0.20	1.2	33	0.066	21	<0.8	< 0.7	<6	180	4400	0.73	1.8	77	15	67
GB-2020-081	greywacke	29	0.88	460	0.30	1.6	24	0.050	20	<0.8	< 0.7	<6	130	3300	0.51	1.3	50	10	53
GB-2020-082	argillite	58	1.3	760	0.30	1.1	36	0.066	16	1.1	< 0.7	<6	160	4300	0.85	1.8	72	15	82
GB-2020-083	greywacke	41	0.82	500	0.40	1.3	20	0.050	38	3.5	< 0.7	<6	110	3100	0.51	1.3	49	12	42
GB-2020-084	greywacke	62	1.3	940	0.30	1.6	31	0.058	23	< 0.8	< 0.7	<6	220	3600	0.61	1.4	61	12	61
GB-2020-085	greywacke	41	0.88	660	0.30	1.7	23	0.060	13	<0.8	< 0.7	<6	170	3500	0.50	1.5	55	9.3	51
GB-2020-086	argillite	38	0.83	690	0.30	1.4	21	0.045	12	< 0.8	<0.7	<6	150	2600	0.38	1.1	40	9.4	42
GB-2020-087	greywacke	31	0.65	510	0.30	1.8	17	0.052	11	< 0.8	< 0.7	<6	140	3000	0.28	1.3	45	8.5	41
GB-2020-088	greywacke	40	0.98	680	0.20	1.3	25	0.11	21	<0.8	< 0.7	<6	190	3400	0.60	1.6	58	15	54
GB-2020-089	greywacke	52	1.2	800	0.30	1.7	32	0.066	34	1.1	< 0.7	<6	190	4400	0.76	1.9	72	12	82
GB-2020-090	greywacke	44	1.1	630	0.30	1.4	30	0.060	15	<0.8	<0.7	<6	160	3800	0.56	1.5	63	11	64
GB-2020-091	greywacke	40	0.92	580	0.40	1.4	24	0.051	12	< 0.8	< 0.7	<6	140	3200	0.49	1.3	50	9.6	54
GB-2020-092	greywacke	25	0.57	410	0.30	1.7	14	0.047	3.3	< 0.8	< 0.7	<6	140	2500	0.24	0.99	37	6.9	34
GB-2020-093	greywacke	30	0.71	610	0.30	2.1	19	0.050	5.7	< 0.8	<0.7	<6	150	3000	0.33	1.2	45	9.9	37
GB-2020-094	argillite	52	1.3	760	0.20	1.4	34	0.061	37	<0.8	<0.7	<6	160	4000	0.70	1.7	73	12	140
GB-2020-095	greywacke	35	0.79	580	0.40	1.4	20	0.045	100	<0.8	<0.7	<6	110	2800	0.49	1.1	40	10	280
GB-2020-096	greywacke	40	0.98	670	0.30	1.8	25	0.059	11	<0.8	<0.7	<6	190	3700	0.49	1.5	55	10	44
GB-2020-097	argillite	53	1.4	680	0.10	1.3	38	0.059	15	<0.8	<0.7	<6	150	4400	0.73	1.8	75	12	71
GB-2020-098	argillite	62	1.8	910	0.30	1.1	51	0.065	38	1.2	<0.7	<6	160	5100	0.96	2.1	89	16	120
GB-2020-099	greywacke	32	0.72	540	0.60	1.7	19	0.048	11	2.5	<0.7	<6	130	3200	0.37	1.4	46	12	39
GB-2020-100	argillite	40	1.0	700	0.50	1.2	28	0.053	20	0.90	<0.7	<6	140	3600	0.66	1.6	59	13	64
GB-2020-101	greywacke	32	0.71	550	0.60	1.9	19	0.048	33	<0.8	<0.7	<6	140	3100	0.38	1.2	43	8.9	56
GB-2020-102	greywacke	35	0.85	610	1.0	1.9	21	0.047	7.7	<0.8	<0.7	<6	160	3000	0.42	1.2	42	9.7	37
GB-2020-103	greywacke	39	0.90	650	0.50	1.6	25	0.053	9.4	<0.8	<0.7	<6	160	3300	0.50	1.3	54	8.7	46
GB-2020-104	argillite	76	1.7	730	0.20	0.43	45	0.066	44	1.0	<0.7	<6	85	5000	1.2	2.1	87	18	90
GB-2020-105	argillite	55	1.5	630	0.90	0.83	41	0.074	27	<0.8	<0.7	<6	140	3800	0.76	1.7	68	12	100
GB-2020-106	greywacke	56	1.2	740	0.40	1.1	31	0.047	15	1.6	<0.7	<6	110	4000	0.92	1.6	62	14	62
GB-2020-107	greywacke	38	0.91	620	0.70	1.4	21	0.050	26	<0.8	<0.7	<6	160	2900	0.52	1.2	43	11	46
GB-2020-108	argillite	72	1.7	890	0.40	0.43	44	0.066	24	5.5	<0.7	<6	140	4800	1.1	2.2	90	21	100
GB-2020-109	argillite	39	1.0	550	0.70	0.65	27	0.038	19	0.90	<0.7	<6	130	2800	0.56	1.2	48	12	45

Sample ID	Rock Type	Li	Mg	Mn	Мо	Na	Ni	Р	Pb	Sb	Se	Sn	Sr	Ti	TI	U	V	Y	Zn
Units	-	µg/g	%	µg/g	µg/g	%	μg/g	%	µg/g	µg/g	µg/g	µg/g	µg/g	µg/g	µg/g	μg/g	µg∕g	µg/g	µg/g
GB-2020-110	greywacke	39	0.92	670	0.50	1.4	23	0.050	29	<0.8	<0.7	<6	160	2900	0.77	1.2	44	12	63
GB-2020-111	argillite	52	1.3	850	0.40	1.4	37	0.062	34	<0.8	<0.7	<6	220	4400	0.79	1.8	74	13	65
GB-2020-112	greywacke	47	0.96	560	0.50	1.4	27	0.055	16	<0.8	<0.7	<6	130	3400	0.53	1.4	54	13	56
GB-2020-113	argillite	59	1.5	840	0.30	1.1	39	0.065	20	<0.8	<0.7	<6	170	4200	0.71	1.7	72	16	100
GB-2020-114	greywacke	34	0.71	570	0.70	1.8	19	0.050	11	<0.8	<0.7	<6	170	3000	0.38	1.3	39	9.9	34
GB-2020-115	argillite + quartz vein	39	0.77	430	0.90	0.33	25	0.043	16	0.90	<0.7	<6	87	2600	0.40	1.1	43	10	53
GB-LX2021-01	greywacke	45	1.3	850	0.7	1.6	32	0.066	13	1.2	<0.7	<6	220	3800	0.69	1.6	70	21	89
GB-LX2021-02	argillite	54	1.7	1000	0.6	0.97	39	0.07	18	6.6	<0.7	<6	210	4100	0.84	2	87	29	90
GB-LX2021-03	argillite	51	1.5	910	0.6	0.98	37	0.065	17	1.8	<0.7	<6	180	3700	0.75	1.7	81	24	77
GB-LX2021-04	argillite	54	1.5	780	2	1.4	40	0.069	28	<0.8	<0.7	<6	170	4000	0.75	1.8	93	14	93
GB-LX2021-05	argillite + quartz veining	56	1.6	1000	0.7	1.3	35	0.078	25	<0.8	<0.7	<6	270	4200	0.79	1.8	73	25	110
GB-LX2021-06	argillite	53	1.5	850	0.3	1.4	38	0.073	9	0.8	<0.7	<6	210	4200	0.77	1.7	80	20	69
GB-LX2021-07	argillite	67	1.9	960	6.6	0.97	47	0.069	52	< 0.8	< 0.7	<6	150	4400	0.85	1.9	93	19	110
GB-LX2021-08	greywacke	59	1.4	840	0.5	1.3	36	0.064	38	1.2	< 0.7	<6	170	4000	0.68	1.7	77	25	81
GB-LX2021-09	argillite	53	1.7	980	0.4	1.2	46	0.072	33	1.4	<0.7	<6	140	4400	0.87	1.9	88	20	100
GB-LX2021-10	greywacke	44	1.4	760	0.4	1.9	33	0.073	16	0.9	<0.7	<6	210	4000	0.56	1.7	72	23	77
GB-LX2021-11	greywacke	52	1.5	720	0.5	1.5	38	0.07	22	< 0.8	<0.7	<6	180	4200	0.68	1.8	81	14	79
GB-LX2021-12	argillite	54	1.5	900	0.8	1.2	37	0.071	16	< 0.8	< 0.7	<6	190	4100	0.6	1.8	86	11	73
GB-LX2021-13	argillite	49	1.3	860	0.4	1.4	32	0.061	17	< 0.8	< 0.7	<6	160	3500	0.57	1.5	75	9	75
GB-LX2021-14	greywacke	27	0.76	550	0.5	2	22	0.058	11	< 0.8	< 0.7	<6	150	3100	0.39	1.2	46	9	40
GB-LX2021-15	argillite	47	1.3	710	3.2	0.92	35	0.061	28	< 0.8	< 0.7	<6	110	3500	0.69	1.5	67	13	110
GB-LX2021-16	argillite	56	1.6	860	0.9	1.4	40	0.07	21	< 0.8	< 0.7	<6	170	4100	0.68	1.8	78	18	83
GB-LX2021-17	greywacke	26	0.73	510	0.8	1.8	18	0.048	8	<0.8	<0.7	<6	140	2600	0.3	1.1	38	9.3	35
GB-LX2021-18	greywacke	40	1.1	790	0.6	2.1	28	0.068	9	< 0.8	<0.7	<6	200	3600	0.5	1.5	60	14	55
GB-LX2021-19	argillite	56	1.5	930	0.9	1.1	37	0.064	16	< 0.8	<0.7	<6	160	3700	0.68	1.6	68	20	69
GB-LX2021-20	greywacke	33	0.8	560	0.8	1.7	21	0.051	9	< 0.8	<0.7	<6	150	2700	0.39	1.1	42	11	43
GB-LX2021-21	greywacke	41	1	650	36	1.4	29	0.059	19	< 0.8	< 0.7	<6	150	3200	0.51	1.3	57	13	55
GB-LX2021-22	greywacke	33	0.96	580	0.7	1.8	27	0.053	19	1.2	< 0.7	<6	180	3100	0.44	1.3	61	12	54
GB-LX2021-23	argillite	43	1.2	500	1	0.39	34	0.061	67	2.7	< 0.7	<6	71	3000	0.6	1.6	50	17	90
GB-LX2021-24	greywacke	30	0.83	630	0.9	2	23	0.056	6	< 0.8	< 0.7	<6	160	3000	0.34	1.3	45	13	39
GB-LX2021-25	greywacke	29	0.76	620	0.7	2	22	0.056	11	< 0.8	< 0.7	<6	170	3100	0.35	1.4	48	10	48
GB-LX2021-26	argillite	60	1.6	930	0.6	1.4	43	0.069	24	< 0.8	< 0.7	<6	180	4200	0.8	1.8	80	14	84
GB-LX2021-27	greywacke marker unit	24	0.52	380	0.4	1.7	15	0.049	11	3.3	< 0.7	<6	120	2300	0.31	1	37	7.3	26
GB-LX2021-28	greywacke	54	1.2	720	0.4	1.8	30	0.058	19	< 0.8	< 0.7	<6	210	3500	0.61	1.6	61	15	65
GB-LX2021-29	greywacke	29	0.66	500	0.6	2	17	0.05	24	< 0.8	< 0.7	<6	150	2600	0.36	1.1	44	8.7	42
GB-LX2021-30	greywacke	41	0.91	970	0.5	1.6	22	0.054	20	< 0.8	< 0.7	<6	150	2900	0.51	1.4	51	14	45
GB-LX2021-31	greywacke	38	0.8	580	0.30	1.8	21	0.054	11.0	< 0.8	< 0.7	<6	160	3300	0.44	1.3	51	10.0	44
GB-LX2021-32	argillite	45	1.1	570	0.20	1.2	32	0.058	15.0	1.7	< 0.7	<6	93	3500	0.59	1.5	66	13.0	68
GB-LX2021-33	greywacke	30	0.76	560	0.40	1.8	21	0.055	9.0	< 0.8	<0.7	<6	160	3400	0.42	1.4	53	11.0	41
GB-LX2021-34	argillite	54	1.5	720	0.90	0.97	55	0.064	20.0	1.5	<0.7	<6	110	4800	0.97	2.1	97	13.0	92
GB-LX2021-35	greywacke	31	0.83	600	0.40	1.8	23	0.067	51.0	< 0.8	<0.7	<6	150	3800	0.44	1.7	58	12.0	130
GB-NM2021-01	greywacke	27	0.70	390	1.1	1.3	22	0.054	49	7.7	<0.7	<6	140	2200	0.38	0.99	38	11	44
GB-NM2021-03	quartz vein	32	0.68	450	0.90	1.1	18	0.052	15	5.6	<0.7	<6	130	2700	0.43	1.1	45	11	35
GB-NM2021-04	argillite	67	1.5	790	3.3	1.0	44	0.063	33	4.5	<0.7	<6	160	4000	1.0	1.8	88	18	94
GB-NM2021-05	Approx. 30% greywacke, 70% quartz vein	51	1.0	640	3.1	0.93	32	0.048	35	6.8	<0.7	<6	130	3600	0.65	1.5	70	14	74
GB-NM2021-06	Approx. 20% quartz vein, 80% greywacke	36	0.85	610	0.60	1.6	21	0.056	20	< 0.8	<0.7	<6	130	2700	0.43	1.2	48	10	52
GB-NM2021-07	greywacke	40	0.86	600	0.40	1.9	22	0.061	12	1.7	<0.7	<6	160	3100	0.47	1.4	54	13	44
GB-NM2021-08	Approx. 60% greywacke, 40% argillite + quartz vein	62	1.4	880	1.5	1.3	34	0.072	47	1.5	<0.7	<6	180	3800	0.76	1.8	77	21	140
GB-NM2021-09	Approx. 40% greywacke, 60% quartz vein	25	0.60	410	3.0	1.1	21	0.039	55	7.8	<0.7	<6	110	1800	0.33	0.86	37	8.0	59
GB-NM2021-10	Approx. 60% argillite, 40% quartz vein	63	1.4	200	1.0	1.5	34	0.069	56	2.2	<0.7	<6	150	3500	0.73	1.8	71	15	230
GB-NM2021-11 GB NM2021-12	Approx. 40% arginite + quartz veining, 60% quartz vein	52	0.39	290 700	1.2	0.40	21	0.018	1/	0.0	<0.7	<0	08	3500	0.20	0.52	24	0.5	42
GB-NM2021-12	orevwacke + quartz vein	25	0.63	580	13	1.3	17	0.003	17		<0.7	<0	150	950	0.00	0.96	30	13	31
GB-NM2021-13	Approx. 20% argillite + quartz veining. 80% grevwacke + quartz veining	31	0.70	520	2.3	1.1	19	0.050	18	4.3	<0.7	<6	120	2300	0.44	1.1	46	9.9	50
GB-NM2021-15	Approx. 90% greywacke + quartz veining, 10% argillite	33	0.89	670	2.4	1.7	26	0.048	41	8	<0.7	<6	200	2600	0.49	1.20	64	9.4	56
GB-NM2021-16	Approx. 40% quartz vein, 60% greywacke	29	0.68	560	5.5	0.8	24	0.034	21	32	<0.7	<6	120	2100	0.38	1.00	48	15.0	33

Sample ID	Rock Type	Li	Mg	Mn	Мо	Na	Ni	Р	Pb	Sb	Se	Sn	Sr	Ti	Tl	U	V	Y	Zn
Units	-	μg/g	%	µg/g	µg/g	%	µg/g	%	µg/g	µg∕g	µg/g	µg/g	µg/g	µg/g	µg∕g	µg/g	µg/g	µg/g	μg/g
GB-NM2021-17	Approx. 80% argillite, 20% greywacke	60	1	790	0.40	1	34	0	22	2.1	< 0.7	<6	200	3600	0.75	1.7	74	19	86
GB-NM2021-18	argillite	64	2	900	0.30	1	42	0	20	< 0.8	< 0.7	<6	180	4000	0.81	2.0	92	16	100
GB-NM2021-19	Approx. 20% greywacke, 80% argillite	63	1	750	0.50	1	37	0	17	< 0.8	<0.7	<6	150	3600	0.72	1.8	75	14	83
GB-NM2021-20	Approx. 80% argillite + quartz veining, 20% greywacke	58	1	740	0.50	2	33	0	17	0.80	< 0.7	<6	180	3400	0.64	1.6	70	13	73
GB-NM2021-21	Approx. 40% greywacke, 60% argillite + quartz veining	66	2	740	6.1	1	35	0	31	1.7	<0.7	<6	170	3900	1.4	1.9	86	21	87
GB-NM2021-22	greywacke	43	1	890	1.7	2	24	0	31	7.9	<0.7	<6	170	2600	0.53	1.2	51	11	68
GB-NM2021-23	Approx. 15% argillite + quartz veining, 40% greywacke, 45% argillite	97	2	1500	1.6	2	41	0	190	5.4	<0.7	<6	250	3900	0.99	2.2	96	20	450
GB-NM2021-24	Approx. 50% argillite + quartz veining, 50% greywacke + quartz veining	52	1	680	0.50	2	28	0	18	1.5	<0.7	<6	130	3500	0.61	1.5	61	12	78
GB-NM2021-25	Approx. 20% argillite + quartz veining, 40% greywacke, 30% quartz vein	55	1	640	0.50	1	29	0	80	2.0	<0.7	<6	140	3200	0.64	1.5	64	16	120
GB-NM2021-26	Approx. 75% argillite + quartz veining, 25% greywacke	67	2	970	0.50	2	38	0	13	2.1	<0.7	<6	180	4000	0.80	1.9	79	18	74
GB-NM2021-27	Approx. 80% argillite + quartz veining, 20% greywacke	51	1	660	0.60	1	35	0	24	0.90	<0.7	<6	140	3300	0.70	1.7	69	14	79
GB-NM2021-28	Approx. 90% greywacke, 10% argillite	29	0	640	0.40	2	22	0	15	<0.8	<0.7	<6	160	3400	0.46	1.5	64	1.4	51
GB-NM2021-29	greywacke marker unit	27	1	460	0.70	2	17	0	11	<0.8	<0.7	<6	170	2900	0.34	1.3	51	9.7	38
GB-NM2021-30	greywacke marker unit	24	0	440	0.40	2	14	0	13	<0.8	<0.7	<6	130	2500	0.36	1.1	44	5.1	34
GB-NM2021-31	greywacke marker unit	22	0	460	0.80	2	18	0	12	<0.8	<0.7	<6	150	2500	0.34	1.2	48	0.90	35
GB-NM2021-32	greywacke marker unit	28	1	450	0.60	2	16	0	13	< 0.8	< 0.7	<6	150	2800	0.32	1.2	48	11	34
GB-NM2021-33	greywacke	32	1	620	0.80	2	19	0	11	< 0.8	<0.7	<6	170	2800	0.40	1.2	51	8.4	39
GB-NM2021-34	greywacke	28	1	430	0.70	2	15	0	8.0	< 0.8	< 0.7	<6	130	2500	0.34	1.2	44	8.6	34
389995	Mixed - bulk sample stockpile	30	0.72	460	2.9	n/a	27	n/a	33	6.4	< 0.7	<6	41	1400	0.43	0.97	41	11.0	50
389996	Mixed - bulk sample stockpile	32	0.73	510	3.2	n/a	27	n/a	30	7.6	<0.7	<6	81	2300	0.57	1.10	49	13.0	43
389997	Mixed - bulk sample stockpile	45	0.99	640	2.0	n/a	25	n/a	58	5.6	< 0.7	<6	97	3000	0.74	1.40	63	16.0	58
389998	Mixed - bulk sample stockpile	35	0.84	580	2.8	n/a	26	n/a	43	7.8	< 0.7	<6	92	2400	0.64	1.20	53	15.0	53
389999	Mixed - bulk sample stockpile	38	0.87	640	3.1	n/a	29	n/a	44	11	< 0.7	<6	86	2500	0.66	1.30	57	17.0	51
GB_HC1	argillite	45	1.50	800	4.1	1.30	37	0.072	18	< 0.8	<0.7	<6	160	4200	0.75	1.80	95	21.0	71
GB_HC2	argillite	53	1.60	830	2.7	1.40	39	0.066	22	< 0.8	<0.7	<6	170	4300	0.84	1.90	99	26.0	85
GB_HC3	greywacke	40	1.20	870	0.5	1.80	28	0.066	14	< 0.8	< 0.7	<6	210	3800	0.52	1.50	76	20.0	71
GB_HC4	greywacke	37	0.94	650	6.5	1.80	24	0.062	30	1.5	< 0.7	<6	140	3400	0.43	1.40	64	18.0	59
GB_HC5	50% argillite, 50% greywacke	43	1.40	810	0.60	1.50	33	0.065	23	< 0.8	<0.7	<6	190	4000	0.63	1.60	83	22.0	82
GB HC6	greywacke marker unit	26	0.79	730	0.60	2.40	18	0.058	17	< 0.8	< 0.7	<6	160	3100	0.34	1.40	57	15.0	44
FB-1	Mixed - bulk sample stockpile	50	1.00	660	0.9	0.86	32	0.040	25	8.9	< 0.7	<6	140	3400	0.72	1.60	67	16.0	55
FB-2	PAG1, mixed lithology	41	0.82	590	0.4	1.30	25	0.049	20	<0.8	< 0.7	<6	150	3100	0.50	1.42	52	12.0	51
FB-3	PAG2, greywacke	36	0.66	500	0.6	1.30	23	0.043	13	6.6	< 0.7	<6	140	2700	0.40	1.21	47	11.1	43
FB-4	NPAG, argillite	48	1.10	690	0.5	1.10	34	0.053	17	0.9	< 0.7	<6	140	3400	0.63	1.55	64	14.3	74
Notes:				1				1	1										

AUCCA - Average Upper Continental Crustal Abundance Rudnick, R.L. and S. Gao (2014). Composition of the Continental Crust. In: Holland, H. and Turekian, K. (eds). Treatise on Geochemistry 2nd Edition, Vol. 4, pp. 1-51. Oxford, UK, Elsevier Ltd.

Light grey shading indicates a value greater than 3x the AUCCA Dark grey shading indicates a value greater than 10x the AUCCA

Appendix C.1: Waste Rock and Ore Static Test Results, Table 3: Shake Flask Extraction Results

Sample ID			GB-2020-002	GB-2020-009	GB-2020-010	GB-2020-012	GB-2020-014	GB-2020-027	GB-2020-029	GB-2020-030	GB-2020-033	GB-2020-044	GB-2020-046	GB-2020-049	GB-2020-051	GB-2020-057
Rock Type		Tier 1 EQS	argillite	greywacke	greywacke	argillite	argillite	argillite	argillite	greywacke	argillite	argillite	greywacke marker unit	greywacke	quartz vein	greywacke
Ore/Waste			waste	ore	ore	waste	waste	waste	waste							
Phase			Phase I	Phase I	Phase I	Phase I										
Designation			PAG1	PAG2	NPAG	PAG2	NPAG	NPAG	PAG1	PAG2	PAG1	PAG1	PAG1	NPAG	PAG1	PAG2
Final pH	no unit	6.5-9.0	9.74	9.89	9.65	9.87	9.81	9.96	9.83	10.01	9.04	8.99	9.27	9.55	9.31	9.69
pH	No unit	6.5-9.0	9.77	9.96	8.91	<i>9.94</i>	9.76	9.42	9.94	10.12	7.96	8.04	7.93	8.60	8.03	9.76
Conductivity	uS/cm	-	114	100	76	101	113	120	108	138	187	163	87	76	88	77
Alkalinity	mg/L as CaCO ₃	-	41	46	34	45	45	56	50	67	47	38	32	33	31	33
Sulphate	mg/L	128	9	< 2	<2	< 2	7	<2	< 2	2	21	17	<2	<2	<2	< 2
Mercury	mg/L	0.000026	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	<0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Silver	mg/L	0.00025	< 0.00005	< 0.00005	< 0.00005	< 0.00005	< 0.00005	< 0.00005	< 0.00005	< 0.00005	< 0.00005	< 0.00005	< 0.00005	< 0.00005	< 0.00005	< 0.00005
Aluminum	mg/L	0.005	2.28	2.30	0.942	3.04	2.46	3.89	1.95	1.68	0.718	0.658	0.721	0.594	0.496	1.30
Arsenic	mg/L	0.005	0.466	0.251	0.373	0.320	0.202	0.348	1.30	0.728	2.02	1.04	3.42	0.773	3.93	1.06
Barium	mg/L	1	0.00828	0.00948	0.00348	0.0114	0.00949	0.0135	0.00993	0.00594	0.00478	0.00334	0.00303	0.00195	0.00224	0.00855
Boron	mg/L	1.5	0.017	0.011	0.012	0.019	0.016	0.027	0.028	0.022	0.035	0.020	0.012	0.017	0.013	0.007
Beryllium	mg/L	0.00015	0.000052	0.000028	0.000008	0.000056	0.000047	0.000083	0.000036	0.000028	0.000019	0.000009	0.000010	0.000007	<0.00007	0.000024
Bismuth	mg/L	-	0.0004	0.00002	<0.000007	0.00006	0.00002	0.000033	0.00002	<0.00001	0.000026	0.000028	0.000013	<0.00007	<0.00007	0.00002
Calcium	mg/L	-	1.00	1.52	2./1	1.41	1.47	0.49	1.42	0.80	6.28	8.18	4.11	4.04	0.30	2.91
Cadmium	mg/L	0.0009	0.000004	0.000004	<0.000003	0.000007	0.000004	<0.000003	0.000005	<0.000003	<0.000003	0.000015	<0.000003	<0.000003	<0.000003	0.000007
Coball	mg/L	0.001	0.000294	0.000462	0.000137	0.000491	0.000378	0.000704	0.000314	0.000233	0.000080	0.000072	0.000039	0.00047	0.00000	0.000219
Connor	mg/L	0.001	0.00071	0.00104	0.00050	0.00112	0.00085	0.00140	0.00103	0.00074	0.00013	0.00010	0.00040	0.00013	0.00013	0.00100
Iron	mg/L	0.002	0.0012	0.0007	0.0000	0.0010	0.566	0.0000	0.0008	0.0010	0.0005	0.0003	0.0005	0.034	0.0005	0.0010
Potassium	mg/L	0.5	24.0	17.1	12.0	22.2	21.5	18.2	11.8	7.65	26.6	23.8	11.1	0.034	9.62	12.0
Lithium	mg/L		0.0156	0.0144	0.0108	0.0177	0.0150	0.0117	0.0066	0.0090	0.0194	0.0205	0.0085	0.0062	0.0070	0.0127
Magnesium	mg/L	_	0.0150	0.332	0.325	0.380	0.357	0.338	0.355	0.0000	1 11	1 16	0.0005	0.390	0.558	0.460
Manganese	mg/L	0.43	0.00763	0.0114	0.00292	0.0154	0.0133	0.0178	0.0132	0.0119	0.00585	0.00780	0.00336	0.00151	0.00298	0.0142
Molvbdenum	mg/L	0.073	0.00079	0.00025	0.00012	0.00045	0.00048	0.00014	0.00031	0.00033	0.00139	0.00087	0.00046	0.00041	0.00049	0.00099
Sodium	mg/L	-	2.90	4.10	5.54	3.56	6.44	14.2	13.3	20.2	13.0	6.19	6.40	5.56	4.76	6.01
Nickel	mg/L	0.025	0.0074	0.0080	0.0046	0.0054	0.0035	0.0051	0.0040	0.0046	0.0068	0.0129	0.0086	0.0049	0.0048	0.0008
Lead	mg/L	0.001	0.00287	0.00034	0.00011	0.00164	0.00126	0.00091	0.00044	0.00201	0.00019	0.00044	0.00016	0.00006	0.00007	0.00076
Antimony	mg/L	0.009	0.0028	0.0027	0.0034	0.0020	0.0039	0.0057	0.0030	0.0021	0.0119	0.0038	0.0025	0.0018	0.0053	0.0042
Selenium	mg/L	0.001	0.00007	< 0.00004	0.00034	0.00006	0.00009	0.00034	< 0.00004	0.00006	0.00067	0.00048	0.00036	0.00032	0.00035	0.00010
Silicon	mg/L	-	-	-	5.80	-	-	5.78	-	-	2.32	2.22	4.69	4.74	4.97	-
Tin	mg/L	-	0.00009	0.00010	0.00006	0.00010	0.00011	0.00010	0.00010	0.00011	0.00009	< 0.00006	0.00009	< 0.00006	< 0.00006	0.00008
Strontium	mg/L	21	0.0129	0.00784	0.0226	0.0126	0.0120	0.00604	0.0114	0.00892	0.123	0.0888	0.0120	0.0430	0.0465	0.0112
Titanium	mg/L	-	0.0337	0.0394	0.0094	0.0541	0.0414	0.0486	0.0429	0.0294	0.00564	0.00396	0.0110	0.00401	0.00469	0.0450
Thallium	mg/L	0.0008	0.000042	0.000020	0.000007	0.000036	0.000022	0.000021	0.000015	0.000007	0.000011	0.000013	< 0.000005	< 0.000005	< 0.000005	0.000018
Uranium	mg/L	0.015	0.000332	0.000493	0.000328	0.000327	0.000202	0.000256	0.000326	0.000387	0.000313	0.000325	0.000504	0.000322	0.000410	0.00135
Vanadium	mg/L	0.12	0.0133	0.0133	0.00979	0.0156	0.0140	0.0204	0.0141	0.0135	0.00755	0.00439	0.0121	0.0120	0.00856	0.0124
Tungsten	mg/L	-	0.00471	0.00625	-	0.00359	0.00420	-	0.00699	0.00464	-	-	-	-	-	0.00612
Yttrium	mg/L	-	0.00031	0.00031	-	0.00045	0.00037	-	0.00033	0.00023	-	-	-	-	-	0.00040
Zinc	mg/L	0.007	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	0.003	0.003	< 0.002	0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002

Notes:

Tier 1 EQS: Nova Scotia Tier 1 Environmental Quality Standards

Nova Scotia Environment (NSE) (2021). Table 3 - Nova Scotia Tier 1 Environmental Quality Standards (EQS) for Surface Water and Groundwater Discharging to Surface Water (µg/L). In Notification of Contamination Protocol. Revised September 30, 2021. Bold italic text indicates a pH outside of the Tier 1 EQS pH range.

Grey shading indicates a concentration above the Tier 1 EQS.

Designations are defined as:

PAG1: NPR < 1 or $1 \le$ NPR ≤ 2 and total S ≥ 0.2 wt.%

PAG2: $1 \le NPR \le 2$ and total S < 0.2 wt.%

NPAG: NPR > 2

PAG: Potentially Acid Generating, NPAG: Non-Potentially Acid Generating, NPR: Neutralization Potential Ratio

Appendix C.1: Waste Rock and Ore Static Test Results, Table 3: Shake Flask Extraction Results

Sample ID			GB-2020-060	GB-2020-064	GB-2020-065	GB-2020-069	GB-2020-073	GB-2020-076	GB-2020-080	GB-2020-095	GB-2020-099	GB-2020-104	GB-2020-106	GB-LX2021-32	GB-LX2021-33	GB-LX2021-34	GB-NM2021-28
Rock Type		Tier 1 EQS	argillite	greywacke + quartz vein	argillite + quartz vein	argillite	argillite	greywacke	argillite	greywacke	greywacke	argillite	greywacke	argillite	greywacke	argillite	Approx. 90% greywacke, 10% argillite
Ore/Waste			waste	waste	ore	waste	waste	waste	waste	waste	waste	waste	waste	waste	waste	waste	waste
Phase			Phase I	Phase I	Phase I	Phase I	Phase I	Phase I	Phase I	Phase I	Phase I	Phase I	Phase I	Phase Ib - core	Phase Ib - core	Phase Ib - core	Phase II - core
Designation			NPAG	PAG1	PAG1	PAG1	NPAG	PAG1	PAG2	NPAG	NPAG	PAG1	PAG1	NPAG	NPAG	PAG1	NPAG
Final pH	no unit	6.5-9.0	9.76	8.02	8.33	9.70	9.59	9.54	9.72	9.4 8	9.63	9.40	8.82	9.27	9.80	9.86	9.72
рН	No unit	6.5-9.0	8.85	6.92	6.88	9.77	8.50	9.65	9.8	8.08	8.90	7.97	7.89	8.1	9.05	8.98	8.95
Conductivity	uS/cm	-	102	43	101	101	89	88	81	88	68	122	223	96	73	95	90
Alkalinity	mg/L as CaCO ₃	-	41	4	4	40	34	34	37	33	30	35	40	31	33	41	34
Sulphate	mg/L	128	<2	4	24	2	<2	2	< 2	3	<2	7	49	10	<2	<2	3
Mercury	mg/L	0.000026	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001
Silver	mg/L	0.00025	<0.00005	<0.00005	<0.00005	<0.00005	<0.00005	<0.00005	<0.00005	<0.00005	<0.00005	<0.00005	<0.00005	<0.00005	<0.00005	<0.00005	<0.00005
Aluminum	mg/L	0.005	2.39	0.169	0.605	2.47	1.53	1.16	1.69	0.325	0.556	1.11	0.382	0.79	0.82	1.77	0.89
Arsenic	mg/L	0.005	0.213	4.88	1.26	1.43	0.416	2.01	1.12	0.645	0.319	1.82	0.133	0.06	0.10	0.17	0.00281
Boron	mg/L	1 5	0.00736	0.00087	0.00344	0.0112	0.00438	0.00717	0.00913	0.00240	0.00090	0.00430	0.00018	0.00332	0.00337	0.00400	0.00281
Beryllium	mg/L	0.00015	0.010	0.00011	0.010	0.017	0.013	0.00031	0.00040	0.013	<0.00007	0.00016	<0.040	0.00015	<0.00007	0.0012	<0.009
Bismuth	mg/L	-	0.000050	0.000039	0.000030	0.000050	0.000009	0.000031	0.00002	<0.000007	<0.000007	0.000009	0.000011	0.000013	<0.000007	0.000010	<0.00001
Calcium	mg/L mg/L	_	1.50	0.56	0.99	2.07	2.33	3.75	2.68	5.86	4.39	3.12	16.3	6.59	2.83	1.38	3.38
Cadmium	mg/L	0.00009	<0.00003	0.00026	0.000016	0.000003	<0.00003	0.000004	0.000005	<0.000003	<0.000003	<0.000003	<0.00003	<0.00003	0.000010	<0.00003	<0.00003
Cobalt	mg/L	0.001	0.000251	0.000082	0.000067	0.000382	0.000075	0.000180	0.000370	0.000016	0.000008	0.000041	0.000150	0.000371	0.000210	0.000136	0.000079
Chromium	mg/L	0.001	0.00098	0.00028	0.00060	0.00105	0.00042	0.00095	0.00107	0.00012	0.00008	0.00032	0.00029	0.00056	0.00021	0.00039	0.00035
Copper	mg/L	0.002	0.0005	0.0009	0.0007	0.0005	0.0002	0.0005	0.0007	< 0.0002	< 0.0002	0.0004	0.0003	0.0006	0.0003	0.0004	0.0003
Iron	mg/L	0.3	0.520	0.128	0.236	0.575	0.177	0.376	0.676	0.023	0.016	0.125	< 0.007	0.359	0.054	0.186	0.123
Potassium	mg/L	-	21.8	4.48	20.5	20.6	18.5	10.8	14.0	10.2	5.98	27.2	30.3	10.7	9.0	19.8	14.9
Lithium	mg/L	-	0.0080	0.0030	0.0082	0.0144	0.0130	0.0090	0.0141	0.0109	0.0017	0.0158	0.0171	0.0021	0.0042	0.0060	0.0112
Magnesium	mg/L	-	0.351	0.283	0.514	0.432	0.335	0.475	0.549	0.608	0.394	0.480	2.52	0.967	0.278	0.248	0.457
Manganese	mg/L	0.43	0.0106	0.00646	0.0163	0.0149	0.00522	0.00881	0.0154	0.00449	0.00060	0.00398	0.00876	0.0066	0.0042	0.0043	0.0029
Molybdenum	mg/L	0.073	0.00025	0.00060	0.00078	0.00031	0.00027	0.00028	0.00042	0.00068	0.00045	0.00087	0.00174	0.00077	0.00040	0.00034	0.00049
Sodium	mg/L	-	4.93	4.68	3.19	3.82	3.88	5.91	5.48	4.90	5.89	2.28	3.86	4.07	6.64	4.35	5.39
Nickel	mg/L	0.025	0.0009	0.0005	0.0005	0.0019	0.0003	0.0008	0.0012	0.0018	< 0.0001	0.0006	0.0166	0.0006	0.0004	0.0002	0.0025
Lead	mg/L	0.001	0.00082	0.00089	0.00070	0.00052	0.00026	0.00042	0.00090	0.00158	0.00003	0.00030	0.00002	0.00036	0.00013	0.00098	0.00026
Antimony	mg/L	0.009	0.0064	0.0054	0.0041	0.0016	0.0011	0.0021	0.0026	0.0074	0.0096	0.0055	0.0061	0.0064	0.0029	0.0097	0.0043
Selenium	mg/L	0.001	0.00040	0.00054	0.00026	<0.00004	0.00024	<0.0004	0.00006	0.00023	0.00032	0.00064	0.00030	0.00014	<0.0004	0.00004	0.00007
Silicon	mg/L	-	3.35	4.54	3.92	-	3.44	-	-	4.10	4.90	2.32	2.05	2.78	5.06	3.31	4.19
Strontium	mg/L	- 21	<0.00006	<0.00006	<0.00006	0.00009	<0.00006	0.00009	0.00009	0.00010	<0.00000	0.00008	0.00007	<0.00006	<0.0000	<0.00006	<0.00006
Titanium	mg/L	Δ1	0.00994	0.00303	0.00714	0.01/3	0.0102	0.0319	0.0400	0.0080	0.0381	0.0139	0.208	0.0147	0.0124	0.0112	0.0204
Thallium	mg/L	- 0.0008	0.0294	<0.00009	0.0221	0.0444	0.0103	0.0378	0.0004	0.00227		0.0110	0.00027	0.0000	<0.0043	0.0105	0.0020
Uranium	mg/L	0.000	0.000401	0.000003	0.000012	0.00038/	0.000009	0.000511	0.000446	0.000009	0.000003	0.000007	0.000017	0.000017	0.000005	0.000009	0.000512
Vanadium	mg/L	0.12	0.0158	0.00308	0.00431	0.0152	0.0136	0.0112	0.0141	0.00517	0.00987	0.0114	0.00190	0.0032	0.000200	0.0116	0.0114
Tungsten	mg/L	-	-	-	-	0.00483	-	0.00458	0.00153	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yttrium	mg/L	-	-	-	-	0.00052	_	0.00038	0.00046	-		-	-	-	_	_	_
Zinc	mg/L	0.007	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	0.003	< 0.002	< 0.002	0.003	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	0.00500	0.00200	0.00200	0.00300

Notes:

Tier 1 EQS: Nova Scotia Tier 1 Environmental Quality Standards

Nova Scotia Environment (NSE) (2021). Table 3 - Nova Scotia Tier 1 Environmental Quality Standards (EQS) for Surface Water and Groundwater Discharging to Surface Water (μ g/L). In Notification of Contamination Protocol. Revised September 30, 2021. Bold italic text indicates a pH outside of the Tier 1 EQS pH range.

Grey shading indicates a concentration above the Tier 1 EQS.

Designations are defined as:

PAG1: NPR < 1 or $1 \le$ NPR ≤ 2 and total S ≥ 0.2 wt.%

PAG2: $1 \le NPR \le 2$ and total S < 0.2 wt.%

NPAG: NPR > 2

PAG: Potentially Acid Generating, NPAG: Non-Potentially Acid Generating, NPR: Neutralization Potential Ratio

Appendix C.1: Waste Rock and Ore Static Test Results, Table 3: Shake Flask Extraction Results

Sample ID			GB-NM2021-29	GB-NM2021-30	GB-NM2021-33	GB-NM2021-34	389997	389999	GB_HC1	GB_HC2	GB_HC3	GB_HC4	GB_HC5	GB_HC6	FB-1	FB-2	FB-3	FB-4
Rock Type		Tier 1 EQS	greywacke marker unit	greywacke marker unit	greywacke	greywacke	mixed	mixed	argillite	argillite	greywacke	greywacke	50% argillite, 50% greywacke	greywacke marker unit	Bulk stockpile	mixed lithology	greywacke	argillite
Ore/Waste			waste	waste	waste	waste	ore stockpile	ore stockpile	waste	waste	waste	waste	waste	waste	ore	waste	waste	waste
Phase			Phase II - core	Phase II - core	Phase II - core	Phase II - core	Bulk Sample Stockpile	Bulk Sample Stockpile	Humidity Cell	Humidity Cell	Field Bin	Field Bin	Field Bin	Field Bin				
Designation			NPAG	NPAG	NPAG	NPAG	PAG1	PAG1	NPAG	PAG1	NPAG	PAG1	PAG2	PAG1	PAG1	NPAG	PAG1	NPAG
Final pH	no unit	6.5-9.0	9.86	9.79	9.83	9.67	7.28	8.24	9.69	9.38	10.01	9.00	9.73	8.92	9.04	9.83	9.79	9.87
pH	No unit	6.5-9.0	9.22	9.01	9.06	8.73	7.87	7.94	8.2	8.21	9.21	7.81	9.84	9.17	7.99	8.56	7.96	8.6
Conductivity	uS/cm	-	86	108	77	80	213	211	86	134	125	150	92	133	128	88	104	98
Alkalinity	mg/L as CaCO ₃	-	36	40	34	33	36	38	38	36	51	33	41	32	34	40	41	44
Sulphate	mg/L	128	3	5	<2	2	60	56	2	17	5	32	< 2	23	13	<2	2	<2
Mercury	mg/L	0.000026	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Silver	mg/L	0.00025	< 0.00005	< 0.00005	< 0.00005	< 0.00005	< 0.00005	< 0.00005	< 0.00005	< 0.00005	< 0.00005	< 0.00005	< 0.00005	< 0.00005	< 0.00005	< 0.00005	< 0.00005	< 0.00005
Aluminum	mg/L	0.005	0.91	0.88	0.81	0.53	0.044	0.324	0.999	0.729	1.68	0.25	2.3	0.43	0.524	0.613	0.541	0.81
Arsenic	mg/L	0.005	0.17	0.60	0.44	0.47	1.91	7.49	0.968	0.559	0.667	0.356	0.579	0.78	5.54	0.436	1.7	0.472
Barium	mg/L	1	0.00592	0.00241	0.00303	0.00414	0.0077	0.00416	0.00243	0.00699	0.00369	0.00244	0.0142	0.00518	0.00269	0.00372	0.00281	0.00512
Boron	mg/L	1.5	0.011	0.015	0.011	0.016	0.028	0.017	0.02	0.021	0.021	0.019	0.019	0.01	0.013	0.014	0.018	0.014
Beryllium	mg/L	0.00015	< 0.000007	< 0.000007	< 0.00007	< 0.000007	< 0.000007	< 0.000007	0.00001	< 0.000007	0.000015	0.000007	0.000063	0.000009	< 0.000007	0.000009	0.000008	0.00001
Bismuth	mg/L	-	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00003	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001
Calcium	mg/L	-	2.16	2.45	2.45	3.54	23.2	29.7	3.03	5.13	1.18	4.37	1.85	10.7	10.3	1.37	1.41	1.12
Cadmium	mg/L	0.00009	< 0.000003	< 0.000003	< 0.000003	< 0.000003	0.000028	0.000006	0.000004	0.000007	0.000005	0.000008	0.000005	0.000003	0.000006	< 0.000003	< 0.000003	0.000004
Cobalt	mg/L	0.001	0.000072	0.000063	0.000063	0.000040	0.000916	0.000057	0.000082	0.000092	0.000291	0.000088	0.000418	0.000132	0.000017	0.000081	0.000096	0.000119
Chromium	mg/L	0.001	0.00038	0.00029	0.00028	0.00023	0.00013	< 0.00008	0.00019	0.00016	0.00061	0.00016	0.00164	0.00015	0.00009	0.00037	0.00043	0.00047
Copper	mg/L	0.002	< 0.0002	0.0004	< 0.0002	0.0002	0.0016	0.0011	0.0003	0.0003	0.0005	0.0005	0.001	0.0021	0.0004	0.0004	0.0002	0.0002
Iron	mg/L	0.3	0.131	0.128	0.086	0.071	0.008	< 0.007	0.069	0.052	0.209	0.036	0.8	0.054	0.031	0.159	0.136	0.169
Potassium	mg/L	-	9.7	10.0	11.3	8.8	24.8	17.8	20.3	24.2	13.3	11.5	16.2	13.2	17.9	7.83	6.61	9.76
Lithium	mg/L	-	0.0053	0.0056	0.0081	0.0066	0.0049	0.0056	0.0124	0.0148	0.0096	0.0098	0.0117	0.0088	0.0066	0.0023	0.0056	0.0051
Magnesium	mg/L	-	0.255	0.284	0.290	0.439	2.09	1.08	0.397	0.736	0.183	0.952	0.53	1.76	0.735	0.192	0.19	0.193
Manganese	mg/L	0.43	0.0026	0.0031	0.0024	0.0029	0.232	0.01141	0.00289	0.0031	0.00576	0.0146	0.0173	0.0282	0.00683	0.00372	0.00418	0.00433
Molybdenum	mg/L	0.073	0.00029	0.00059	0.00177	0.00330	0.00291	0.00369	0.00058	0.00318	0.00053	0.00136	0.00087	0.00089	0.00161	0.00022	0.00066	0.00061
Sodium	mg/L	-	9.60	13.20	5.70	7.74	3.88	2.01	3.84	7.22	18.6	18.2	9.42	6.83	3.49	6.9	9.61	6.46
Nickel	mg/L	0.025	0.0008	0.0023	0.0001	0.0006	0.0032	0.0006	0.0019	0.0045	0.0088	0.0008	0.0046	0.0137	0.0003	0.0003	0.0011	0.0004
Lead	mg/L	0.001	0.00021	0.00018	0.00010	0.00010	0.00035	< 0.00009	0.0002	0.00012	0.00045	0.00019	0.00151	0.00019	0.00025	0.00044	0.00031	0.00057
Antimony	mg/L	0.009	0.0013	0.0016	0.0016	0.0019	0.0093	0.0092	0.0048	0.0033	0.004	0.0059	0.0044	0.0023	0.0073	0.0046	0.0031	0.0058
Selenium	mg/L	0.001	0.00005	< 0.00004	< 0.00004	0.00005	0.00037	0.00026	< 0.00004	0.00007	0.00006	0.00022	0.00009	0.00007	0.00014	0.00005	< 0.00004	0.00005
Silicon	mg/L	-	5.2	5.38	5.16	4.94	5.86	3.04	3.52	2.6	5.07	4.04	-	-	< 0.00006	2.41	2.36	1.92
Tin	mg/L	-	< 0.00006	< 0.00006	< 0.00006	0.00008	< 0.00006	< 0.00006	< 0.00006	< 0.00006	0.00007	<0.00006	0.00011	< 0.00006	< 0.0435	< 0.00006	< 0.00006	< 0.00006
Strontium	mg/L	21	0.0131	0.0174	0.0239	0.0392	0.0482	0.0931	0.0335	0.056	0.016	0.0666	< 0.024	< 0.0307	< 0.00258	< 0.0156	< 0.0203	< 0.019
Titanium	mg/L	-	0.0106	0.0100	0.0070	0.0058	0.00038	0.00017	0.00604	0.00359	0.01694	0.0025	0.0734	0.00681	0.000006	0.0111	0.0137	0.0139
Thallium	mg/L	0.0008	0.000005	< 0.000005	0.000006	0.000006	0.000033	0.000018	0.000009	0.000007	0.000009	0.000006	0.000024	0.000009	0.000351	0.000006	0.000006	0.000009
Uranium	mg/L	0.015	0.000194	0.000250	0.000346	0.000382	0.000129	0.000385	0.000672	0.000327	0.000557	0.000112	0.000479	0.000297	0.00573	0.00037	0.000267	0.000268
Vanadium	mg/L	0.12	0.0099	0.0118	0.0096	0.0109	0.0013	0.00287	0.01414	0.00779	0.01797	0.00351	0.0169	0.00413	-	0.00943	0.0088	0.01036
Tungsten	mg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.00277	0.0128	-	-	-	-
Yttrium	mg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.00064	0.00011	-	-	-	-
Zinc	mg/L	0.007	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	0.00200	< 0.002	< 0.002	0.003	< 0.002	< 0.002	0.004	0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002

Notes:

Tier 1 EQS: Nova Scotia Tier 1 Environmental Quality Standards

Nova Scotia Environment (NSE) (2021). Table 3 - Nova Scotia Tier 1 Enviro

Bold italic text indicates a pH outside of the Tier 1 EQS pH range.

Grey shading indicates a concentration above the Tier 1 EQS.

Designations are defined as:

PAG1: NPR < 1 or 1 \leq NPR \leq 2 and total S \geq 0.2 wt.%

PAG2: $1 \le NPR \le 2$ and total S < 0.2 wt.%

NPAG: NPR > 2

PAG: Potentially Acid Generating, NPAG: Non-Potentially Acid Generating,

Appendix C.1: Waste Rock and Ore Static Test Results, Table 4: Quality Assurance/Quality Control Results

Sample ID	Rock Type	Ore/W aste	Drillhole	Paste pH	Mod. NP	AP	Net NP	NPR	Sulphur (total)	Sulphate S (HCl)	Sulphide S	Carbon (total)	Carbonate	Inorganic C	Organic C	CaNP	Silver	Arsenic	Aluminum	Barium	Beryllium	Bismuth	Calcium	Cadmium	Cobalt
Units				no unit	kg CaCO ₃ /t	kg CaCO ₃ /t	kg CaCO ₃ /t	-	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	kg CaCO ₃ /t	µg/g	µg/g	µg/g	μg/g	µg/g	µg/g	µg/g	µg/g	µg/g
GB-2020-98	argillite	waste	BR-20-191	9.04	9.8	1.25	8.55	7.84	0.020	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.055	0.250	0.050	-	4.17	<1	74	29000	160	0.70	0.16	3000	0.10	23
GB-2020-116	argillite	waste	BR-20-191	9.16	9.6	1.25	8.35	7.68	0.055	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.081	0.275	0.055	-	4.59	<1	51	28000	180	0.55	0.19	3600	0.02	22
RPD				1%	2%	0%	2%	2%	93%	0%	0%	38%	10%	10%	-	10%	0%	37%	4%	12%	24%	17%	18%	133%	4%
GB-2020-19	greywacke	waste	BR-18-24	9.61	11.8	1.25	10.6	9.44	0.042	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.111	0.375	0.075	-	6.25	<1	78	12000	83	0.27	0.09	4200	0.03	7.5
GB-2020-117	greywacke	waste	BR-18-24	9.62	8.1	1.25	6.85	6.48	< 0.005	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.068	0.260	0.052	-	4.34	<1	24	14000	90	0.23	< 0.09	3000	< 0.02	9.8
RPD				0%	37%	0%	43%	37%	157%	0%	0%	48%	36%	36%	-	36%	0%	106%	15%	8%	16%	0%	33%	40%	27%
GB-2020-36	argillite	waste	BR-18-29	9.03	9.7	1.25	8.45	7.76	0.013	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.064	0.270	0.054	-	4.50	<1	310	26000	160	0.93	0.14	3300	0.11	18
GB-2020-118	argillite	waste	BR-18-29	9.11	8.2	1.25	6.95	6.56	0.088	0.07	< 0.04	0.060	0.230	0.046	-	3.84	<1	1300	23000	130	0.78	0.29	3200	0.08	17
RPD				1%	17%	0%	19%	17%	149%	55%	0%	6%	16%	16%	-	16%	0%	123%	12%	21%	18%	70%	3%	32%	6%
GB-2020-54	greywacke	waste	BR-18-38	9.75	7.8	1.25	6.55	6.24	0.021	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.040	0.095	0.019	-	1.58	<1	95	22000	160	0.23	< 0.09	2000	0.04	16
GB-2020-119	greywacke	waste	BR-18-38	9.69	7.7	1.25	6.45	6.16	0.029	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.048	0.130	0.026	-	2.17	<1	66	21000	150	0.25	0.23	1900	0.16	16
RPD				1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	32%	0%	0%	18%	31%	31%	-	31%	0%	36%	5%	6%	8%	88%	5%	120%	0%
GB-2020-76	greywacke	waste	BR-18-62	9.61	8.8	3.75	5.05	2.35	0.212	0.09	0.12	0.057	0.180	0.036	-	3.00	<1	1800	11000	74	0.22	0.11	2700	0.22	7.7
GB-2020-120	greywacke	waste	BR-18-62	9.51	6.3	1.25	5.05	5.04	0.095	0.08	< 0.04	0.049	0.200	0.040	-	3.34	<1	290	9900	58	0.16	0.44	2200	0.29	6.5
RPD				1%	33%	100%	0%	73%	76%	12%	100%	15%	11%	11%	-	11%	0%	144%	11%	24%	32%	120%	20%	27%	17%
GB-LX2021-04	argillite	waste	BR-18-41	8.52	8.3	1.250	7.050	6.640	0.015	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.065	0.045	0.009	0.056	0.75	<0.5	63	9.4	790	3	0.43	1.1	0.08	17
Dup of -04	argillite	waste	BR-18-41	8.43	7.5	1.250	6.250	6.000	0.015	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.070	0.055	0.011	0.059	0.92	<0.5	58	6.9	710	3	0.44	0.8	0.07	17
RPD				1%	10%	0%	12%	10%	0%	0%	0%	7%	20%	20%	5%	20%	0%	8%	31%	11%	0%	2%	32%	13%	0%
GB-LX2021-27	greywacke marker unit	waste	BR-18-40	9.69	15.2	3.120	12.100	4.872	0.182	0.08	0.10	0.127	0.375	0.075	0.052	6.25	<0.5	760	4.8	320	1.2	0.12	0.73	0.03	6
GB-LX2021-36	greywacke marker unit	waste	BR-18-40	9.76	12.5	2.810	9.690	4.448	0.140	< 0.05	0.09	0.115	0.340	0.068	0.047	5.67	<0.5	960	5	340	1.3	<0.09	0.7	0.040	6
RPD				1%	19%	10%	22%	9%	26%	46%	11%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	0%	23%	4%	6%	8%	29%	4%	29%	0%

Notes:

S: Sulphur, C: Carbon, CaNP: Carbonate Neutralization Potential, Mod. NP: Modified Neutralization Potential, AP: Acid Potential, equal to Total S x 31.25, NPR: Neutralization Potential Ratio.

RPD: Relative Percent Difference.

 $RPD = |Result A - Result B| / ((Result A + Result B)/2) \times 100\%.$

Bold red italic text indicates an RPD > 50%.

Appendix C.1: Waste Rock and Ore

Sample ID	Rock Type	Chromium	Copper	Iron	Potassium	Lithium	Magnesium	Manganese	Molybdenum	Sodium	Nickel	Phosphorus	Lead	Antimony	Selenium	Tin	Strontium	Titanium	Thallium	Uranium	Vanadium	Yttrium	Zinc
Units		µg/g	µg/g	µg/g	µg/g	μg/g	µg/g	µg/g	µg/g	µg/g	µg/g	µg/g	µg/g	μg/g	µg/g	μg/g	µg/g	µg/g	µg∕g	µg/g	µg/g	µg/g	µg/g
GB-2020-98	argillite	64	60	50000	15000	51	14000	700	0.2	320	52	630	18	<6	<0.7	0.6	19	2200	0.61	0.71	56	7.4	120
GB-2020-116	argillite	60	48	47000	17000	47	13000	680	0.2	350	52	590	7.4	<6	<0.7	0.6	19	2200	0.68	0.67	51	6.4	99
RPD		6%	22%	6%	13%	8%	7%	3%	0%	9%	0%	7%	83%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	11%	6%	9%	14%	19%
GB-2020-19	greywacke	24	38	19000	7300	21	5200	370	0.6	780	18	390	5.0	<6	<0.7	< 0.5	17	1300	0.35	0.54	27	5.2	39
GB-2020-117	greywacke	51	17	22000	9100	28	6200	410	0.4	350	23	520	3.4	<6	<0.7	<0.5	15	1700	0.39	0.65	31	5.9	40
RPD		72%	76%	15%	22%	29%	18%	10%	40%	76%	24%	29%	38%	0%	0%	0%	13%	27%	11%	18%	14%	13%	3%
GB-2020-36	argillite	41	25	41000	17000	49	13000	660	0.3	280	45	700	11	<6	<0.7	<0.5	38	2300	0.83	1.0	43	13	110
GB-2020-118	argillite	60	24	39000	13000	50	12000	650	0.6	250	39	670	9.2	<6	<0.7	<0.5	29	1800	0.69	0.77	42	13	72
RPD		38%	4%	5%	27%	2%	8%	2%	67%	11%	14%	4%	18%	0%	0%	0%	27%	24%	18%	26%	2%	0%	42%
GB-2020-54	greywacke	41	12	35000	15000	40	10000	600	0.3	440	38	640	3.1	<6	<0.7	<0.5	14	2400	0.57	0.82	43	12	67
GB-2020-119	greywacke	52	40	34000	14000	45	11000	630	0.3	280	38	630	2.6	<6	<0.7	<0.5	14	2300	0.61	0.85	43	11	90
RPD		24%	108%	3%	7%	12%	10%	5%	0%	44%	0%	2%	18%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	7%	4%	0%	9%	29%
GB-2020-76	greywacke	26	19	20000	7300	25	5400	330	0.4	690	19	490	5.6	<6	<0.7	<0.5	14	1300	0.28	0.61	29	7.1	38
GB-2020-120	greywacke	61	12	17000	6600	25	4900	350	0.6	290	17	450	46	<6	<0.7	<0.5	9.8	1200	0.27	0.47	25	6.1	35
RPD		80%	45%	16%	10%	0%	10%	6%	40%	82%	11%	9%	157%	0%	0%	0%	35%	8%	4%	26%	15%	15%	8%
GB-LX2021-04	argillite	200	39	4.4	1.6	54	1.5	780	2	1.4	40	0.069	28	<0.8	<0.7	<6	170	4000	0.75	1.8	93	14	93
Dup of -04	argillite	180	38	4.2	1.3	54	1.4	730	1.8	1.3	40	0.064	28	<0.8	<0.7	<6	140	4000	0.71	1.5	94	10	91
RPD		11%	3%	5%	21%	0%	7%	7%	11%	7%	0%	8%	0%	0%	0%	0%	19%	0%	5%	18%	1%	33%	2%
GB-LX2021-27	greywacke marker unit	18	9.9	1.9	1.4	24	0.52	380	0.4	1.7	15	0.049	11	3.3	<0.7	<6	120	2300	0.31	1	37	7.3	26
GB-LX2021-36	greywacke marker unit	18	9	1.9	1.5	25	0.52	380	0.50	1.8	12	0.05	11.0	2.6	<0.7	<6	120	2400	0.32	1.1	41	7.8	29
RPD		0%	7%	0%	7%	4%	0%	0%	22%	6%	22%	2%	0%	24%	0%	0%	0%	4%	3%	10%	10%	7%	11%

Notes:

S: Sulphur, C: Carbon, CaNP: Carbonate Neutralization Potential, Mod. NP: Modified Neutralization Potential, AP: Acid Potential, equal to Total S x 31.25, NPR: Neutralization Potential Ratio.

RPD: Relative Percent Difference.

 $RPD = |Result A - Result B| / ((Result A + Result B)/2) \times 100\%.$

Bold red italic text indicates an RPD > 50%.

Appendix C.2: Tailings Static Test Results, Table 1: Acid Base Accouting Results

Sample ID	Paste pH	CO ₂	TIC	CaNP	Total S	Sulphate S	Sulphide S	ТАР	Mod. NP	Corrected Mod. NP	CaNP/T AP	Corrected Mod. NP/TAP	Operational NPR	Fizz Rating	NAG pH
Units	-	wt%	wt%	kg CaCO ₃ /t	wt%	wt%	wt%	kg CaCO ₃ /t	kg CaCO ₃ /t	kg CaCO ₃ /t	-	-	-	-	-
2020 Master Composite (CN-Detox)	8.38	0.11	-	2.50	0.41	0.04	0.37	12.8	8.50	3.50	0.2	0.3	0.3	NONE	-
2021 Master Composite (CN-Detox)	8.43	-	0.09	7.50	0.22	< 0.01	0.22	6.8	11.10	6.10	1.1	0.9	1.1	NONE	5.90
BP1	8.83	0.17	-	3.86	0.57	-	-	17.8	9.80	4.80	0.2	0.3	0.3	SLIGHT	2.97
BP2	8.81	0.14	-	3.18	0.22	-	-	6.9	8.30	3.30	0.5	0.5	0.5	SLIGHT	4.90
BP3	8.63	0.17	-	3.86	0.29	-	-	9.1	9.30	4.30	0.4	0.5	0.5	SLIGHT	4.16
LGHP1	8.86	0.15	-	3.41	0.24	-	-	7.5	9.50	4.50	0.5	0.6	0.6	SLIGHT	4.18
LGHP2	8.73	0.11	-	2.50	0.16	-	-	5.0	8.00	3.00	0.5	0.6	0.6	SLIGHT	5.87
LGHP3	8.70	0.17	-	3.86	0.31	-	-	9.7	10.00	5.00	0.4	0.5	0.5	SLIGHT	5.85

Notes:

S: Sulphur, C: Carbon, CO₂: Carbone dioxide, TIC: Total Inorganic Carbon

CaNP: Carbonate Neutralization Potential, Mod. NP: Modified Neutralization Potential, TAP: Total Acid Potential, equal to Total S x 31.25, NPR: Neutralization Potential Ratio, NAG: Net Acid Generation

Corrected Modified NP is calculated as Modified NP - 5 $kgCaCO_3\!/t$

Operational NPR is the higher of the NPR calculated as CaNP/TAP and Corrected Modified NP/TAP

Light grey shading indicates an NPR value between 1 and 2

Dark grey shading indicates an NPR value below 1

Sample ID	Ag	Al	As	Au	B	Ba	Be	Bi	Ca	Cd	Ce	Co	Cr	Cs	Cu	Fe	Ga	Ge	Hf	Hg	In	K	Ti	Tl	U	V	W	Y	Yb	Zn	Zr
Units	ppm	%	ppm	ppb	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	%	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	%	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	%	%	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm
AUCCA (Rudnick and Gao, 2014)	0.053	8.15	4.8	-	-	628	2.1	0.16	2.57	0.09	63	17.3	92	4.9	28	3.92	17.5	1.4	5.3	0.05	0.056	2.32	0.384	0.9	2.7	97	1.9	21	2	67	193
2020 Master Composite (CN-Detox)	0.3	1.50	5040	159	<20	95	-	0.4	0.33	0.4	-	12.5	208	-	74.3	3.12	5	-	-	0.03	-	0.90	0.123	0.3	0.7	33	3.6	-	-	128	-
2021 Master Composite (CN-Detox)	0.36	1.76	1589	-	-	108	0.60	0.40	0.50	0.24	41.88	9.1	45	3.33	247	3.4	6.4	0.20	0.72	0.75	0.02	0.99	0.15	0.41	1.02	35	0.8	9.98	0.9	128	25.2
BP1	0.20	1.56	8080	303	<20	94	-	0.50	0.42	0.50	-	14.1	204	-	36.1	3.3	5	-	-	0.01	-	0.93	0.135	0.4	0.8	33	2.6	-	-	110	-
BP2	< 0.1	1.88	1440	36.6	<20	124	-	0.20	0.36	0.10	-	14.9	177	-	41	3.48	7	-	-	0.01	-	1.03	0.164	0.4	0.8	40	0.9	-	-	95	-
BP3	< 0.1	1.73	2020	15.5	<20	97	-	0.40	0.42	0.20	-	13.8	185	-	40.7	3.31	6	-	-	0.01	-	0.96	0.15	0.4	0.8	35	0.7	-	-	97	-
LGHP1	< 0.1	1.74	2050	11.3	<20	105	-	0.30	0.42	0.20	-	12	194	-	36.7	3.24	6	-	-	< 0.01	-	1.00	0.156	0.4	0.8	37	1.7	-	-	109	-
LGHP2	< 0.1	2.12	953	6.2	<20	161	-	0.30	0.33	0.50	-	15.6	169	-	35.8	3.65	8	-	-	0.03	-	1.33	0.183	0.5	0.9	45	1	-	-	84	-
LGHP3	<0.1	1.62	2800	61.7	<20	85	-	0.30	0.50	0.10	-	13.7	171	-	33.6	3.18	6	-	-	0.02	-	0.83	0.124	0.3	0.7	32	0.7	-	-	107	-
Sample ID	La	Li	Lu	Mg	Mn	Мо	Na	Nb	Ni	Р	Pb	Rb	S	Sb	Sc	Se	Sn	Sr	Та	Tb	Te	Th									
Units	ppm	ppm	ppm	%	ppm	ppm	%	ppm	ppm	%	ppm	ppm	%	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm									
AUCCA (Rudnick and Gao, 2014)	31	24	0.31	1.5	774	1.1	2.43	12	47	0.0655	17	84	-	0.4	14	0.09	2.1	320	0.9	0.9	-	10.5									
2020 Master Composite (CN-Detox)	19	-	-	0.87	505	7.0	0.030	-	105	0.064	40.3	-	0.39	3.7	3.5	<0.5	-	18	-	-	<0.2	7.0]								
2021 Master Composite (CN-Detox)	21.2	36.00	0.14	0.99	565	0.81	0.03	1.02	27	0.06	35.6	67.20	0.23	3.37	4.00	<1	0.70	21.8	< 0.05	0.41	0.14	6.6]								
BP1	19	-	-	0.94	557	6.90	0.01	-	123	0.06	31.6	-	0.52	4.80	3.30	< 0.5	-	18	-	-	0.30	6.9]								
BP2	20	-	-	1.10	598	5.70	0.01	-	109	0.07	18.9	-	0.22	0.70	4.10	< 0.5	-	18	-	-	<0.2	7.2	1								
BP3	23	-	-	1.01	576	5.80	0.01	-	110	0.07	26.4	-	0.29	1.40	3.60	< 0.5	-	21	-	-	<0.2	6.7	1								
LGHP1	22	-	-	1.03	611	5.90	0.01	-	113	0.07	55.1	-	0.26	1.00	3.70	< 0.5	-	18	-	-	<0.2	6.9	1								
LGHP2	22	-	-	1.20	642	5.00	0.02	-	98.8	0.07	11.8	-	0.16	0.50	5.10	<0.5	-	17	-	-	< 0.2	8	1								
LGHP3	21	-	-	0.96	593	6.40	0.01	-	99.5	0.07	34.4	-	0.31	2.20	3.30	<0.5	-	20	-	-	< 0.2	6.3	1								

Appendix C.2: Tailings Static Test Results, Table 2: Solid Phase Metals Results

Notes:

AUCCA - Average Upper Continental Crustal Abundance

Rudnick, R.L. and S. Gao (2014). Composition of the Continental Crust. In: Holland, H. and Turekian, K. (eds). Treatise on Geochemistry 2nd Edition,

Vol. 4, pp. 1-51. Oxford, UK, Elsevier Ltd.

Light grey shading indicates a value greater than 3x the AUCCA Dark grey shading indicates a value greater than 10x the AUCCA

Appendix C.2: Tailings Static Test Results, Table 3: Shake Flask Extraction Results

		Tier 1	2020 Master	2021 Master	RP1⊥RP?	I CHP 1 + I CHP 2
Sample ID	Unita	FOS	Composite (CN Detex)	Composite (CN Detex)	COMPOSITE	COMPOSITE
		EQS	Composite (CN-Detox)	Composite (CN-Detox)		
pH	pH Units	6.5-9.0	8.9	8.25	9.2	9.3
Conductivity	μS/cm	-	1/3	249.3	8/	/6
Sulphate	mg/L	128	44	56.2	12	11
Br	mg/L	-	-	< 0.3	-	-
Cl	mg/L	-	-	7	-	-
F	mg/L	-	-	0.47	-	-
Acidity to pH4.5	mg/L	-	<0.5	0	<0.5	<0.5
Acidity to pH8.3	mg/L	-	<0.5	1.58	0.70	<0.5
Total Alkalinity	mg/L	-	27	46.68	22	18
Bicarbonate	mg/L	-	33	-	26	22
Carbonate	mg/L	-	<0.5	-	<0.5	<0.5
Hydroxide	mg/L	-	<0.5	-	<0.5	<0.5
Nitrate	mg/L	13	<0.2	< 0.06	-	-
Nitrite	mg/L	0.06	0.090	< 0.03	-	-
Total Ammonia	mg/L	5.7	0.65	0.5	-	-
DOC	mg/L	-	-	3	-	-
Phosphorus (total reactive)	mg/L	-	-	0.07	-	-
Free Cyanide	μg/L	5	4.1	-	-	-
SAD Cyanide	mg/L	-	1.8	-	-	-
WAD Cyanide	mg/L	-	0.0074	-	-	-
Hardness CaCO ₃	mg/L	-	21	75.3	27	23
Al	mg/L	0.005	0.44	0.16	0.40	0.47
Sb	mg/L	0.009	0.0076	0.0073	0.0048	0.0029
As	mg/L	0.005	1.8	0.375	2.2	0.88
Ba	mg/L	1	0.0018	0.00927	0.0015	0.0016
Be	mg/L	0.00015	<0.00010	< 0.00927	<0.0015	<0.0010
Bi	mg/L	-	<0.000030	< 0.000007	<0.000030	<0.000020
B	mg/L	1.5	<0.25	0.016	<0.000023	<0.000010
	mg/L	1.5	<0.23	0.010	<0.23	<0.10
	mg/L	-	<0.00025	-	<0.00025	<0.00010
Ca	mg/L	0.00009	<0.000025	0.000011	<0.000023	<0.000010
Ca	mg/L	-	8.1	26.8	11	9.1
<u> </u>	mg/L	0.001	<0.00050	0.0002	<0.00050	0.00040
C	mg/L	0.001	0.0020	0.000712	0.00075	0.00053
Cu	mg/L	0.002	0.00/1	0.0032	<0.00025	0.00035
La	mg/L	-	<0.00025	-	<0.00025	0.00011
Fe	mg/L	0.3	0.59	0.013	0.050	0.074
Pb	mg/L	0.001	0.00051	0.00013	0.00018	0.00045
Li	mg/L	-	0.0035	0.0149	0.0040	0.0047
Mg	mg/L	-	0.26	2.02	<0.25	0.15
Mn	mg/L	0.43	0.0036	0.0116	0.0010	0.0015
P	mg/L	-	0.015	0.007	0.070	0.046
Mo	mg/L	0.073	0.00095	0.0057	0.0012	0.00083
Ni	mg/L	0.025	0.00057	0.0003	0.00030	0.00022
K	mg/L	-	4.6	9.59	3.5	3.5
Rb	mg/L	-	0.0016	-	0.0039	0.0034
Se	mg/L	0.001	<0.00020	0.00028	< 0.00020	0.00020
Si	mg/L	-	1.5	1.78	2.2	2.1
Ag	mg/L	0.00025	< 0.000025	< 0.00005	< 0.000025	0.000040
Na	mg/L	-	19	12.8	2.2	2.1
Sr	mg/L	21	0.048	0.172	0.067	0.052
S	mg/L	-	<50	25	<50	<20
Те	mg/L	-	< 0.00010	-	< 0.00010	< 0.000040
Tl	mg/L	0.0008	<0.000010	0.00003	<0.000010	0.000013
Th	mg/L	-	<0.000025	-	<0.000025	< 0.000010
Sn	mg/L	-	< 0.0010	< 0.00006	< 0.0010	< 0.00040
Ti	mg/L	-	< 0.0025	0.00006	< 0.0025	0.0023
W	mg/L	-	0.00078	_	0.00072	0.00079
U	mg/L	0.015	0.00015	0.000206	0.00019	0.00018
V	mg/L	0.12	0.0010	0.00091	0.0018	0.0020
	mg/L	0.007	0.0022	< 0.002	<0.00050	0.00045
7r	mg/L	_	<0.0022	< 0.002	<0.00050	<0.00015
Ησ	mg/I	0.000026	<0.00025	<0.002	<0.00050	<0.00020
115	116/ L	0.000020	<0.000 <i>23</i>	<0.00001	\0.00025	~0.00010

Notes:

DOC: Dissolved Organic Carbon, SAD: Stong Acid Dissociable, WAD: Weak Acid Dissociable

Tier 1 EQS: Nova Scotia Tier 1 Environmental Quality Standards Nova Scotia Environment (NSE) (2021). Table 3 - Nova Scotia Tier 1 Environmental Quality Standards (EQS) for Surface Water and Groundwater Discharging to Surface Water (µg/L). In Notification of Contamination Protocol. Revised September 30, 2021

Bold italic text indicates a pH outside of the Tier 1 EQS pH range.

Grey shading indicates a concentration above the Tier 1 EQS.

Sample ID	Paste pH	Total S	Sulphate S	Sulphide S (by difference)	ТАР	SAP	Mod. NP	Net NP	NPR	Fizz Rating
Units	pH Units	wt%	wt%	wt%	kg CaCO ₃ /t	-	-			
ANX-A3B	5.18	0.27	0.05	0.22	8.44	6.9	-6.90	-13.8	-0.82	NONE
ANX-A2A-M	5.29	0.27	0.08	0.19	8.44	5.9	-5.40	-11.3	-0.64	NONE
ANX-D4A-M	4.26	0.47	0.13	0.34	14.7	10.6	-5.30	-15.9	-0.36	NONE
ANX-D8A-M	6.44	0.02	0.06	< 0.02	0.63	<0.6	2.70	2.70	4.32	NONE
ANX-D3A-M	4.76	0.31	0.07	0.24	9.69	7.5	-2.00	-9.50	-0.21	NONE
ANX-D5A-M	4.68	0.48	0.04	0.44	15.0	13.8	-2.00	-15.8	-0.13	NONE

Appendix C.3: Historical Tailings Static Test Results, Table 1: Acid Base Accouting Results

Notes:

TAP: Total Acid Potential, equal to Total S x 31.25, SAP: Sulphide Acid Potential, equal to Sulphide S x 31.25; Mod. NP: Modified Neutralization Potential

NPR: Net Potential Ratio (Mod. NP/TAP)

Appendix C.3: Historical Tailings Static Test Results, Table 2: Shake Flask Extraction Results

Sample ID	Units	Tier 1 EQS	ANX-D4A-M	ANX-D8A-M	ANX-D3A-M	ANX-D5A-M
Sample Weight	g	n/a	101	100	100	99.43
Volume Used	ml	n/a	300	300	300	300
pН	pH Units	6.5 - 9.0	3.64	5.84	4.23	4.21
Conductivity	μS/cm	-	662	40	277	258
Sulphate	mg/L	128	332	8	111	95
Total Alkalinity	mg/L	-	<0.5	0.6	<0.5	< 0.5
Bicarbonate	mg/L	-	<0.5	0.7	< 0.5	<0.5
Carbonate	mg/L	-	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5
Hydroxide	mg/L	-	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	< 0.5
Fluoride	mg/L	0.12	0.11	0.06	0.11	0.13
Dissolved Chloride	mg/L	120	2.2	2.4	1.1	2.3
Nitrate-N	mg/L	5.7	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
Nitrite-N	mg/L	13	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Dissolved Organic Carbon	mg/L	0.06	7.2	20	11	29
Total Dissolved Phosphorus (P)	mg/L	_	1.1	0.13	0.55	1.2
Ammonia (N)	mg/L	_	0.71	0.94	0.56	1
Bromide (Br)	mg/L	_	0.043	-	0.026	0.087
Hardness CaCO ₃	mg/L	-	47.7	1.99	33.9	43.4
Dissolved Aluminum (Al)	mg/L	0.005	15.7	0.38	0.67	1.43
Dissolved Antimony (Sb)	mg/L	0.009	0.0056	0.00366	0.00691	0.0201
Dissolved Arsenic (As)	mg/L	0.005	16.8	1.38	7.83	15.7
Dissolved Barium (Ba)	mg/L	1	<0.0004	0.00233	0.0917	0.0802
Dissolved Bervllium (Be)	mg/L	0.00015	0.00399	0.000048	0.00073	0.0009
Dissolved Bismuth (Bi)	mg/L	_	<0.0001	0.000035	<0.00005	< 0.0001
Dissolved Boron (B)	mg/L	1.5	<1	<0.1	<0.5	<1
Dissolved Cesium (Cs)	mg/L	_	< 0.001	0.00017	0.00069	0.0012
Dissolved Cadmium (Cd)	mg/L	0.00009	0.0113	0.000046	0.00363	0.00359
Dissolved Calcium (Ca)	mg/L	-	13	0.51	10.6	11.1
Dissolved Chromium (Cr)	mg/L	0.001	0.0048	0.00109	<0.001	0.0022
Dissolved Cobalt (Co)	mg/L	0.001	1.12	0.000788	0.283	0.321
Dissolved Copper (Cu)	mg/L	0.002	0.292	0.00737	0.0121	0.0108
Dissolved Lanthanum (La)	mg/L	_	0.12	0.00125	0.0037	0.0032
Dissolved Iron (Fe)	mg/L	0.3	79.2	0.481	24.1	12.8
Dissolved Lead (Pb)	mg/L	0.001	0.00729	0.00233	0.00559	0.019
Dissolved Lithium (Li)	mg/L	_	0.036	< 0.001	0.0174	0.014
Dissolved Magnesium (Mg)	mg/L	_	3.7	0.18	1.79	3.8
Dissolved Manganese (Mn)	mg/L	0.43	1.38	0.0293	1.18	0.801
Dissolved Phosphorus (P)	mg/L	-	0.076	0.0509	0.059	0.09
Dissolved Molybdenum (Mo)	mg/L	0.073	< 0.001	0.00059	< 0.0005	< 0.001
Dissolved Nickel (Ni)	mg/L	0.025	1.95	0.00264	0.512	0.482
Dissolved Potassium (K)	mg/L	-	3.4	2.83	3.83	3.4
Dissolved Rubidium (Rb)	mg/L	_	0.0101	0.0127	0.0394	0.0245
Dissolved Selenium (Se)	mg/L	0.001	0.00107	0.000274	< 0.0004	< 0.0008
Dissolved Silicon (Si)	mg/L	-	6.3	2.48	5	3.2
Dissolved Silver (Ag)	mg/L	0.00025	< 0.0001	0.000018	< 0.00005	< 0.0001
Dissolved Sodium (Na)	mg/L	-	7.2	3.61	2.47	3.9
Dissolved Strontium (Sr)	mg/L	21	0.12	0.00549	0.153	0.0922
Dissolved Sulphur (S)	mg/L	-	<200	<20	<100	<200
Dissolved Tellurium (Te)	mg/L	-	0.00045	0.000046	< 0.0002	< 0.0004
Dissolved Thallium (Tl)	mg/L	0.0008	< 0.00004	0.0000374	0.000296	0.000669
Dissolved Thorium (Th)	mg/L	-	0.00063	0.000894	0.000066	< 0.0001
Dissolved Tin (Sn)	mg/L	_	< 0.004	< 0.0004	< 0.002	< 0.004
Dissolved Titanium (Ti)	mg/L	_	< 0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.01
Dissolved Tungsten (W)	mg/L	_	< 0.0002	0.000098	< 0.0001	< 0.0002
Dissolved Uranium (U)	mg/L	0.015	0.000331	0.000112	0.00002	< 0.00004
Dissolved Vanadium (V)	mg/L	0.12	< 0.004	0.00136	< 0.002	< 0.004
Dissolved Zinc (Zn)	mg/L	0.007	4.76	0.0042	1.65	1.84
Dissolved Zirconium (Zr)	mg/L	-	< 0.002	0.00079	< 0.001	< 0.002
Dissolved Mercury (Hg)	mg/L	0.000026	< 0.001	0.0001	< 0.0005	< 0.001
Anion Sum	n/a	n/a	6.98	0.25	2.35	2.05
Cation Sum	n/a	n/a	6.37	0.33	1.97	1.89
Balance %	n/a	n/a	-4.60	14.20	-8.78	-4.08

Notes:

Tier 1 EQS: Nova Scotia Tier 1 Environmental Quality Standards

Nova Scotia Environment (NSE) (2021). Table 3 - Nova Scotia Tier 1 Environmental Quality Standards (EQS) for Surface Water and Groundwater Discharging to Surface Water (µg/L). In Notification of Contamination Protocol. Revised September 30, 2021.

Bold italic text indicates a pH outside of the Tier 1 EQS pH range.

Grey shading indicates a concentration above the Tier 1 EQS.

n/a: not applicable

Appendix C.4: Overburden Static Test Results, Table 1: Acid Base Accounting Results

Sample ID	Material	Easting	Northing	Location	Paste pH	TIC	CaNP	Total C	Total S	Sulphate S	Sulphide S	SAP	Modified NP	NPR
Unit						%	kg CaCO ₃ /t	%	%	%	%	kg CaCO ₃ /t	kg CaCO ₃ /t	-
LXGB-EGOVB-2021-01S	Soil	294226	5006977	East Pit	4.53	< 0.01	< 0.8	17.368	0.137	0.03	0.11	3.3	-19.3	-5.8
LXGB-EGOVB-2021-02S	Soil	294441	5006810	East Pit	3.44	< 0.01	< 0.8	49.65	0.224	0.05	0.17	5.4	-61.7	-11.4
LXGB-EGOVB-2021-03S	Soil	294524	5006675	East Pit	3.83	< 0.01	< 0.8	35.75	0.168	0.04	0.13	4.0	-34.0	-8.5
LXGB-EGOVB-2021-04S	Soil	294726	5006718	East Pit	3.32	< 0.01	< 0.8	57.95	0.241	0.05	0.19	6.0	-66.7	-11.2
LXGB-2021-TMFOB-01s	Soil	294739	5009047	TMF	3.18	< 0.01	< 0.8	>30.000	0.041	0.05	< 0.01	0.3	-54.9	-175.6
LXGB-2021-TMFOB-02s	Soil	295280	5008849	TMF	3.72	< 0.01	< 0.8	>30.000	0.058	0.05	< 0.01	0.3	-35.2	-112.5
LXGB-2021-TMFOB-03s	Soil	294931	5008502	TMF	3.21	< 0.01	< 0.8	>30.000	0.058	0.05	< 0.01	0.3	-52.7	-168.8
TP21-137S	Soil	295524	5008462	TMF	4.49	< 0.01	< 0.8	0.281	0.020	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.3	-28.7	-91.8
LXGB-WGOVB-2021-01S	Soil	293256	5007096	West Pit	3.56	< 0.01	<0.8	44.4	0.244	0.07	0.17	5.4	-49.0	-9.0
LXGB-WGOVB-2021-02S	Soil	293485	5007017	West Pit	4.43	< 0.01	< 0.8	5.727	0.058	0.02	0.04	1.2	-6.1	-5.1
LXGB-WGOVB-2021-03S	Soil	293542	5007139	West Pit	5.72	0.01	0.8	4.532	0.056	0.03	0.03	0.8	-10.6	-13.0
LXGB-WGOVB-2021-04S	Soil	293817	5007086	West Pit	3.51	< 0.01	< 0.8	50.38	0.231	0.06	0.17	5.3	-50.2	-9.4
LXGB-EGOVB-2021-01T	Till	294226	5006977	East Pit	5.25	< 0.01	< 0.8	4.018	0.037	0.01	0.03	0.8	-8.3	-9.8
LXGB-EGOVB-2021-02T	Till	294441	5006810	East Pit	5.32	< 0.01	< 0.8	2.649	0.034	0.01	0.02	0.8	-5.1	-6.8
LXGB-EGOVB-2021-03T	Till	294524	5006675	East Pit	5.46	0.01	0.8	2.79	0.034	0.02	0.01	0.4	-11.3	-25.9
LXGB-EGOVB-2021-04T	Till	294726	5006718	East Pit	5.25	< 0.01	< 0.8	2.232	0.033	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.3	-5.2	-16.8
TP21-A09T	Till	293125	5008255	NW WRSA	7.17	< 0.01	< 0.8	0.096	0.011	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.3	1.7	5.6
GBLX-Portal-OB	Till	N/A	N/A	Portal	5.96	< 0.01	< 0.8	0.594	0.017	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.3	0.6	2.0
LXGB-2021-TMFOB-01t	Till	294739	5009047	TMF	5.03	< 0.01	< 0.8	2.540	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.01	0.3	-4.6	-14.8
LXGB-2021-TMFOB-02t	Till	295280	5008849	TMF	5.04	< 0.01	< 0.8	1.808	0.006	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.3	-3.9	-12.4
LXGB-2021-TMFOB-03t	Till	294931	5008502	TMF	4.83	< 0.01	<0.8	2.125	0.009	0.01	< 0.01	0.3	-5.4	-17.2
TMF Till composite	Till	N/A	N/A	TMF	5.09	< 0.01	<0.8	2.111	0.029	0.01	0.02	0.6	-4.8	-8.1
TP21-131T	Till	294882	5008243	TMF	6.86	< 0.01	< 0.8	0.163	0.017	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.3	1.1	3.6
TP21-137T	Till	295524	5008462	TMF	6.86	< 0.01	<0.8	21.496	0.341	0.05	0.29	9.1	0.9	0.1
LXGB-WGOVB-2021-01T	Till	293256	5007096	West Pit	5.18	0.01	0.8	4.554	0.047	0.02	0.03	0.8	-13.2	-15.7
LXGB-WGOVB-2021-02T	Till	293485	5007017	West Pit	4.78	< 0.01	<0.8	2.904	0.039	0.01	0.03	0.9	-3.9	-4.3
LXGB-WGOVB-2021-03T	Till	293542	5007139	West Pit	5.66	0.01	0.8	2.398	0.039	0.03	0.01	0.3	-10.8	-38.6
LXGB-WGOVB-2021-04T	Till	293817	5007086	West Pit	5.12	0.02	1.7	5.242	0.069	0.03	0.04	1.2	-18.7	-15.3

Notes:

S: Sulphur, C: Carbon, TIC: Total Inorganic Carbon

CaNP: Carbonate Neutralization Potential, Modified NP: Modified Neutralization Potential, SAP: Sulphide Acid Potential, equal to Sulphide S x 31.25, NPR: Neutralization Potential Ratio

NPR is calculated as Modified NP/SAP

Light grey shading indicates an NPR value between 1 and 2

Dark grey shading indicates an NPR value below 1

Appendix C.4: Overburden Static Test Results, Table 2: Solid Phase Metals Results

Sample ID	Material	Easting	Northing	Location	Ag	Al	As	Ba	Be	Bi	Ca	Cd	Ce	Co	Cr	Cs	Cu	Fe	Ga	Ge	Hf	Hg	In	K	La	Li	Lu
Units					mg/kg	%	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	%	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	%	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	%	ppm	ppm	ppm
AUCCA (Rudnick and Gao, 2014)					0.053	8.15	4.8	628	2.1	0.16	2.57	0.09	63	17.3	92	4.9	28	3.92	17.5	1.4	5.3	0.05	0.056	2.32	31	24	0.31
LXGB-EGOVB-2021-01S	Soil	294226	5006977	East Pit	0.10	0.62	21	28	< 0.1	0.13	0.09	0.18	17.83	1.3	10	0.82	4.5	1.46	3.8	< 0.1	< 0.05	0.13	< 0.02	0.07	8.6	4	0.02
LXGB-EGOVB-2021-02S	Soil	294441	5006810	East Pit	0.09	0.14	14	21	< 0.1	0.05	0.23	0.35	3.94	0.5	1	0.25	6.1	0.27	0.7	< 0.1	< 0.05	0.18	< 0.02	0.03	2	<1	< 0.01
LXGB-EGOVB-2021-03S	Soil	294524	5006675	East Pit	0.13	0.26	7	45	< 0.1	0.11	0.31	0.31	8.63	0.6	4	0.56	5.3	0.49	1.1	< 0.1	< 0.05	0.24	< 0.02	0.05	4.4	2	< 0.01
LXGB-EGOVB-2021-04S	Soil	294726	5006718	East Pit	0.11	0.13	6	14	< 0.1	0.13	0.09	0.29	1.77	0.6	1	0.14	7.2	0.11	0.5	< 0.1	< 0.05	0.17	< 0.02	0.03	0.9	<1	< 0.01
LXGB-2021-TMFOB-01s	Soil	294739	5009047	TMF	0.22	0.14	3	18	< 0.1	0.07	0.03	0.38	4.22	0.3	2	0.23	5.0	0.16	0.5	< 0.1	< 0.05	0.12	< 0.02	0.04	2.3	<1	< 0.01
LXGB-2021-TMFOB-02s	Soil	295280	5008849	TMF	0.14	0.15	2	49	< 0.1	0.08	0.26	0.4	4.92	0.5	2	0.46	9.4	0.27	0.7	< 0.1	< 0.05	0.2	< 0.02	0.08	2.6	<1	< 0.01
LXGB-2021-TMFOB-03s	Soil	294931	5008502	TMF	0.12	0.09	2	22	< 0.1	0.12	0.13	0.44	1.75	0.4	1	0.15	3.2	0.13	0.4	< 0.1	< 0.05	0.4	< 0.02	0.05	0.9	<1	< 0.01
TP21-137S	Soil	295524	5008462	TMF	0.02	1.39	8	68	0.4	0.14	0.11	0.02	87.71	9.9	23	2.28	26.2	2.22	4.6	< 0.1	0.09	< 0.01	< 0.02	0.43	33.1	25	0.09
LXGB-WGOVB-2021-01S	Soil	293256	5007096	West Pit	0.18	0.15	2	35	< 0.1	0.15	0.10	0.2	3.85	0.4	2	0.26	6.7	0.33	0.9	< 0.1	< 0.05	0.23	< 0.02	0.03	1.9	<1	< 0.01
LXGB-WGOVB-2021-02S	Soil	293485	5007017	West Pit	0.07	0.93	98	48	0.1	0.16	0.02	0.03	16.61	2.5	18	1.61	9.9	2.12	6.6	< 0.1	0.11	0.16	< 0.02	0.16	7.6	8	0.04
LXGB-WGOVB-2021-03S	Soil	293542	5007139	West Pit	3.35	2.03	44	40	0.4	0.15	0.05	0.06	27.7	3.7	24	2.1	12	2.7	7	< 0.1	0.06	0.09	< 0.02	0.17	12.3	16	0.04
LXGB-WGOVB-2021-04S	Soil	293817	5007086	West Pit	0.25	0.18	9	48	< 0.1	0.17	0.08	0.28	4.54	0.5	2	0.67	6.3	0.3	0.9	< 0.1	< 0.05	0.33	< 0.02	0.06	2.3	<1	< 0.01
LXGB-EGOVB-2021-01T	Till	294226	5006977	East Pit	0.03	0.93	13	28	0.1	0.09	0.02	0.04	24.87	1.4	12	0.91	3.5	1.4	4	< 0.1	0.07	0.03	< 0.02	0.09	12.1	5	0.03
LXGB-EGOVB-2021-02T	Till	294441	5006810	East Pit	< 0.01	0.84	8	21	0.1	0.1	0.01	0.02	24.26	0.9	11	0.8	2.9	1.75	4.7	< 0.1	0.06	0.04	< 0.02	0.06	11.7	5	0.03
LXGB-EGOVB-2021-03T	Till	294524	5006675	East Pit	0.02	1.62	10	25	0.2	0.11	0.02	0.03	29.94	2.2	17	1.02	5.3	1.94	5.2	< 0.1	< 0.05	0.07	< 0.02	0.08	14.4	8	0.03
LXGB-EGOVB-2021-04T	Till	294726	5006718	East Pit	< 0.01	0.96	6	21	0.2	0.09	0.01	0.01	29.14	1.7	13	0.87	3.8	1.36	3.5	< 0.1	< 0.05	0.03	< 0.02	0.09	14.3	7	0.03
TP21-A09T	Till	293125	5008255	NW WRSA	< 0.01	0.67	6	43	0.3	0.12	0.11	0.02	48.22	3.7	12	1.24	7.5	1.31	2.3	< 0.1	0.09	< 0.01	< 0.02	0.2	23.3	14	0.05
GBLX-Portal-OB	Till	N/A	N/A	Portal	0.06	1.53	1278	90	0.6	0.32	0.1	0.08	47.15	9.6	24	2.37	17.4	2.54	5	< 0.1	0.1	0.03	< 0.02	0.45	24	26	0.07
LXGB-2021-TMFOB-01t	Till	294739	5009047	TMF	< 0.01	0.85	3	19	< 0.1	0.09	< 0.01	0.01	36.5	0.9	11	0.67	1.2	1.29	4.5	< 0.1	< 0.05	0.03	< 0.02	0.07	19.1	3	0.02
LXGB-2021-TMFOB-02t	Till	295280	5008849	TMF	0.01	0.63	5	20	< 0.1	0.12	0.01	0.02	50.43	1.2	12	0.83	1.8	1.61	5.3	< 0.1	< 0.05	0.04	< 0.02	0.08	25.3	3	0.02
LXGB-2021-TMFOB-03t	Till	294931	5008502	TMF	0.03	0.96	4	20	0.1	0.14	< 0.01	0.02	29.49	1.2	14	1.06	1.5	2.06	7.3	< 0.1	< 0.05	0.06	< 0.02	0.07	14.9	3	0.02
TMF Till composite	Till	N/A	N/A	TMF	0.02	0.82	6	20	<0.1	0.11	< 0.01	0.01	35.05	1.1	12	0.85	1.9	1.75	5.6	< 0.1	< 0.05	< 0.01	< 0.02	0.07	17.5	3	0.02
TP21-131T	Till	294882	5008243	TMF	0.02	0.95	6	60	0.3	0.18	0.10	0.02	52.67	5.7	16	1.49	12	1.62	3.2	< 0.1	0.08	< 0.01	< 0.02	0.29	25.1	17	0.06
TP21-137T	Till	295524	5008462	TMF	0.28	1.36	3	37	0.4	0.18	0.05	0.11	51.09	2.2	12	1.73	7.5	1.01	4.3	< 0.1	< 0.05	0.23	< 0.02	0.12	32.2	9	0.05
LXGB-WGOVB-2021-01T	Till	293256	5007096	West Pit	0.05	1.67	15	24	0.3	0.18	0.01	0.03	29.76	1.7	17	1.43	5.5	2.51	8.4	< 0.1	0.08	0.1	< 0.02	0.08	14.3	7	0.04
LXGB-WGOVB-2021-02T	Till	293485	5007017	West Pit	0.05	0.77	44	39	0.1	0.14	0.01	0.02	23.69	1.6	15	1.65	5	1.89	7.2	< 0.1	0.07	0.1	< 0.02	0.14	11.7	6	0.04
LXGB-WGOVB-2021-03T	Till	293542	5007139	West Pit	0.05	2.04	26	31	0.4	0.14	0.02	0.02	35.21	3.1	21	2.06	8.2	2.5	6.8	< 0.1	0.07	0.09	< 0.02	0.12	15.9	15	0.04
LXGB-WGOVB-2021-04T	Till	293817	5007086	West Pit	0.06	2.15	33	32	0.4	0.2	0.02	0.03	38.59	3	26	2.77	10.5	3.37	9.3	< 0.1	0.06	0.11	< 0.02	0.14	18.1	15	0.05

Notes: AUCCA - Average Upper Continental Crustal Abundance Rudnick, R.L. and S. Gao (2014). Composition of the Continental Crust. In: Holland, H. and Turekian, K. (eds). Treatise on Geochemistry 2nd Edition, Vol. 4, pp. 1-51. Oxford, UK, Elsevier Ltd. Light grey shading indicates a value greater than 3x the AUCCA Dark grey shading indicates a value greater than 10x the AUCCA

Appendix C.4: Overburden Static Test Results, Table 2: Solid Phase Metals Results

Sample ID	Mg	Mn	Mo	Na	Nb	Ni	P	Pb	Rb	S	Sb	Sc	Se	Sn	Sr	Та	Tb	Te	Th	Ti	Tl	U	V	W	Y	Yb	Zn	Zr
Units	%	ppm	ppm	%	ppm	ppm	%	ppm	ppm	%	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	%	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm
AUCCA (Rudnick and Gao, 2014)	1.5	774	1.1	2.43	12	47	0.0655	17	84	0.0621	0.4	14	0.09	2.1	320	0.9	0.9	-	10.5	0.384	0.9	2.7	97	1.9	21	2	67	193
LXGB-EGOVB-2021-01S	0.13	110	0.5	0.01	1.84	4	0.03	13.2	4.5	0.06	0.25	0.8	1	0.7	18.9	< 0.05	0.13	< 0.05	2.2	0.05	0.06	0.41	17	0.8	1.66	0.1	16	3.3
LXGB-EGOVB-2021-02S	0.14	30	0.28	0.02	0.33	2	0.04	7.1	1.7	0.12	0.28	0.2	2	0.3	46.2	< 0.05	0.03	< 0.05	0.2	< 0.01	0.03	0.1	4	0.5	0.41	< 0.1	23	1.4
LXGB-EGOVB-2021-03S	0.06	169	0.43	0.01	0.58	2	0.04	27.1	3.5	0.09	0.4	0.4	1	0.9	25.9	< 0.05	0.06	< 0.05	0.7	0.02	0.06	0.19	7	0.4	0.85	< 0.1	44	1.7
LXGB-EGOVB-2021-04S	0.1	10	0.35	0.04	0.16	2	0.04	32.5	1.7	0.13	0.5	0.1	2	0.9	21.1	< 0.05	< 0.02	< 0.05	< 0.1	< 0.01	0.05	0.06	3	0.3	0.36	< 0.1	10	< 0.5
LXGB-2021-TMFOB-01s	0.10	16	0.29	0.04	0.37	5	0.03	14.1	2.2	0.11	0.24	0.2	4	0.4	15.7	0.07	0.03	< 0.05	< 0.1	< 0.01	0.06	0.13	3	< 0.1	0.44	< 0.1	9	< 0.5
LXGB-2021-TMFOB-02s	0.10	110	0.18	0.02	0.41	3	0.06	16.6	3.8	0.13	0.2	0.3	2	0.4	40.7	0.06	0.03	< 0.05	0.4	< 0.01	0.08	0.12	5	< 0.1	0.49	< 0.1	48	< 0.5
LXGB-2021-TMFOB-03s	0.16	24	0.24	0.05	0.25	4	0.04	42.8	2.1	0.14	0.43	0.2	3	0.7	27.5	0.06	< 0.02	< 0.05	< 0.1	< 0.01	0.05	0.05	4	< 0.1	0.29	< 0.1	33	< 0.5
TP21-137S	0.5	371	0.55	0.02	1.89	19	0.06	7.7	32.4	< 0.01	< 0.05	3.1	<1	0.5	5.1	< 0.05	0.64	< 0.05	9	0.1	0.23	1.15	24	0.2	8.86	0.7	42	5.5
LXGB-WGOVB-2021-01S	0.11	29	0.42	0.02	0.34	3	0.05	29.3	1.4	0.15	0.5	0.3	2	1.1	37.7	< 0.05	0.03	< 0.05	0.3	< 0.01	0.03	0.13	5	0.3	0.44	< 0.1	15	1.9
LXGB-WGOVB-2021-02S	0.28	189	0.76	0.02	2.87	9	0.02	39.7	10.1	0.03	0.45	1.8	<1	3	3.2	< 0.05	0.12	< 0.05	1.6	0.1	0.1	0.57	29	1.5	2.29	0.3	23	6.9
LXGB-WGOVB-2021-03S	0.29	195	0.64	0.02	3.51	11	0.03	16.6	11.9	0.03	0.72	2.3	2	1	4.9	< 0.05	0.19	< 0.05	3.8	0.1	0.11	0.63	33	8.1	2.99	0.3	32	4.2
LXGB-WGOVB-2021-04S	0.12	19	0.64	0.02	0.38	3	0.06	43	5.1	0.14	0.54	0.4	2	1.3	31.5	< 0.05	0.03	< 0.05	0.3	0.01	0.05	0.14	6	0.3	0.54	< 0.1	19	1.9
LXGB-EGOVB-2021-01T	0.12	143	0.43	0.02	1.83	4	0.02	5.3	5.2	0.02	0.09	1	<1	0.5	4.7	< 0.05	0.17	< 0.05	3.6	0.06	0.06	0.58	18	0.3	2.33	0.2	10	4.4
LXGB-EGOVB-2021-02T	0.07	130	0.43	0.02	2.11	3	0.01	4.7	3.1	0.01	0.09	0.8	<1	0.5	2.5	< 0.05	0.16	< 0.05	3.8	0.06	0.05	0.54	20	0.2	2.03	0.2	7	3.8
LXGB-EGOVB-2021-03T	0.17	150	0.47	0.02	2.3	6	0.02	6.5	5.1	0.02	0.11	1.6	1	0.6	3.2	< 0.05	0.23	< 0.05	4.6	0.07	0.06	0.65	23	0.2	2.99	0.2	17	2.5
LXGB-EGOVB-2021-04T	0.15	142	0.43	0.02	1.67	5	0.01	4.4	5.4	0.01	0.06	1.1	1	0.4	2.4	< 0.05	0.2	< 0.05	3.7	0.06	0.06	0.56	17	0.2	2.59	0.2	10	1.4
TP21-A09T	0.25	260	0.28	0.03	0.95	9	0.06	3	14	< 0.01	< 0.05	1.5	<1	0.3	4.9	< 0.05	0.41	< 0.05	6.5	0.06	0.09	0.94	13	0.2	5.86	0.4	18	4.1
GBLX-Portal-OB	0.57	440	0.38	0.03	1.57	21	0.05	19	32.6	0.03	0.66	3.1	<1	0.7	8.1	< 0.05	0.37	0.08	5.4	0.09	0.22	0.92	28	0.2	7.13	0.5	46	5.3
LXGB-2021-TMFOB-01t	0.06	104	0.28	0.02	2.26	3	0.01	4.1	3.7	0.01	< 0.05	1	1	0.5	2	< 0.05	0.23	< 0.05	4.7	0.07	0.05	0.75	18	< 0.1	2.89	0.2	5	0.9
LXGB-2021-TMFOB-02t	0.10	146	0.38	0.02	2	4	0.02	5	4.6	< 0.01	0.05	1.1	<1	0.7	2.1	< 0.05	0.31	< 0.05	6.1	0.07	0.06	0.94	27	< 0.1	3.49	0.2	7	0.8
LXGB-2021-TMFOB-03t	0.09	142	0.35	0.02	3.34	5	0.01	7.1	4.8	0.02	0.05	1.1	1	0.6	1.9	< 0.05	0.19	< 0.05	4.7	0.1	0.05	0.74	31	< 0.1	2.66	0.2	7	1.7
TMF Till composite	0.08	109	0.37	0.02	2.23	3	0.01	5.3	3.9	0.01	< 0.05	0.9	1	0.5	2	< 0.05	0.21	< 0.05	4.7	0.08	0.04	0.62	25	< 0.1	2.59	0.1	8	1.2
TP21-131T	0.33	331	0.27	0.03	1.41	12	0.05	4.8	21.5	< 0.01	< 0.05	2.1	<1	0.3	4.8	< 0.05	0.43	< 0.05	7.2	0.08	0.15	0.84	17	0.2	6.16	0.4	25	4.1
TP21-137T	0.16	99	0.74	0.02	1.58	7	0.06	18.9	10.5	0.17	0.28	0.8	4	1	7.1	< 0.05	0.43	< 0.05	0.4	0.04	0.1	1.16	13	0.2	5.93	0.4	16	1.9
LXGB-WGOVB-2021-01T	0.14	135	0.45	0.01	3.43	5	0.03	8.2	5.8	0.03	0.19	1.7	1	0.8	3.7	< 0.05	0.23	< 0.05	4.3	0.09	0.08	0.74	38	0.5	2.78	0.3	13	4.9
LXGB-WGOVB-2021-02T	0.15	171	0.55	0.02	3.02	5	0.01	112	8.8	0.02	0.32	1.4	<1	2	2.7	< 0.05	0.16	< 0.05	3	0.11	0.09	0.65	30	0.6	2.53	0.3	14	5.8
LXGB-WGOVB-2021-03T	0.25	171	0.45	0.02	3.39	9	0.02	9.8	9.1	0.03	0.17	2.3	1	0.8	2.8	< 0.05	0.24	< 0.05	5.3	0.1	0.09	0.76	32	1	3.32	0.3	23	4.5
LXGB-WGOVB-2021-04T	0.28	184	0.62	0.02	4.14	9	0.03	13.8	10.2	0.03	0.22	2.4	2	1	2.9	< 0.05	0.3	< 0.05	4.5	0.11	0.1	0.95	41	0.3	4.07	0.3	23	4.8

Notes: AUCCA - Average Upper Continental Crustal Abundance Rudnick, R.L. and S. Gao (2014). Composition of the Continental Crust. In: Holland, H. and Turekian, K. (eds). Treatise on Geochemistry 2nd Edition, Vol. 4, pp. 1-51. Oxford, UK, Elsevier Ltd. Light grey shading indicates a value greater than 3x the AUCCA Dark grey shading indicates a value greater than 10x the AUCCA

Appendix C.4: Overburden Static Test Results, Table 3: Shake Flask Extraction Results

Sample ID	Units	Tier 1 EQS	LXGB-EGOVB- 2021-02S	LXGB-2021- TMFOB-01s	LXGB-2021- TMFOB-02s	LXGB-2021- TMFOB-03s	LXGB-WGOVB- 2021-02S	LXGB-EGOVB- 2021-02T	LXGB-EGOVB- 2021-03T	GBLX-Portal- OB	LXGB-2021- TMFOB-01t	LXGB-2021- TMFOB-02t	LXGB-2021- TMFOB-03t	TMF Till composite	LXGB-WGOVB- 2021-02T	LXGB-WGOVB- 2021-04T
Material			Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Till	Till	Till	Till	Till	Till	Till	Till	Till
Location			East Pit	TMF	TMF	TMF	West Pit	East Pit	East Pit	Portal	TMF	TMF	TMF	TMF	West Pit	West Pit
Volume Nanopure Water	mL	-	900	850	1550	1750	750	750	750	750	750	750	750	750	750	750
Sample Weight	g	-	100	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	250
pH	-	6.5-9.0	3.80	3.48	4.28	3.32	4.76	<i>4.98</i>	6.06	4.05	4.28	5.49	4.18	6.31	5.77	4.91
Redox	mV	-	386	-	-	-	297	301	271	-	-	-	-	-	207	357
Conductivity	µS/cm	-	256	737	341	618	78	35	37	234	268	39	323	44	81	82
Acidity (to pH 4.5)	mg CaCO ₃ /L	-	15.0	48.4	2.3	47.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.2	9.1	0.0	11.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Acidity (to pH 8.3)	mg CaCO ₃ /L	-	44.6	119.3	51.4	94.6	14.5	8.7	4.9	44.4	50.7	8.9	62.5	10.5	13.9	14.0
Alkalinity	mg CaCO ₃ /L	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.7	2.8	4.2	0.0	0.0	5.4	0.0	7.1	5.2	2.6
Bromide	mg/L	-	< 0.3	<0.3	< 0.3	0.4	<0.3	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.3	<0.3	<0.3	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.3	<0.3
Chloride	mg/L	120	56	190	61	120	4	5	5	56	64	5	80	6	6	25
Fluoride	mg/L	0.12	0.13	<0.06	< 0.06	<0.06	<0.06	< 0.06	<0.06	<0.06	<0.06	<0.06	<0.06	<0.06	<0.06	<0.06
Nitrate (N)	mg/L	13	0.62	1.36	0.26	0.32	4.55	<0.06	0.08	0.82	0.79	0.33	0.81	0.18	5.32	0.37
Nitrite (N)	mg/L	0.06	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03
Ammonia (N)	mg/L	5.7	0.5	3.0	10.3	1.4	1.0	0.2	0.3	<0.1	0.2	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.2
Dissolved Organic Carbon	mg/L	-	103	48	180	138	40	37	32	6	35	36	42	38	23	59
Phosphorus (total reactive)	mg/L	-	0.42	0.09	4.40	4.97	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	NSS 1.0	<0.03
Sulphate	mg/L	128	9.8	14.5	29	20.5	3.1	2	5.4	9.6	9.2	1.9	3.0	2.6	1.8	1.8
Ion Balance	/ T		1.920	E 74E	2 220	2.922	0.525	0.029	0.201	1.922	2.047	0.210	2 295	0.29	0.000	0.820
Major Anions Major Cations	meq/L	-	1.829	5.745	2.329	3.822	0.555	0.238	0.301	1.832	2.047	0.510	2.383	0.38	0.090	0.820
Difference	meq/L	-	2.51	4.000	0.7192	4.339	0.84	0.30	0.46	0.1104	2.202	0.320	2.701	200/	0.71	0.69
Difference Palance (%)	0/	-	-0.461	1.0789	-0.7162	-0.3177	-0.3009	-0.3200	-0.1734	20/	-0.133	-0.2093	-0.3738	-20%	-0.0100	-0.0009
Dissolved Metals	70	-	-1270	1070	-1370	-0%	-2270	-4170	-2370	370	-470	-2.370	- / 70	-2.170	-1 70	-470
Hardness	mg/I		46.6	57.9	12.3	65.6	15.5	9.08	8 74	27.3	32.8	63	35.8	85	16.1	15.4
Aluminum	mg/L mg/I	0.005	6.27	18.6	2.88	10.3	1.65	1.50	0.955	7 97	10.6	1.54	14.1	1.49	1 / 9	2.58
Antimony	mg/L mg/L	0.009	<0.0009	<0.0009	<0.0009	<0.0009	0.0052	<0.0009	<0.0009	<0.0009	<0.0009	<0.0009	<0.0009	<0.0009	<0.0009	<0.0009
Arsenic	mg/L mg/L	0.005	0.0122	0.0045	0.0072	0.0069	0.0032	0.0033	0.0031	0.0170	0.0007	0.000	0.0025	0.0007	0.0055	0.0025
Barium	mg/L mg/L	1	0.0339	0.348	0.0691	0.0328	0.0380	0.00852	0.00611	0.00471	0.0112	0.0101	0.0025	0.00893	0.0433	0.0249
Bervllium	mg/L	0.00015	0.000120	0.000330	0.000110	0.000375	0.000070	0.000040	0.000020	0.000113	0.000144	0.000021	0.000181	0.000020	0.000064	0.000043
Bismuth	mg/L	-	0.00002	0.00003	0.00005	0.00002	0.00001	0.00001	0.00001	< 0.00001	<0.00001	<0.00001	< 0.00001	< 0.00001	<0.00001	<0.0001
Boron	mg/L	1.5	0.036	0.100	0.092	0.129	0.052	0.037	0.033	0.020	0.024	0.013	0.030	0.021	0.023	0.017
Cadmium	mg/L	0.00009	0.000130	0.000180	0.000640	0.000560	0.000130	0.000030	0.000030	0.000112	0.000111	0.000029	0.000073	0.000022	0.000228	0.000046
Calcium	mg/L	-	10.8	19.0	10.5	14.6	3.08	1.97	2.10	7.45	8.72	1.49	12.3	2.00	3.20	3.57
Chromium	mg/L	0.001	0.00177	0.00093	0.00333	0.00209	0.00217	0.00253	0.00319	0.00074	0.00377	0.00242	0.00373	0.00313	0.00178	0.00191
Cobalt	mg/L	0.001	0.00118	0.00109	0.00158	0.00145	0.000940	0.000210	0.000230	0.000808	0.000831	0.000336	0.000634	0.000692	0.000851	0.000429
Copper	mg/L	0.002	0.0054	0.0042	0.0105	0.0105	0.0079	0.0023	0.0020	0.0031	0.0041	0.0021	0.0034	0.0025	0.0034	0.0027
Iron	mg/L	0.3	0.190	0.150	0.530	0.420	0.810	0.670	0.260	0.049	0.243	0.502	0.301	0.524	0.404	0.134
Lead	mg/L	0.001	0.00330	0.0123	0.0114	0.0168	0.00344	0.00059	0.00052	0.00129	0.00156	0.00132	0.00397	0.00108	0.0139	0.00075
Lithium	mg/L	-	0.0094	0.0162	0.0083	0.0180	0.0057	0.0012	0.0011	0.0100	0.0121	0.0009	0.0108	0.0011	0.0016	0.0030
Magnesium	mg/L	-	4.77	2.54	3.88	7.12	1.89	1.01	0.850	2.11	2.68	0.628	1.25	0.845	1.97	1.58
Manganese	mg/L	0.43	0.0454	0.0176	0.175	0.0923	0.102	0.0105	0.0295	0.0800	0.0519	0.00650	0.0274	0.00933	0.239	0.0165
Mercury	ug/L	0.026	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
Molybdenum	mg/L	0.073	0.00572	0.00150	0.00198	0.00859	0.00835	0.00314	0.0116	0.00068	0.00110	0.00015	0.00054	0.00009	0.00039	0.00079
Nickel	mg/L	0.025	0.0030	0.0037	0.0014	0.0043	0.0031	0.0005	0.0007	0.0022	0.0023	0.0007	0.0025	0.0008	0.0020	0.0013
Phosphorus	mg/L	-	0.930	0.060	4.93	6.14	0.100	0.030	0.050	0.003	0.011	0.026	0.017	0.021	0.048	0.031
Potassium	mg/L	-	4.48	6.22	20.4	11.8	2.93	1.42	2.12	1.65	2.16	1.10	2.15	1.08	2.88	1.46
Selenium	mg/L	0.001	0.00155	0.00010	0.00097	0.00048	0.00182	0.00100	0.00116	0.00033	0.00070	0.00150	0.00125	0.00143	0.00080	0.00167
Silicon	mg/L	-	1.37	2.61	4.00	2.03	1.63	3.99	6.44	2.08	6.05	2.68	4.19	5.47	2.04	3.02
Silver	mg/L	0.00025	<0.00005	<0.00005	<0.00005	<0.00005	<0.00005	<0.00005	<0.00005	<0.00005	<0.00005	<0.00005	<0.00005	<0.00005	<0.00005	<0.00005
Socium	mg/L	-	8.10	10.0	11.9	21.0	5.10	2.52	2.29	3.26	5.21	2.80	/.06	3.63	1.83	5.01
Strontium	mg/L	21	0.0612	0.163	0.0944	0.101	0.0152	0.00969	0.00/6/	0.0554	0.0645	0.0103	0.114	0.0142	0.0222	0.01/3
Thallium	mg/L	-	10	9	4	0.000220	0.000150	0	0 000050	0,000000	0.000022	<1	1	<1	<u> </u>	2
Tin	mg/L	0.0008	0.000070	0.000210	0.000270	0.000220	0.000150	0.000030	0.000050	<0.00006	0.000032	0.000037	0.000017	0.000020	0.000107	0.000025
Titanium	mg/L	-	0.00100	<0.00052	0.00001	0.00030	0.00038	0.00185	0.014/	<0.0000	0.00020	0.00027	0.00017	0.00021	0.0000/	0.00032
Uranium	mg/L mg/I	- 0.015	0.00433	0.00005	0.0131	0.00142	0.0139	0.0216	0.0151	0.00093	0.00340	0.0208	0.00211	0.0422	0.0133	0.00231
Vanadium	mg/L mg/I	0.015	0.000300	0.00100	0.000150	0.000210	0.000440	0.000200	0.00132	0.000109	0.000129	0.00099	0.000130	0.000009	0.00002	0.000085
Zinc	mg/L mg/I	0.12	0.173	0.164	0.108	0.00411	0.00274	0.00207	0.00303	0.00039	0.184	0.00146	0.00082	0.00151	0.00100	0.054
Zirconium	mg/L mg/I	-	<0.002	<0.104	<0.100	<0.002	<0.040	<0.027	0.027	<0.002	<0.002	<0.004	<0.090	<0.003	<0.009	<0.004
	Ing/L	-	N0.002	\0.002	\0.002	N0.002	<0.002	N0.002	0.002	N0.002	N0.002	<u>\0.002</u>	<u>\0.002</u>	NO.002	N0.002	<u>\0.002</u>

Notes: Tier 1 EQS: Nova Scotia Tier 1 Environmental Quality Standards Nova Scotia Environment (NSE) (2021). Table 3 - Nova Scotia Tier 1 Environmental Quality Standards (EQS) for Surface Water and Groundwater Discharging to Surface Water (µg/L). In Notification of Contamination Protocol. Revised September 30, 2021

Bold italic text indicates a pH outside of the Tier 1 EQS pH range. Grey shading indicates a concentration above the Tier 1 EQS.

Appendix D: Kinetic Test Results

Appendix D.1: Waste Rock Humidity Cell Results Appendix D.2: Field Bin Results Appendix D.3: Tailings Humidity Cell Results Appendix D.4: Saturated Tailings Column Results



Cell No.	Sample ID	Sample Type	Method Reference	Column Dimensions		Column Packing			Total Volume of Initial Flushings	Flushing Rate/Weekly Input*	Temp	Sampling Frequency	Start-up Date	Sampling Day	Operation Procedure	Sample Prep for Flushings
				Inner Diameter (cm)	Length (cm)	Dry Wt. of Sample (kg)	Other Materials Used	Column Material	(mL)	(mL)	(°C)		2021			
GB_HC1	NPAG Argillite	Waste Rock	MEND	10.20	25.50	1.00	Plexiglas perforated disk & nylon mesh	Plexiglas	500	500	20-22 °C	Weekly	30-Jun	Wednesday	Flood Leach	None
GB_HC2	PAG1 Argillite	Waste Rock	MEND	10.20	25.50	1.00	Plexiglas perforated disk & nylon mesh	Plexiglas	500	500	20-22 °C	Weekly	30-Jun	Wednesday	Flood Leach	None
GB_HC3	NPAG Greywacke	Waste Rock	MEND	10.20	25.50	1.00	Plexiglas perforated disk & nylon mesh	Plexiglas	500	500	20-22 °C	Weekly	30-Jun	Wednesday	Flood Leach	None
GB_HC4	PAG1 Greywacke	Waste Rock	MEND	10.20	25.50	1.00	Plexiglas perforated disk & nylon mesh	Plexiglas	500	500	20-22 °C	Weekly	30-Jun	Wednesday	Flood Leach	None
GB_HC5	PAG2 Mixed	Waste Rock	MEND	10.20	25.50	1.00	Plexiglas perforated disk & nylon mesh	Plexiglas	500	500	20-22 °C	Weekly	27-Jul	Tuesday	Flood Leach	None
GB_HC6	Marker Unit	Waste Rock	MEND	10.20	25.50	1.00	Plexiglas perforated disk & nylon mesh	Plexiglas	500	500	20-22 °C	Weekly	27-Jul	Tuesday	Flood Leach	None

Appendix D.1: Waste Rock Humidity Cell Results, Table 1: Cell Description

Appendix D.1: Waste Rock Humidity Cell Results, Table 2: HC1 Results

GB_HC1

NPAG Argillite

Date	Cycle No	Volu	me mL	pН	Cond.	Acidity	Alkalinity	Sulphate	Chloride	Fluoride	Al	Sb	As	Ba	Be	Bi	В	Cd	Ca	Cr	Со	Cu
		Input	Output			(pH 8.3)																
					umhos/cm	mgCaCO ₃ /L	mgCaCO ₃ /L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
30-Jun-21	0	1000	864	8.7	31	<1	9.0	<1	<1	<0.03	0.12	<0.00045	0.068	0.00074	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.0030	<0.0000015	2.1	<0.00004	0.00014	0.00030
07-Jul-21	1	1000	924	7.8	21	<1	16.0	<1	<1	<0.03	0.079	0.0010	0.074	0.00062	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.0050	<0.0000015	1.7	<0.00004	0.000091	<0.0001
14-Jul-21	2	1000	944	7.1	16	<1	6.0	<1	<1	<0.03	0.087	<0.00045	0.068	0.00058	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.0020	<0.0000015	1.2	<0.00004	0.000051	<0.0001
21-Jul-21	3	1000	939	7.4	15	<1	6.0	<1	<1	<0.03	0.089	<0.00045	0.075	0.00070	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.0050	0.0000030	0.99	<0.00004	0.000057	<0.0001
27-Jul-21	4	1000	950	8.3	14			<1														
04-Aug-21	5	1000	942	7.2	17	<1	5.0	<1	<1	<0.03	0.057	<0.00045	0.074	0.00052	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.002	<0.0000015	0.95	<0.00004	0.000045	<0.0001
11-Aug-21	6	1000	947	7.2	12			<1														
18-Aug-21	7	1000	949	7.3	10	<1	4.0	<1	<1	<0.03	0.053	<0.00045	0.069	0.00036	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	0.0000040	0.76	0.000090	0.000040	<0.0001
25-Aug-21	8	1000	909	7.6	15			<1														
01-Sep-21	9	1000	1032	7.2	13	<1	6.0	<1	<1	<0.03	0.031	0.0014	0.090	0.00073	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.030	0.0000090	1.5	<0.00004	0.000079	<0.0001
08-Sep-21	10	1000	968	7.2	10			<1														
15-Sep-21	11	1000	939	7.0	7.0	<1	3.0	<1	<1	<0.03	0.075	<0.00045	0.076	0.00056	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	<0.0000015	0.85	<0.00004	0.000058	<0.0001
22-Sep-21	12	1000	950	7.0	10																	
29-Sep-21	13	1000	917	7.0	8.0	<1	5.0	<1	<0.5	<0.03	0.065	<0.00045	0.076	0.00064	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	<0.0000015	0.84	<0.00004	0.000048	<0.0001
06-Oct-21	14	1000	947	7.0	10.0			<1														
13-Oct-21	15	1000	941	7.2	10.0	<1	3.0	<1	<0.5	<0.03	0.055	<0.00045	0.058	0.00044	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	<0.0000015	0.72	<0.00004	0.000011	0.00080
20-Oct-21	16	1000	956	6.9	10.0			<1														
27-Oct-21	17	1000	933	7.2	13.0	<1	5.0	<1	<0.5	<0.03	0.120	<0.00045	0.079	0.00088	0.000007	<0.000005	<0.001	0.0000040	1.18	0.000100	0.000089	<0.0001
03-Nov-21	18	1000	926	7.1	10.0			<1														
10-Nov-21	19	1000	942	6.91	8	<1	4	<1	<0.5	<0.03	0.062	<0.00045	0.077	0.00064	<0.000035	0.00002	<0.001	<0.0000015	0.95	0.00013	0.000063	<0.0001
17-Nov-21	20	1000	913	7.08	10			<1														
24-Nov-21	21	1000	938	7.05	8	<1	4	<1	<0.5	<0.03	0.063	<0.00045	0.0678	0.00066	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	0.000008	0.91	0.00009	0.000077	<0.0001
01-Dec-21	22	1000	942	6.94	9			<1														
08-Dec-21	23	1000	937	7.11	11	<1	4	<1	<0.5	<0.03	0.065	<0.00045	0.0699	0.00056	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	0.000004	0.94	<0.00004	0.000057	<0.0001
15-Dec-21	24	1000	916	7.36	23			<1														
22-Dec-21	25	1000	927	6.9	11	<1	5	<1	<0.5	<0.03	0.046	<0.00045	0.0711	0.00069	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	<0.0000015	0.99	<0.00004	0.000084	0.0002
29-Dec-21	26	1000	913	6.81	7			<1														
05-Jan-21	27	1000	939	6.8	12.0	<1	3.0	<1	<0.5	<0.03	0.050	<0.00045	0.064	0.00062	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	<0.0000015	0.90	<0.00004	0.000051	<0.0001
12-Jan-22	28	1000	934	6.9	10.0			<1														
19-Jan-22	29	1000	920	7.2	7.0	<1	3.0	<1	<0.5	<0.03	0.051	<0.00045	0.059	0.00042	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	0.000003	0.85	<0.00004	0.000052	<0.0001
26-Jan-22	30	1000	921	6.7	13.0			<1														
02-Feb-22	31	1000	935	7.0	8.0	<1	3.0	<1	<0.5	<0.03	0.043	<0.00045	0.059	0.00047	<0.000035	< 0.000005	<0.001	<0.0000015	0.93	<0.00004	0.000061	<0.0001
09-Feb-22	32	1000	934	6.9	9.0			<1														

Notes:

Appendix D.1: Waste Rock Humidity Cell Results, Table 2: HC1 Results GB_HC1

NPAG Argillite

Date	Cycle No	Fe	Pb	Li	Mg	Mn	Hg	Мо	Ni	K	Se	Ag	Na	Sr	Tl	Sn	Ti	U	V	W	Y	Zn	SGS File #
		mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	ug/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	
30-Jun-21	0	0.011	<0.000045	0.0028	0.32	0.016	<0.000005	0.00041	0.00030	3.7	0.000040	<0.000025	1.7	0.029	0.0000050	0.00012	0.00086	0.00011	0.0010	0.0012	0.000040	0.0020	CA10066-JUN21
07-Jul-21	1	0.015	<0.000045	0.0022	0.24	0.013	<0.000005	0.00052	0.00020	2.4	<0.00002	<0.000025	1.3	0.024	<0.0000025	<0.00003	0.0011	0.00032	0.00091	0.0016	0.000070	<0.001	CA10038-JUL21
14-Jul-21	2	0.015	<0.000045	0.0021	0.19	0.011	<0.000005	0.00034	0.00010	1.9	<0.00002	<0.000025	1.1	0.015	<0.0000025	<0.00003	0.0016	0.00035	0.00086	0.0012	0.000060	<0.001	CA10069-JUL21
21-Jul-21	3	0.023	0.00015	0.0028	0.18	0.010	<0.000005	0.00027	<0.00005	1.4	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.98	0.016	<0.000025	<0.00003	0.0023	0.00032	0.00090	0.0012	0.000080	<0.001	CA10170-JUL21
27-Jul-21	4																						CA10229-JUL21
04-Aug-21	5	0.013	<0.000045	0.0011	0.154	0.00956	<0.000005	0.00015	0.0009	1.46	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.55	0.0155	<0.0000025	<0.00003	0.00074	0.00034	0.00078	0.00059	0.000040	<0.001	CA10034-AUG21
11-Aug-21	6																						CA10072-AUG21
18-Aug-21	7	0.010	0.00014	0.00090	0.15	0.0080	<0.000005	0.0066	0.00010	1.2	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.36	0.015	<0.0000025	<0.00003	0.00073	0.00034	0.00061	0.00040	0.000030	<0.001	CA10107-AUG21
25-Aug-21	8																						CA10240-AUG21
01-Sep-21	9	<0.0035	<0.000045	0.0019	0.27	0.017	<0.000005	0.00075	<0.00005	1.4	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.20	0.025	<0.0000025	<0.00003	0.00058	0.00089	0.00062	0.0014	0.000020	0.0020	CA10011-SEP21
08-Sep-21	10																						CA10043-SEP21
15-Sep-21	11	0.020	<0.000045	0.0011	0.16	0.0081	<0.000005	0.00011	<0.00005	1.1	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.22	0.013	<0.0000025	<0.00003	0.0013	0.00039	0.00055	0.00023	0.000040	<0.001	CA10083-SEP21
22-Sep-21	12																						CA10135-SEP21
29-Sep-21	13	0.012	<0.000045	0.0010	0.16	0.0077	0.000010	0.000080	<0.00005	1.2	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.18	0.015	<0.0000025	<0.00003	0.0011	0.00040	0.00057	0.00026	0.000030	<0.001	CA10201-SEP21
06-Oct-21	14																						CA10030-OCT21
13-Oct-21	15	0.012	<0.000045	0.0010	0.15	0.0067	<0.000005	0.001180	0.00020	1.2	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.14	0.013	<0.0000025	<0.00003	0.0007	0.00030	0.00048	0.00018	0.000020	<0.001	CA10061-OCT21
20-Oct-21	16																						CA10095-OCT21
27-Oct-21	17	0.026	0.00011	0.0015	0.22	0.0135	<0.000005	0.005760	0.00010	1.5	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.13	0.018	<0.000025	<0.00003	0.0024	0.00029	0.00062	0.00063	0.000090	<0.001	CA10131-OCT21
03-Nov-21	18																						CA10030-NOV21
10-Nov-21	19	0.016	0.00024	0.0019	0.176	0.00721	<0.000005	0.00008	0.0001	1.35	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.13	0.015	<0.000025	<0.00003	0.00119	0.00034	0.00057	0.00025	0.00003	<0.001	CA10081-NOV21
17-Nov-21	20																						CA10130-NOV21
24-Nov-21	21	0.014	<0.000045	0.001	0.168	0.00751	<0.000005	0.0122	0.0001	1.27	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.13	0.014	<0.000025	<0.00003	0.00141	0.000223	0.00053	0.0002	0.00003	<0.001	CA10202-NOV21
01-Dec-21	22																						CA10008-DEC21
08-Dec-21	23	0.014	<0.000045	0.001	0.162	0.00583	<0.000005	0.00015	0.0001	1.22	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.45	0.0145	<0.000025	<0.00003	0.00123	0.000256	0.00059	0.00016	0.00003	<0.001	CA10041-DEC21
15-Dec-21	24																						CA10110-DEC21
22-Dec-21	25	0.012	<0.000045	0.0013	0.192	0.00649	<0.000005	0.0002	0.0001	1.2	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.09	0.0166	<0.000025	<0.00003	0.00086	0.000229	0.00055	0.00025	0.00002	<0.001	CA10162-DEC21
29-Dec-21	26																						CA10218-DEC21
05-Jan-21	27	0.008	<0.000045	0.0010	0.17	0.0070	<0.000005	0.000070	0.0001	1.0	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.07	0.014	<0.000025	<0.00003	0.0009	0.00024	0.00040	0.00011	<0.00001	<0.001	CA10033-JAN22
12-Jan-22	28																						CA10070-JAN22
19-Jan-22	29	0.009	<0.000045	0.0010	0.16	0.0048	<0.000005	0.000120	0.0001	1.2	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.27	0.014	<0.000025	<0.00003	0.0008	0.00018	0.00042	0.00014	<0.00001	<0.001	CA10131-JAN-22
26-Jan-22	30																						CA10166-JAN22
02-Feb-22	31	0.010	<0.000045	0.0012	0.16	0.0056	<0.000005	0.000130	0.0001	1.0	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.07	0.015	0.000005	<0.00003	0.0008	0.00020	0.00039	0.00015	<0.00001	<0.001	CA10031-FEB22
09-Feb-22	32																						CA10066-FEB22

Notes:

Appendix D.1: Waste Rock Humidity Cell Results, Table 3: HC2 Results

GB_HC2

PAG1 argillite

Date	Cycle No	Volu	ne mL	pН	Cond.	Acidity	Alkalinity	Sulphate	Chloride	Fluoride	Al	Sb	As	Ba	Be	Bi	В	Cd	Ca	Cr	Со	Cu
		Input	Output			(pH 8.3)																
					umhos/cm	mgCaCO ₃ /L	mgCaCO ₃ /L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
30-Jun-21	0	1000	870	8.06	67	<1	12	14	2.0	0.060	0.12	<0.00045	0.0075	0.0026	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.0030	0.0000040	4.6	0.00043	0.00021	0.0068
07-Jul-21	1	1000	968	7.30	67	<1	5.0	20	<1	<0.03	0.051	<0.00045	0.010	0.0020	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.0050	0.000013	5.1	<0.00004	0.00017	<0.0001
14-Jul-21	2	1000	938	6.71	48	<1	6.0	17	<1	<0.03	0.062	<0.00045	0.0086	0.0019	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.0030	0.0000050	4.3	<0.00004	0.000089	<0.0001
21-Jul-21	3	1000	940	7.28	35	<1	5.0	11	<1	<0.03	0.060	<0.00045	0.010	0.0013	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.0050	0.0000050	2.6	<0.00004	0.000068	0.00050
27-Jul-21	4	1000	932	7.44	30			8.0														
04-Aug-21	5	1000	935	7.09	26	<1	4	6.0	<1	<0.03	0.041	<0.00045	0.0090	0.001	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.002	<0.0000015	1.76	<0.00004	0.000047	<0.0001
11-Aug-21	6	1000	942	6.94	22			6.0														
18-Aug-21	7	1000	962	6.58	18	<1	2.0	5.0	<1	<0.03	0.030	<0.00045	0.0095	0.00073	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	<0.0000015	1.3	<0.00004	0.000053	0.00030
25-Aug-21	8	1000	925	7.21	24			6.0														
01-Sep-21	9	1000	1009	6.97	19	<1	4.0	3.0	<1	<0.03	0.021	<0.00045	0.012	0.0011	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.028	0.0000090	1.7	0.000080	0.000088	<0.0001
08-Sep-21	10	1000	986	6.89	15			3.0														
15-Sep-21	11	1000	974	6.65	12	<1	2.0	3.0	<1	<0.03	0.034	<0.00045	0.012	0.0013	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	<0.0000015	1.4	0.00010	0.000097	<0.0001
22-Sep-21	12	1000	951	6.66	14																	
29-Sep-21	13	1000	937	6.92	13	<1	2.0	3.0	<0.5	<0.03	0.028	<0.00045	0.011	0.00073	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	<0.0000015	1.1	<0.00004	0.000045	<0.0001
06-Oct-21	14	1000	921	6.34	28			3.0														
13-Oct-21	15	1000	955	6.64	14	<1	3.0	4.0	<0.5	<0.03	0.025	<0.00045	0.009	0.00077	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	<0.0000015	1.2	<0.00004	0.000061	0.00030
20-Oct-21	16	1000	952	6.68	15			4.0														
27-Oct-21	17	1000	933	6.74	18	<1	3.0	4.0	<0.5	<0.03	0.072	<0.00045	0.014	0.00116	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	<0.0000015	1.6	0.00009	0.000088	<0.0001
03-Nov-21	18	1000	938	6.74	17			4.0														
10-Nov-21	19	1000	938	6.63	16	<1	2	3	<0.5	<0.03	0.033	<0.00045	0.0114	0.00109	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	0.000005	1.84	<0.00004	0.00007	<0.0001
17-Nov-21	20	1000	916	6.74	16			4														
24-Nov-21	21	1000	933	6.71	15	<1	2	4	<0.5	<0.03	0.026	<0.00045	0.0111	0.0011	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	0.000003	1.73	<0.00004	0.000106	<0.0001
01-Dec-21	22	1000	928	6.72	17			5														
08-Dec-21	23	1000	930	6.69	15	<1	2	4	<0.5	<0.03	0.026	<0.00045	0.0107	0.00102	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	0.000003	1.75	<0.00004	0.000041	<0.0001
15-Dec-21	24	1000	925	6.58	19			5														
22-Dec-21	25	1000	945	6.51	19	<1	2	5	<0.5	<0.03	0.03	<0.00045	0.0126	0.00113	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	0.000008	1.83	<0.00004	0.000077	<0.0001
29-Dec-21	26	1000	939	6.56	13			3														
05-Jan-21	27	1000	956	6.58	13	<1	2.0	4.0	<0.5	<0.03	0.018	<0.00045	0.011	0.00113	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	<0.0000015	1.6	<0.00004	0.000075	<0.0001
12-Jan-22	28	1000	924	6.66	16			4.0														
19-Jan-22	29	1000	916	6.59	17	<1	2.0	5.0	<0.5	<0.03	0.024	<0.00045	0.012	0.00117	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	<0.0000015	2.0	<0.00004	0.000101	<0.0001
26-Jan-22	30	1000	937	6.32	19			5														
02-Feb-22	31	1000	932	6.52	18	<1	<1	6	<0.5	<0.03	0.018	<0.00045	0.0101	0.00138	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	0.000006	2.16	0.00012	0.000085	<0.0001
09-Feb-22	32	1000	952	6.57	18			5														

Appendix D.1: Waste Rock Humidity Cell Results, Table 3: HC2 Results GB_HC2

PAG1 argillite

Date	Cycle No	Fe	Pb	Li	Mg	Mn	Hg	Мо	Ni	K	Se	Ag	Na	Sr	TI	Sn	Ti	U	V	W	Y	Zn	SGS File #
		mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	ug/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	
30-Jun-21	0	0.040	0.0015	0.0049	0.59	0.016	<0.000005	0.0010	0.0038	4.9	0.000050	<0.000025	4.6	0.049	0.000011	0.00020	0.0013	0.000050	0.00042	0.00064	0.000040	0.037	CA10066-JUN21
07-Jul-21	1	<0.0035	0.00016	0.0032	0.66	0.022	<0.000005	0.0012	0.0052	3.6	0.000060	<0.000025	3.9	0.072	0.0000060	<0.00003	0.00055	0.00014	0.00024	0.00078	0.000050	<0.001	CA10038-JUL21
14-Jul-21	2	0.012	<0.000045	0.0031	0.50	0.016	<0.000005	0.00055	0.0017	2.9	<0.00002	<0.000025	2.8	0.054	0.0000060	<0.00003	0.0013	0.00011	0.00029	0.00068	0.000050	<0.001	CA10069-JUL21
21-Jul-21	3	0.021	<0.000045	0.0032	0.33	0.011	<0.000005	0.0016	0.0010	1.9	<0.00002	<0.000025	2.3	0.039	<0.0000025	<0.00003	0.0013	0.000074	0.00030	0.00066	0.000040	<0.001	CA10170-JUL21
27-Jul-21	4																						CA10229-JUL21
04-Aug-21	5	0.011	<0.000045	0.0013	0.218	0.00899	<0.000005	0.0005	0.0013	1.59	<0.00002	<0.000025	1.2	0.026	<0.0000025	<0.00003	0.00073	0.000077	0.00027	0.00043	0.000030	<0.001	CA10034-AUG21
11-Aug-21	6																						CA10072-AUG21
18-Aug-21	7	0.0070	<0.000045	0.00090	0.22	0.0082	<0.000005	0.00037	0.00030	1.3	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.67	0.024	<0.0000025	<0.00003	0.00051	0.000064	0.00021	0.00025	<0.00001	<0.001	CA10107-AUG21
25-Aug-21	8																						CA10240-AUG21
01-Sep-21	9	0.010	0.00013	0.0016	0.29	0.013	<0.000005	0.00064	<0.00005	1.3	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.34	0.025	<0.0000025	<0.00003	0.00034	0.00015	0.00021	0.00056	0.000020	0.0030	CA10011-SEP21
08-Sep-21	10																						CA10043-SEP21
15-Sep-21	11	0.012	<0.000045	0.0011	0.22	0.0094	<0.000005	0.00022	0.00020	1.1	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.31	0.019	<0.0000025	<0.00003	0.00098	0.000055	0.00021	0.00013	0.000030	<0.001	CA10083-SEP21
22-Sep-21	12																						CA10135-SEP21
29-Sep-21	13	0.0080	<0.000045	0.0010	0.21	0.0069	0.000020	0.00024	<0.00005	1.1	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.28	0.018	<0.0000025	<0.00003	0.00083	0.000030	0.00012	0.000090	0.000020	<0.001	CA10201-SEP21
06-Oct-21	14																						CA10030-OCT21
13-Oct-21	15	0.0120	<0.000045	0.0011	0.21	0.0076	<0.000005	0.00021	0.00020	1.1	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.21	0.020	<0.0000025	<0.00003	0.00164	0.000046	0.00017	0.000110	<0.00001	<0.001	CA10061-OCT21
20-Oct-21	16																						CA10095-OCT21
27-Oct-21	17	0.0200	0.00010	0.0016	0.26	0.0125	<0.000005	0.00055	0.00030	1.4	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.18	0.023	0.000005	<0.00003	0.00167	0.000053	0.00026	0.000230	0.000040	<0.001	CA10131-OCT21
03-Nov-21	18																						CA10030-NOV21
10-Nov-21	19	0.011	<0.000045	0.0015	0.283	0.0092	<0.000005	0.00353	0.0002	1.33	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.19	0.0256	<0.0000025	<0.00003	0.00057	0.000056	0.0002	0.00011	0.00003	<0.001	CA10081-NOV21
17-Nov-21	20																						CA10130-NOV21
24-Nov-21	21	0.008	<0.000045	0.001	0.277	0.00851	<0.000005	0.00046	0.0002	1.32	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.17	0.0228	0.000006	<0.00003	0.00062	0.000048	0.00022	0.00013	0.00003	<0.001	CA10202-NOV21
01-Dec-21	22																						CA10008-DEC21
08-Dec-21	23	0.009	<0.000045	0.0012	0.247	0.00731	<0.000005	0.00034	0.0002	1.18	<0.00002	<0.000025	1.04	0.0229	0.000005	<0.00003	0.00044	0.000042	0.00037	0.00012	0.00005	0.002	CA10041-DEC21
15-Dec-21	24																						CA10110-DEC21
22-Dec-21	25	0.013	0.00019	0.0013	0.291	0.00941	<0.000005	0.00079	0.0002	1.21	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.14	0.0266	<0.0000025	<0.00003	0.00064	0.000038	0.00021	0.00022	0.00003	0.002	CA10162-DEC21
29-Dec-21	26																						CA10218-DEC21
05-Jan-21	27	<0.0035	<0.000045	0.0012	0.23	0.0084	<0.000005	0.00037	0.0003	1.0	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.10	0.021	<0.0000025	<0.00003	0.00032	0.000040	0.00015	0.000060	0.000020	<0.001	CA10033-JAN22
12-Jan-22	28																						CA10070-JAN22
19-Jan-22	29	<0.0035	<0.000045	0.0013	0.30	0.0080	<0.000005	0.00052	0.0003	1.4	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.23	0.028	<0.0000025	<0.00003	0.00041	0.000049	0.00019	0.000090	0.000030	<0.001	CA10131-JAN-22
26-Jan-22	30																						CA10166-JAN22
02-Feb-22	31	0.007	<0.000045	0.0016	0.311	0.00925	<0.000005	0.00833	0.0004	1.13	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.14	0.0289	0.000009	<0.00003	0.00053	0.000035	0.00015	0.00008	0.00003	<0.001	CA10031-FEB22
09-Feb-22	32																						CA10066-FEB22

Notes:

Appendix D.1: Waste Rock Humidity Cell Results, Table 4: HC3 Results

GB_HC3

NPAG greywacke

Date	Cycle No	Volur	ne mL	pН	Cond.	Acidity	Alkalinity	Sulphate	Chloride	Fluoride	Al	Sb	As	Ba	Be	Bi	В	Cd	Ca	Cr	Со	Cu
		Input	Output			(pH 8.3)																
					umhos/cm	mgCaCO ₃ /L	mgCaCO ₃ /L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
30-Jun-21	0	1000	860	9.51	58	< 2	26	4.0	<1	<0.03	0.18	0.0010	0.033	0.00050	<0.0000035	<0.000005	0.0050	<0.0000015	1.2	<0.00004	0.00047	0.0023
07-Jul-21	1	1000	927	9.15	44	< 2	14	4.0	<1	<0.03	0.18	0.0018	0.078	0.00052	0.0000070	<0.000005	0.0060	0.000010	0.39	0.00018	0.00023	0.00060
14-Jul-21	2	1000	919	8.45	34	< 2	14	3.0	<1	<0.03	0.18	0.0014	0.084	0.00057	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.0050	<0.0000015	0.34	<0.00004	0.00016	0.00040
21-Jul-21	3	1000	925	9.31	34	< 2	13	2.0	<1	<0.03	0.18	0.0013	0.11	0.00059	0.0000080	<0.000005	0.0070	0.0000060	0.30	0.00012	0.00016	0.0065
27-Jul-21	4	1000	922	9.4	29			2.0														
04-Aug-21	5	1000	920	8.13	29	< 2	10	<1	<1	<0.03	0.12	0.0009	0.11	0.00056	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.003	<0.0000015	0.27	<0.00004	0.000097	<0.0001
11-Aug-21	6	1000	935	7.78	24			<1														
18-Aug-21	7	1000	880	8.5	18	< 2	8.0	<1	<1	<0.03	0.089	<0.00045	0.083	0.00033	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.0030	<0.0000015	0.21	0.00011	0.000062	<0.0001
25-Aug-21	8	1000	901	8.88	22			<1														
01-Sep-21	9	1000	1042	7.38	20	< 2	11	<1	<1	<0.03	0.060	0.0015	0.081	0.00056	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.043	0.0000030	0.70	0.00011	0.000075	<0.0001
08-Sep-21	10	1000	952	7.26	13			<1														
15-Sep-21	11	1000	942	7.08	11	< 2	5.0	<1	<1	<0.03	0.075	<0.00045	0.060	0.0010	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	0.0000030	0.53	0.00010	0.000066	0.00030
22-Sep-21	12	1000	931	7.11	12																	
29-Sep-21	13	1000	913	7.38	10	< 2	5.0	<1	<0.5	<0.03	0.066	<0.00045	0.055	0.00042	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	<0.0000015	0.54	<0.00004	0.000057	<0.0001
06-Oct-21	14	1000	927	7.32	16			<1														
13-Oct-21	15	1000	938	7.07	10	<1	5.0	<1	<0.5	<0.03	0.060	<0.00045	0.042	0.00030	0.000007	<0.000005	<0.001	<0.0000015	0.56	0.00012	0.000043	0.00030
20-Oct-21	16	1000	934	7.39	13			<1														
27-Oct-21	17	1000	906	6.96	9	<1	3.0	<1	<0.5	<0.03	0.050	<0.00045	0.040	0.00033	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	<0.0000015	0.65	<0.00004	0.000075	0.00020
03-Nov-21	18	1000	941	7.07	10			<1														
10-Nov-21	19	1000	911	6.94	8	<1	3	<1	<0.5	<0.03	0.054	<0.00045	0.0472	0.00039	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	<0.0000015	0.8	0.0001	0.000062	<0.0001
17-Nov-21	20	1000	919	7.33	9			<1														
24-Nov-21	21	1000	920	6.9	8	<1	3	<1	<0.5	<0.03	0.041	<0.00045	0.0412	0.00043	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	<0.0000015	0.76	<0.00004	0.000067	<0.0001
01-Dec-21	22	1000	927	6.92	10			<1														
08-Dec-21	23	1000	933	6.98	8	<1	3	<1	<0.5	<0.03	0.054	<0.00045	0.0434	0.0004	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	0.000005	0.87	0.00035	0.000048	<0.0001
15-Dec-21	24	1000	936	7.06	9			<1														
22-Dec-21	25	1000	918	6.7	11	<1	3	<1	<0.5	<0.03	0.038	<0.00045	0.0413	0.00048	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	0.000009	0.89	<0.00004	0.000062	0.0002
29-Dec-21	26	1000	924	6.64	6			<1														
05-Jan-21	27	1000	948	6.99	6	<1	3.0	<1	<0.5	<0.03	0.039	<0.00045	0.037	0.00042	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	<0.0000015	0.83	<0.00004	0.000040	<0.0001
12-Jan-22	28	1000	909	6.82	11			<1														
19-Jan-22	29	1000	910	7.11	8	<1	3.0	<1	<0.5	<0.03	0.044	<0.00045	0.035	0.00047	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	0.000006	0.89	<0.00004	0.000059	<0.0001
26-Jan-22	30	1000	929	6.85	10			<1														
02-Feb-22	31	1000	928	6.85	7	<1	3	<1	<0.5	<0.03	0.035	<0.00045	0.0335	0.00053	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	0.000006	0.98	<0.00004	0.000071	<0.0001
09-Feb-22	32	1000	930	6.71	9			<1														

Notes:

Appendix D.1: Waste Rock Humidity Cell Results, Table 3: HC2 Results

GB_HC2

PAG1 argillite

Date	Cycle No	Fe	Pb	Li	Mg	Mn	Hg	Мо	Ni	K	Se	Ag	Na	Sr	Tl	Sn	Ti	U	V	W	Y	Zn	SGS File #
		mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	ug/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	
30-Jun-21	0	0.019	<0.000045	0.0024	0.10	0.0038	<0.000005	0.00039	0.0038	2.0	0.000050	<0.000025	9.9	0.013	<0.0000025	0.00016	0.0014	0.000046	0.0018	0.0016	0.00011	0.0020	CA10066-JUN21
07-Jul-21	1	0.026	0.00022	0.0016	0.054	0.0019	<0.000005	0.0018	0.0096	1.0	<0.00002	<0.000025	8.1	0.0054	<0.000025	0.00016	0.0017	0.00021	0.0019	0.0020	0.000080	0.0020	CA10038-JUL21
14-Jul-21	2	0.024	<0.000045	0.0016	0.048	0.0018	<0.000005	0.00070	0.0047	0.76	<0.00002	<0.000025	7.8	0.0040	<0.000025	0.00011	0.0020	0.00023	0.0018	0.0013	0.000090	<0.001	CA10069-JUL21
21-Jul-21	3	0.046	0.00054	0.0019	0.049	0.0026	<0.000005	0.00024	0.0028	0.46	<0.00002	<0.000025	7.2	0.0043	<0.000025	0.00022	0.0030	0.00021	0.0018	0.0010	0.00015	<0.001	CA10170-JUL21
27-Jul-21	4																						CA10229-JUL21
04-Aug-21	5	0.027	0.00011	0.0009	0.035	0.00213	<0.000005	0.00011	0.0016	0.639	<0.00002	<0.000025	4.96	0.00376	<0.0000025	0.000060	0.0020	0.00022	0.0013	0.00047	0.000070	<0.001	CA10034-AUG21
11-Aug-21	6																						CA10072-AUG21
18-Aug-21	7	0.017	<0.000045	0.00090	0.034	0.0016	<0.000005	0.000080	0.00030	0.54	<0.00002	<0.000025	3.6	0.0036	<0.0000025	<0.00003	0.0013	0.00022	0.00088	0.00031	0.000030	<0.001	CA10107-AUG21
25-Aug-21	8																						CA10240-AUG21
01-Sep-21	9	0.044	0.00017	0.0022	0.081	0.0065	<0.000005	0.00035	0.00010	0.87	<0.00002	<0.000025	2.9	0.012	<0.0000025	0.000070	0.00046	0.00042	0.00059	0.00043	<0.00001	0.0020	CA10011-SEP21
08-Sep-21	10																						CA10043-SEP21
15-Sep-21	11	0.030	0.00022	0.0013	0.069	0.0043	<0.000005	0.00025	0.00020	0.79	<0.00002	<0.000025	1.9	0.0072	<0.0000025	0.000070	0.0012	0.00019	0.00054	0.00010	0.000020	<0.001	CA10083-SEP21
22-Sep-21	12																						CA10135-SEP21
29-Sep-21	13	0.015	<0.000045	0.0014	0.062	0.0031	0.000010	0.00021	0.00020	0.82	<0.00002	<0.000025	1.4	0.010	<0.0000025	<0.00003	0.0011	0.00019	0.00050	0.00015	0.000020	<0.001	CA10201-SEP21
06-Oct-21	14																						CA10030-OCT21
13-Oct-21	15	0.011	<0.000045	0.0014	0.079	0.0027	<0.000005	0.00008	0.00020	0.92	<0.00002	<0.000025	1.0	0.011	<0.0000025	<0.00003	0.0009	0.00016	0.00051	0.00017	0.000020	<0.001	CA10061-OCT21
20-Oct-21	16																						CA10095-OCT21
27-Oct-21	17	0.011	<0.000045	0.0012	0.085	0.0036	<0.000005	0.00020	0.00020	0.87	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.7	0.011	<0.0000025	<0.00003	0.0009	0.00012	0.00040	0.00023	0.000020	<0.001	CA10131-OCT21
03-Nov-21	18																						CA10030-NOV21
10-Nov-21	19	0.013	<0.000045	0.0017	0.106	0.00381	<0.000005	0.00013	0.0002	1.04	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.67	0.0137	<0.0000025	<0.00003	0.00082	0.000153	0.00045	0.00013	0.00002	<0.001	CA10081-NOV21
17-Nov-21	20																						CA10130-NOV21
24-Nov-21	21	0.008	<0.000045	0.001	0.1	0.00401	<0.000005	0.00016	0.0002	0.922	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.48	0.012	<0.0000025	<0.00003	0.00064	0.000094	0.00041	0.00012	<0.00001	<0.001	CA10202-NOV21
01-Dec-21	22																						CA10008-DEC21
08-Dec-21	23	0.035	<0.000045	0.0012	0.107	0.139	<0.000005	0.00026	0.0001	0.963	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.88	0.014	0.000005	0.0001	0.00095	0.000125	0.00072	0.00011	<0.00001	<0.001	CA10041-DEC21
15-Dec-21	24																						CA10110-DEC21
22-Dec-21	25	0.012	<0.000045	0.0013	0.126	0.004	<0.000005	0.00099	0.0002	0.905	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.37	0.0152	<0.0000025	<0.00003	0.00045	0.000125	0.00038	0.00061	<0.00001	0.002	CA10162-DEC21
29-Dec-21	26																						CA10218-DEC21
05-Jan-21	27	<0.0035	<0.000045	0.0009	0.106	0.0038	<0.000005	0.00026	0.00010	0.76	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.3	0.013	<0.0000025	<0.00003	0.0004	0.00010	0.00031	0.00011	<0.00001	<0.001	CA10033-JAN22
12-Jan-22	28																						CA10070-JAN22
19-Jan-22	29	0.009	<0.000045	0.0011	0.131	0.0039	<0.000005	0.00015	0.00020	0.98	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.5	0.015	0.000005	<0.00003	0.0005	0.00009	0.00036	0.00009	0.000030	<0.001	CA10131-JAN-22
26-Jan-22	30																						CA10166-JAN22
02-Feb-22	31	0.011	<0.000045	0.0011	0.132	0.00517	<0.000005	0.00663	0.0002	0.831	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.25	0.016	<0.0000025	<0.00003	0.00051	0.000087	0.0003	0.00009	<0.00001	<0.001	CA10031-FEB22
09-Feb-22	32																						CA10066-FEB22

Notes:

Appendix D.1: Waste Rock Humidity Cell Results, Table 5: HC4 Results

GB_HC4

PAG1 greywacke

Date	Cycle No	Volu	ne mL	pН	Cond.	Acidity	Alkalinity	Sulphate	Chloride	Fluoride	Al	Sb	As	Ba	Be	Bi	В	Cd	Ca	Cr	Со	Cu
		Input	Output			(pH 8.3)																
					umhos/cm	mgCaCO ₃ /L	mgCaCO ₃ /L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
30-Jun-21	0	1000	836	7.42	70	<1	9.0	21	<1	<0.03	0.053	<0.00045	0.014	0.00055	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.0040	0.0000050	1.2	<0.00004	0.00042	0.0012
07-Jul-21	1	1000	956	6.58	72	<1	2.0	28	<1	<0.03	0.027	<0.00045	0.018	0.00093	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.0060	0.000012	1.9	<0.00004	0.00087	<0.0001
14-Jul-21	2	1000	924	6.48	61	2.0	2.0	25	<1	<0.03	0.061	<0.00045	0.018	0.0011	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.0030	<0.0000015	2.5	<0.00004	0.00044	<0.0001
21-Jul-21	3	1000	928	6.67	42	<1	2.0	16	<1	<0.03	0.070	<0.00045	0.025	0.00064	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.0060	<0.0000015	1.2	<0.00004	0.00022	0.0071
27-Jul-21	4	1000	898	7.56	32			10														
04-Aug-21	5	1000	908	6.54	26	<1	2.0	8.0	<1	<0.03	0.047	<0.00045	0.024	0.00045	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.0030	0.0000030	0.48	<0.00004	0.00013	<0.0001
11-Aug-21	6	1000	950	7.26	29			7.0														
18-Aug-21	7	1000	951	6.84	17	<1	2.0	5.0	<1	<0.03	0.047	<0.00045	0.026	0.00030	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.0030	0.0000030	0.46	0.000090	0.00011	<0.0001
25-Aug-21	8	1000	923	7.19	23			7.0														
01-Sep-21	9	1000	999	6.9	17	<1	3.0	4.0	<1	<0.03	0.017	<0.00045	0.024	0.00059	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.045	<0.0000015	0.80	<0.00004	0.00015	<0.0001
08-Sep-21	10	1000	953	6.88	14			3.0														
15-Sep-21	11	1000	948	6.67	12	2.0	<1	3.0	<1	<0.03	0.038	<0.00045	0.024	0.00056	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	<0.0000015	0.66	<0.00004	0.00016	<0.0001
22-Sep-21	12	1000	941	6.66	12																	
29-Sep-21	13	1000	890	6.77	14	<1	2.0	4.0	<0.5	<0.03	0.037	<0.00045	0.022	0.00058	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	<0.0000015	0.70	0.000090	0.00012	<0.0001
06-Oct-21	14	1000	926	6.53	13			3.0														
13-Oct-21	15	1000	938	6.61	11	<1	<1	4.0	<0.5	<0.03	0.030	<0.00045	0.019	0.00039	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	<0.0000015	0.68	<0.00004	0.00008	0.00020
20-Oct-21	16	1000	932	6.5	12			4.0														
27-Oct-21	17	1000	936	6.89	12	<1	2.0	3.0	<0.5	<0.03	0.046	<0.00045	0.025	0.00056	0.000007	<0.000005	<0.001	0.0000030	0.58	<0.00004	0.00023	<0.0001
03-Nov-21	18	1000	932	6.38	16			3.0														
10-Nov-21	19	1000	933	6.4	9	3	<1	<1	<0.5	<0.03	0.033	<0.00045	0.0231	0.00056	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	0.000006	0.81	0.00012	0.000184	<0.0001
17-Nov-21	20	1000	913	6.9	12			3														
24-Nov-21	21	1000	926	6.28	9	2	<1	3	<0.5	<0.03	0.021	<0.00045	0.0218	0.00058	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	0.000011	0.83	<0.00004	0.000223	<0.0001
01-Dec-21	22	1000	951	6.26	11			3														
08-Dec-21	23	1000	930	6.24	10	<1	<1	3	<0.5	<0.03	0.022	<0.00045	0.0243	0.00056	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	0.000004	0.84	<0.00004	0.000192	0.0003
15-Dec-21	24	1000	919	6.7	13			3														
22-Dec-21	25	1000	918	6.17	16	3	<1	4	<0.5	<0.03	0.018	<0.00045	0.0291	0.00085	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	0.000007	1.31	<0.00004	0.000221	0.0002
29-Dec-21	26	1000	918	6.26	9			3														
05-Jan-21	27	1000	952	6.65	11	3	<1	3.0	<0.5	<0.03	0.012	<0.00045	0.022	0.00052	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	<0.0000015	0.80	<0.00004	0.00022	<0.0001
12-Jan-22	28	1000	920	6.33	12			3.0														
19-Jan-22	29	1000	930	6.32	11	2	<1	4.0	<0.5	<0.03	0.022	<0.00045	0.026	0.00066	<0.000035	<0.000005	< 0.002	< 0.000003	1.05	<0.00004	0.00029	0.0004
26-Jan-22	30	1000	933	6.35	13			3														
02-Feb-22	31	1000	937	6.59	9	<1	<1	4	<0.5	<0.03	0.016	<0.00045	0.0265	0.00073	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	<0.0000015	1.03	0.00012	0.000327	<0.0001
09-Feb-22	32	1000	934	6.14	13			4														
Appendix D.1: Waste Rock Humidity Cell Results, Table 5: HC4 Results

GB_HC4

PAG1 greywacke

Date	Cycle No	Fe	Pb	Li	Mg	Mn	Hg	Мо	Ni	K	Se	Ag	Na	Sr	TI	Sn	Ti	U	V	W	Y	Zn	SGS File #
		mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	ug/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	
30-Jun-21	0	0.012	<0.000045	0.0039	0.34	0.030	<0.000005	0.0011	0.0028	2.1	0.00013	<0.000025	11	0.022	<0.000025	0.00023	0.00079	0.000038	0.00052	0.00042	0.000030	0.0020	CA10066-JUN21
07-Jul-21	1	0.0080	0.00013	0.0024	0.43	0.039	<0.000005	0.00032	0.0032	1.5	0.00015	<0.000025	9.8	0.041	<0.000025	0.00010	0.00067	0.000018	0.00043	0.00023	0.000030	<0.001	CA10038-JUL21
14-Jul-21	2	0.019	<0.000045	0.0021	0.42	0.028	0.000010	0.00036	0.0018	1.4	0.000090	<0.000025	8.6	0.045	<0.000025	0.000070	0.0022	0.000023	0.00047	0.00015	0.000040	<0.001	CA10069-JUL21
21-Jul-21	3	0.026	0.00037	0.0019	0.20	0.013	<0.000005	0.00033	0.00080	0.67	0.000070	<0.000025	6.4	0.025	<0.000025	0.000070	0.0024	0.000017	0.00057	0.00029	0.000060	0.0050	CA10170-JUL21
27-Jul-21	4																						CA10229-JUL21
04-Aug-21	5	0.024	0.00026	0.00070	0.088	0.0062	<0.000005	0.00022	0.0014	0.59	0.000040	<0.000025	3.6	0.0092	<0.000025	0.000060	0.0010	0.000021	0.00053	0.00021	0.000060	0.0020	CA10034-AUG21
11-Aug-21	6																						CA10072-AUG21
18-Aug-21	7	0.017	<0.000045	0.00070	0.092	0.0045	<0.000005	0.00081	0.00030	0.56	<0.00002	<0.000025	2.7	0.011	<0.000025	0.000070	0.00085	0.000030	0.00044	0.00018	0.000040	<0.001	CA10107-AUG21
25-Aug-21	8																						CA10240-AUG21
01-Sep-21	9	0.0070	0.00017	0.0011	0.19	0.012	<0.000005	0.00052	0.00020	0.72	<0.00002	<0.000025	2.1	0.018	<0.000025	<0.00003	0.00050	0.000027	0.00039	0.00047	<0.00001	<0.001	CA10011-SEP21
08-Sep-21	10																						CA10043-SEP21
15-Sep-21	11	0.018	0.000090	0.00080	0.13	0.0066	<0.000005	0.000060	0.00040	0.61	<0.00002	<0.000025	1.4	0.013	<0.000025	0.000060	0.0012	0.000024	0.00037	0.000080	0.000040	<0.001	CA10083-SEP21
22-Sep-21	12																						CA10135-SEP21
29-Sep-21	13	0.015	0.00010	0.00070	0.14	0.0061	<0.000005	0.00030	0.00040	0.63	<0.00002	<0.000025	1.1	0.015	<0.000025	0.000070	0.0011	0.000024	0.00035	0.00022	0.000040	0.0020	CA10201-SEP21
06-Oct-21	14																						CA10030-OCT21
13-Oct-21	15	0.011	0.00010	0.00090	0.14	0.0052	<0.000005	0.00013	0.00030	0.68	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.8	0.014	<0.000025	<0.00003	0.0006	0.000019	0.00028	0.00009	0.000020	<0.001	CA10061-OCT21
20-Oct-21	16																						CA10095-OCT21
27-Oct-21	17	0.021	0.00014	0.00140	0.14	0.0122	<0.000005	0.00102	0.00070	0.82	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.7	0.012	<0.000025	<0.00003	0.0022	0.000011	0.00041	0.00043	0.000070	<0.001	CA10131-OCT21
03-Nov-21	18																						CA10030-NOV21
10-Nov-21	19	0.017	0.00012	0.0014	0.167	0.00863	<0.000005	0.00004	0.0006	0.788	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.59	0.0153	<0.000025	<0.00003	0.00083	0.00001	0.00031	0.00008	0.00004	<0.001	CA10081-NOV21
17-Nov-21	20																						CA10130-NOV21
24-Nov-21	21	0.009	0.00013	0.0009	0.182	0.00928	<0.000005	0.00316	0.0006	0.817	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.52	0.0155	<0.000025	0.00006	0.00084	0.000009	0.0003	0.00007	0.00003	<0.001	CA10202-NOV21
01-Dec-21	22																						CA10008-DEC21
08-Dec-21	23	0.009	0.00016	0.0011	0.177	0.00941	<0.000005	0.00017	0.0005	0.77	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.71	0.0157	0.000005	<0.00003	0.00071	0.000008	0.00042	0.00007	0.00002	0.002	CA10041-DEC21
15-Dec-21	24																						CA10110-DEC21
22-Dec-21	25	0.014	0.00012	0.0013	0.238	0.0103	<0.000005	0.0013	0.0006	0.847	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.42	0.0258	<0.000025	0.00006	0.00062	0.00001	0.00031	0.00036	0.00003	0.004	CA10162-DEC21
29-Dec-21	26																						CA10218-DEC21
05-Jan-21	27	<0.0035	<0.000045	0.00100	0.17	0.0097	<0.000005	0.00171	0.00070	0.64	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.3	0.014	<0.000025	<0.00003	0.0005	0.000007	0.00021	0.00005	<0.00001	<0.001	CA10033-JAN22
12-Jan-22	28																						CA10070-JAN22
19-Jan-22	29	0.008	<0.000045	0.00130	0.22	0.0109	<0.000005	0.00016	0.00080	0.95	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.6	0.021	<0.0000025	<0.00003	0.0005	0.000008	0.00026	0.00009	0.000020	0.0030	CA10131-JAN-22
26-Jan-22	30																						CA10166-JAN22
02-Feb-22	31	0.012	<0.000045	0.0014	0.223	0.0132	<0.000005	0.0001	0.001	0.802	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.25	0.021	0.000005	<0.00003	0.00069	0.000006	0.00024	0.00006	0.00003	0.002	CA10031-FEB22
09-Feb-22	32																						CA10066-FEB22

Notes:

Appendix D.1: Waste Rock Humidity Cell Results, Table 6: HC5 Results

GB_HC5

PAG2 mix

Date	Cycle No	Volu	me mL	pH	Cond.	Acidity	Alkalinity	Sulphate	Chloride	Fluoride	Al	Sb	As	Ba	Be	Bi	B	Cd	Ca	Cr	Со	Cu
		Input	Output			(pH 8.3)																
					umhos/cm	mgCaCO ₃ /L	mgCaCO ₃ /L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
27-Jul-21	0	1000	870	8.92	34	<1	15	2.0	<1	<0.03	0.13	<0.00045	0.028	0.00058	0.0000090	<0.000005	0.0040	0.0000090	1.7	0.00060	0.000098	0.0014
03-Aug-21	1	1000	946	8.17	22	<1	9.0	2.0	<1	<0.03	0.12	0.0014	0.043	0.00055	0.0000070	<0.000005	0.0050	<0.0000015	0.64	<0.00004	0.000056	0.00050
10-Aug-21	2	1000	970	8.12	21	<1	9.0	<1	<1	<0.03	0.085	<0.00045	0.042	0.00042	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.014	0.0000040	0.46	0.000090	0.0011	0.00030
17-Aug-21	3	1000	1019	7.29	24	<1	13	3.0	<1	<0.03	0.035	0.0019	0.062	0.00030	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.0050	<0.0000015	0.55	<0.00004	0.00028	<0.0001
24-Aug-21	4	1000	966	7.3	19			3.0														
31-Aug-21	5	1000	990	7.26	17	<1	7.0	2.0	<1	<0.03	0.051	0.0020	0.10	0.00079	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.015	<0.0000015	0.95	<0.00004	0.00089	0.00030
07-Sep-21	6	1000	998	6.92	20			<1														
14-Sep-21	7	1000	1004	7.1	17	<1	6.0	<1	<0.5	<0.03	0.030	0.0013	0.085	0.00050	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.0030	0.0000040	1.1	0.000090	0.000080	<0.0001
21-Sep-21	8	1000	1002	6.95	11			<1														
28-Sep-21	9	1000	997	7.14	19	<1	4.0	<1	<0.5	<0.03	0.061	<0.00045	0.084	0.00043	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.0020	<0.0000015	0.49	0.00011	0.000059	<0.0001
05-Oct-21	10	1000	1004	7.04	14			<1														
12-Oct-21	11	1000	987	6.75	10	<1	3	<1	<0.5	<0.03	0.042	<0.00045	0.063	0.00029	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	<0.0000015	0.56	<0.00004	0.00006	<0.0001
19-Oct-21	12	1000	1014	6.73	13			<1														
26-Oct-21	13	1000	962	6.92	14	<1	3	3.0	<0.5	<0.03	0.055	<0.00045	0.055	0.00058	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.0040	<0.0000015	1.00	<0.00004	0.000052	0.00020
02-Nov-21	14	1000	979	6.88	17			4.0														
09-Nov-21	15	1000	928	6.76	17	<1	3	3	<0.5	<0.03	0.058	0.001	0.0506	0.00072	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	0.000013	1.35	<0.00004	0.00013	0.0007
16-Nov-21	16	1000	969	6.8	14			2														
23-Nov-21	17	1000	975	6.81	13	<1	3	3	<0.5	<0.03	0.022	0.0011	0.0554	0.00072	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.004	<0.0000015	1.55	0.00013	0.000131	<0.0001
30-Nov-21	18	1000	972	7.13	17			4														
07-Dec-21	19	1000	1010	6.71	19	<1	3	4	<0.5	<0.03	0.018	0.0011	0.0438	0.0008	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	<0.0000015	1.8	0.00011	0.000125	<0.0001
14-Dec-21	20	1000	1009	7.16	19			4														
21-Dec-21	21	1000	1015	6.67	19	<1	3	4	<0.5	<0.03	0.019	0.0009	0.0367	0.00102	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.009	<0.0000015	1.96	<0.00004	0.00015	<0.0001
28-Dec-21	22	1000	961	6.79	18			4														
04-Jan-22	23	1000	1004	6.74	18	<1	2	4	<0.5	<0.03	0.035	<0.00045	0.037	0.00077	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	0.000008	2.13	<0.00004	0.000274	<0.0001
11-Jan-22	24	1000	995	6.87	18			4														
18-Jan-22	25	1000	1034	6.85	17	<1	3	4	<0.5	<0.03	0.018	<0.00045	0.033	0.00069	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	0.000008	1.91	<0.00004	0.000179	<0.0001
25-Jan-22	26	1000	1013	6.97	17			2														
01-Feb-22	27	1000	947	6.82	19	<1	4	3	<0.5	<0.03	0.02	<0.00045	0.0359	0.00088	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	0.000003	2.08	<0.00004	0.000177	<0.0001
08-Feb-22	28	1000	1013	6.83	18			3														

Notes:

Appendix D.1: Waste Rock Humidity Cell Results, Table 6: HC5 Results

GB_HC5

PAG2 mix

Date	Cycle No	Fe	Pb	Li	Mg	Mn	Hg	Мо	Ni	K	Se	Ag	Na	Sr	Tl	Sn	Ti	U	V	W	Y	Zn	SGS File #
		mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	ug/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	
27-Jul-21	0	0.055	0.00013	0.0022	0.16	0.0076	<0.000005	0.00030	0.0021	1.9	<0.00002	<0.000025	3.5	0.018	0.000011	0.00029	0.0020	0.000068	0.0014	0.00053	0.000070	0.0040	CA10217-JUL21
03-Aug-21	1	0.018	<0.000045	0.0020	0.083	0.0035	<0.000005	0.00026	0.0019	1.3	0.000040	<0.000025	3.9	0.0094	<0.0000025	0.00013	0.0020	0.00018	0.0013	0.00057	0.000080	<0.001	CA10021-AUG21
10-Aug-21	2	0.021	<0.000045	0.0041	0.064	0.0031	0.000010	0.0025	0.0011	1.0	<0.00002	<0.000025	3.4	0.0065	<0.0000025	0.000090	0.0018	0.00018	0.0012	0.00042	0.000050	<0.001	CA10060-AUG21
17-Aug-21	3	<0.0035	<0.000045	0.0018	0.079	0.0038	<0.000005	0.00068	0.00090	0.83	<0.00002	<0.000025	3.2	0.011	<0.0000025	<0.00003	0.00036	0.00065	0.00065	0.00094	<0.00001	<0.001	CA10096-AUG21
24-Aug-21	4																						CA10227-AUG21
31-Aug-21	5	0.0080	0.00032	0.0022	0.14	0.0063	<0.000005	0.0012	<0.00005	1.2	<0.00002	<0.000025	2.8	0.015	0.0000060	<0.00003	0.00091	0.00069	0.00086	0.00056	0.000030	<0.001	CA10295-AUG21
07-Sep-21	6																						CA10032-SEP21
14-Sep-21	7	<0.0035	<0.000045	0.0019	0.13	0.0051	0.000010	0.000080	0.00020	1.1	<0.00002	<0.000025	1.8	0.017	<0.0000025	<0.00003	0.000090	0.00041	0.00048	0.00029	<0.00001	<0.001	CA10072-SEP21
21-Sep-21	8																						CA10122-SEP21
28-Sep-21	9	0.015	<0.000045	0.0012	0.087	0.0031	<0.000005	0.00014	<0.00005	0.95	<0.00002	<0.000025	1.3	0.0082	<0.000025	<0.00003	0.0015	0.00025	0.00067	0.00020	0.000030	<0.001	CA10188-SEP21
05-Oct-21	10																						CA10019-OCT21
12-Oct-21	11	<0.0035	<0.000045	0.0013	0.09600	0.00325	<0.000005	0.00017	<0.00005	0.957	0.000080	<0.000025	0.96	0.01000	<0.000025	0.00006	0.0009	0.000198	0.00046	0.00013	<0.00001	<0.001	CA10051-OCT21
19-Oct-21	12																						CA10082-OCT21
26-Oct-21	13	0.012	<0.000045	0.0016	0.15200	0.00399	<0.000005	0.00007	<0.0001	1.120	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.94	0.01730	<0.000025	<0.00003	0.0008	0.00017	0.00030	0.00007	0.000240	<0.001	CA10119-OCT21
02-Nov-21	14																						CA10019-NOV21
09-Nov-21	15	0.011	0.00013	0.0019	0.193	0.00472	<0.000005	0.00394	0.0005	1.14	0.00004	<0.000025	0.54	0.0242	<0.000025	0.00007	0.00063	0.000147	0.00032	0.00007	<0.00001	<0.001	CA10070-NOV21
16-Nov-21	16																						CA10116-NOV21
23-Nov-21	17	<0.0035	<0.000045	0.0017	0.225	0.00675	<0.000005	0.00725	0.0002	1.14	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.55	0.0251	<0.000025	0.0001	0.00029	0.000215	0.00039	0.00012	<0.00001	<0.001	CA10188-NOV21
30-Nov-21	18																						CA10239-NOV21
07-Dec-21	19	<0.0035	0.00013	0.0016	0.239	0.00771	<0.000005	0.00019	0.0002	1.06	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.31	0.0301	<0.000025	<0.00003	0.00033	0.000189	0.00028	0.0001	<0.00001	<0.001	CA10028-DEC21
14-Dec-21	20																						CA10094-DEC21
21-Dec-21	21	<0.0035	<0.000045	0.002	0.257	0.00772	<0.000005	0.0003	0.0002	1.07	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.6	0.0316	<0.000025	<0.00003	0.0001	0.000179	0.00024	0.0001	<0.00001	0.002	CA10146-DEC21
28-Dec-21	22																						CA10204-DEC21
04-Jan-22	23	0.01	<0.000045	0.0017	0.25200	0.01050	<0.000005	0.00007	0.0006	1.170	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.29	0.03100	<0.000025	<0.00003	0.00	0.000133	0.00	0.00006	<0.00001	<0.001	CA10016-JAN22
11-Jan-22	24																						CA10054-JAN22
18-Jan-22	25	< 0.0035	<0.000045	0.0015	0.23600	0.00903	<0.000005	0.00011	0.0002	0.956	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.21	0.03000	<0.000025	<0.00003	0.00	0.000151	0.00	0.00006	<0.00001	<0.001	CA10116-JAN33
25-Jan-22	26																						CA10151-JAN22
01-Feb-22	27	0.008	<0.000045	0.0015	0.259	0.0097	<0.000005	0.00008	0.0002	1.04	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.2	0.03057	<0.0000025	<0.00003	0.00014	0.000127	0.00028	0.00007	<0.00001	<0.001	CA10014-FEB22
08-Feb-22	28																						CA10050-FEB22

Notes:

Appendix D.1: Waste Rock Humidity Cell Results, Table 7: HC6 Results

GB_HC6

Marker Unit

Date	Cycle No	Volun	ne mL	pН	Cond.	Acidity	Alkalinity	Sulphate	Chloride	Fluoride	Al	Sb	As	Ba	Be	Bi	В	Cd	Ca	Cr	Со	Cu
		Input	Output			(pH 8.3)																
					umhos/cm	mgCaCO ₃ /L	mgCaCO ₃ /L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
27-Jul-21	0	1000	879	7.66	74	<1	10	21	<1	<0.03	0.056	<0.00045	0.039	0.0012	0.0000090	<0.000005	0.0020	0.0000070	7.2	0.000080	0.00043	0.0032
03-Aug-21	1	1000	969	6.76	69	<1	5	27	<1	<0.03	0.037	<0.00045	0.035	0.0020	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.0020	0.0000040	8.3	<0.00004	0.00017	0.0010
10-Aug-21	2	1000	1000	7.24	56	<1	5	18	<1	<0.03	0.028	<0.00045	0.037	0.0017	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.0040	0.0000070	5.8	<0.00004	0.00061	0.00090
17-Aug-21	3	1000	974	7.16	36	<1	5	10	<1	<0.03	0.026	<0.00045	0.022	0.0011	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	<0.0000015	3.2	<0.00004	0.000074	0.00020
24-Aug-21	4	1000	968	7.23	32			8.0														
31-Aug-21	5	1000	976	7.22	23	<1	5	5.0	<1	<0.03	0.037	<0.00045	0.0274	0.00087	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.004	0.000018	2.59	<0.00004	0.000079	<0.0001
07-Sep-21	6	1000	958	7.18	23			5.0														
14-Sep-21	7	1000	1023	7.09	24	<1	5	4.0	<0.5	<0.03	0.023	<0.00045	0.021	0.00064	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.0020	<0.0000015	2.5	<0.00004	0.000043	<0.0001
21-Sep-21	8	1000	968	7.1	17			3.0														
28-Sep-21	9	1000	969	7.01	17	<1	4	3.0	<0.5	<0.03	0.033	<0.00045	0.022	0.00052	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	<0.0000015	1.9	0.00014	0.000039	<0.0001
05-Oct-21	10	1000	977	7.09	18			3.0														
12-Oct-21	11	1000	978	6.81	16	<1	4	3	7	<0.03	0.034	<0.00045	0.0165	0.00065	0.000007	<0.000005	<0.001	<0.0000015	1.46	<0.00004	0.000017	<0.0001
19-Oct-21	12	1000	984	7.14	14			3														
26-Oct-21	13	1000	956	6.88	14	<1	4	3	<0.5	<0.03	0.037	<0.00045	0.0225	0.00061	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	0.000005	1.58	<0.00004	0.00004	<0.0001
02-Nov-21	14	1000	964	6.95	19			3														
09-Nov-21	15	1000	892	6.79	15	<1	3	<1	<0.5	<0.03	0.037	<0.00045	0.0262	0.00061	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.005	0.000003	1.69	<0.00004	0.000004	0.0003
16-Nov-21	16	1000	955	6.72	15			2														
23-Nov-21	17	1000	958	6.88	12	<1	3	2	<0.5	<0.03	0.03	<0.00045	0.0263	0.00054	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.004	<0.0000015	1.68	0.00009	0.000059	<0.0001
30-Nov-21	18	1000	958	7.11	17			3														
07-Dec-21	19	1000	965	6.56	16	<1	2	3	<0.5	<0.03	0.028	<0.00045	0.0213	0.00053	0.00001	<0.000005	<0.001	0.000008	1.91	<0.00004	0.000036	<0.0001
14-Dec-21	20	1000	940	7.41	27			4														
21-Dec-21	21	1000	935	6.96	15	<1	3	3	1	<0.03	0.031	<0.00045	0.0256	0.00058	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.004	<0.0000015	1.66	<0.00004	0.000037	<0.0001
28-Dec-21	22	1000	931	6.46	17			3														
04-Jan-22	23	1000	992	6.83	13	<1	4	<1	<0.5	<0.03	0.019	<0.00045	0.0283	0.00052	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	0.000004	1.68	<0.00004	0.000042	<0.0001
11-Jan-22	24	1000	983	6.97	14			2														
18-Jan-22	25	1000	962	6.83	13	<1	3	2	<0.5	<0.03	0.028	<0.00045	0.0289	0.00056	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	0.000006	1.56	<0.00004	0.000072	<0.0001
25-Jan-22	26	1000	960	7.08	16			2														
01-Feb-22	27	1000	949	7.02	12	<1	4	<1	<0.5	<0.03	0.025	<0.00045	0.032	0.00073	<0.000035	<0.000005	<0.001	<0.0000015	1.57	0.00009	0.00007	<0.0001
08-Feb-22	28	1000	967	6.91	16			2														

Notes:

Red italic text indicates a value below the detection limit. The value has been set at 0.5 x the detection limit.

D.1-12

Appendix D.1: Waste Rock Humidity Cell Results, Table 7: HC6 Results

GB_HC6

Marker Unit

Date	Cycle No	Fe	Pb	Li	Mg	Mn	Hg	Мо	Ni	K	Se	Ag	Na	Sr	Tl	Sn	Ti	U	V	W	Y	Zn	SGS File #
		mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	ug/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	
27-Jul-21	0	0.080	<0.000045	0.0027	1.0	0.15	<0.000005	0.00027	0.0093	2.8	0.000040	<0.000025	2.4	0.023	0.000013	0.00037	0.00027	0.000082	0.00030	0.0015	0.00013	<0.001	CA10217-JUL21
03-Aug-21	1	<0.0035	<0.000045	0.0022	0.91	0.11	<0.000005	0.00023	0.0074	2.4	<0.00002	<0.000025	1.9	0.026	<0.000025	0.00030	0.00037	0.00017	0.00028	0.0014	0.000040	<0.001	CA10021-AUG21
10-Aug-21	2	<0.0035	0.00010	0.0027	0.70	0.089	<0.000005	0.00094	0.0079	1.9	0.000050	<0.000025	1.8	0.019	0.0000060	0.00012	0.00016	0.00016	0.00028	0.0012	0.000020	<0.001	CA10060-AUG21
17-Aug-21	3	<0.0035	<0.000045	0.0011	0.43	0.060	<0.000005	0.00044	0.0031	1.0	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.84	0.012	<0.0000025	0.000070	0.00017	0.00015	0.00020	0.00083	<0.00001	<0.001	CA10096-AUG21
24-Aug-21	4																						CA10227-AUG21
31-Aug-21	5	0.011	0.00066	0.0011	0.377	0.053	<0.000005	0.00028	0.0009	1.13	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.57	0.0078	0.0000070	<0.00003	0.00050	0.00015	0.00033	0.00098	0.000020	<0.001	CA10295-AUG21
07-Sep-21	6																						CA10032-SEP21
14-Sep-21	7	<0.0035	<0.000045	0.00090	0.35	0.053	0.000010	0.00012	0.00060	0.89	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.43	0.0071	<0.000025	<0.00003	0.00048	0.00022	0.00018	0.00086	<0.00001	<0.001	CA10072-SEP21
21-Sep-21	8																						CA10122-SEP21
28-Sep-21	9	<0.0035	<0.000045	0.00070	0.26	0.041	<0.000005	0.00017	0.00020	0.84	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.28	0.0052	<0.0000025	<0.00003	0.00033	0.00013	0.00026	0.00052	0.000020	0.0020	CA10188-SEP21
05-Oct-21	10																						CA10019-OCT21
12-Oct-21	11	<0.0035	<0.000045	0.0007	0.249	0.039	<0.000005	0.00011	0.0002	0.773	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.21	0.00524	<0.000025	0.00008	0.00042	0.000132	0.00021	0.00045	<0.00001	<0.001	CA10051-OCT21
19-Oct-21	12																						CA10082-OCT21
26-Oct-21	13	<0.0035	<0.000045	0.0006	0.248	0.0351	<0.000005	0.00008	0.0002	0.738	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.31	0.00476	<0.000025	<0.00003	0.00053	0.000123	0.00027	0.00044	<0.00001	<0.001	CA10119-OCT21
02-Nov-21	14																						CA10019-NOV21
09-Nov-21	15	<0.0035	<0.000045	0.0006	0.24	0.0333	<0.000005	0.00007	0.0004	0.724	0.00005	<0.000025	0.14	0.00531	<0.000025	<0.00003	0.00022	0.000121	0.00022	0.00036	0.00003	<0.001	CA10070-NOV21
16-Nov-21	16																						CA10116-NOV21
23-Nov-21	17	<0.0035	<0.000045	0.0006	0.233	0.0363	<0.000005	0.00077	0.0003	0.7	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.27	0.00467	<0.000025	<0.00003	0.00019	0.000104	0.00028	0.00031	0.00003	<0.001	CA10188-NOV21
30-Nov-21	18																						CA10239-NOV21
07-Dec-21	19	<0.0035	<0.000045	0.0005	0.22	0.0381	<0.000005	0.00142	0.0002	0.617	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.13	0.00456	<0.000025	<0.00003	0.00022	0.000097	0.00019	0.00025	<0.00001	<0.001	CA10028-DEC21
14-Dec-21	20																						CA10094-DEC21
21-Dec-21	21	<0.0035	<0.000045	0.0007	0.217	0.0267	<0.000005	0.00059	0.0001	0.646	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.28	0.00453	<0.000025	<0.00003	0.00037	0.000094	0.00021	0.00028	0.00002	<0.001	CA10146-DEC21
28-Dec-21	22																						CA10204-DEC21
04-Jan-22	23	<0.0035	<0.000045	0.0006	0.201	0.0344	<0.000005	0.00143	0.0005	0.675	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.13	0.00407	<0.000025	<0.00003	<0.000025	0.000142	0.00024	0.0003	<0.00001	<0.001	CA10016-JAN22
11-Jan-22	24																						CA10054-JAN22
18-Jan-22	25	<0.0035	<0.000045	0.0005	0.197	0.0306	<0.000005	0.00012	0.0001	0.598	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.14	0.00404	<0.000025	<0.00003	0.00014	0.000111	0.00025	0.00024	<0.00001	<0.001	CA10116-JAN33
25-Jan-22	26																						CA10151-JAN22
01-Feb-22	27	<0.0035	<0.000045	0.0006	0.199	0.0349	<0.000005	0.00006	0.0002	0.609	<0.00002	<0.000025	0.11	0.00404	0.000005	<0.00003	0.00027	0.000114	0.00028	0.0003	<0.00001	<0.001	CA10014-FEB22
08-Feb-22	28																						CA10050-FEB22

Notes:

Appendix D.2: Field Bin Results, Table 1 Field Bin Leachate Results

BV Labs ID		Tier 1 EQS	PTL621	PZA628	QFL452	QMD276	QTE592	RAG726	RGZ691	RIG677	QFL453	QMD277	QTE593	RAG727	RGZ692
Sampling Date			2021-06-03 15:43	2021-06-28 10:42	2021-07-27 11:42	2021-08-23	2021-09-20 13:30	2021-10-20 12:15	2021-11-18 12:53	2021-12-08 12:07	2021-07-27 12:02	2021-08-23	2021-09-20 13:40	2021-10-20 12:45	2021-11-18 13:06
	UNITS		FB-1	FB-1	FB-1	FB-1	FB-1	FB-1	FB-1	FB-1	FB-2	FB-2	FB-2	FB-2	FB-2
Field pH	_	6.5-9.0	7.66	7.4	7.45	pH meter error	6.73	8.26	8	6.72	8.1	pH meter error	7.34	7.77	7.83
Field Temperature	°C	-	26.6	22	18.6	21	19.64	12.5	3.22	3.27	18.7	22.4	19.98	11.83	3.52
Field Conductivity	uS/cm	-	282	340	126	547	74	114	101	52	44	122	59	57	70
Total Volume	L	-	6	10	4	_	18	20	20	20	2.5	-	15	7	20
Hardness (CaCO3)	mg/L	-	92	54	23	9.0	24	9.5	9.2	11	5.9	19	14	12	15
Acidity	mg/L	-	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
Total Alkalinity (Total as CaCO3)	mg/L	-	25	19	6.1	6.5	5.1	7.4	7.6	15	11	29	20	15	16
Dissolved Chloride (Cl-)	mg/L	120	2	2.6	<1	1.7	1.6	1.4	2.7	6.6	<1	4.1	3.2	2.4	6.3
pH	pH	6.5-9.0	7.57	7.2	6.7	6.6	7.1	7.08	6.93	7.21	7.1	7.4	7.5	7.35	7.11
Ammonia N	mg/L	5.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	< 0.05	-	-	-	-	-
Nitrate (N)	mg/L	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.086	-	-	-	-	-
Nitrite (N)	mg/L	0.06	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<0.01	-	-	-	-	-
Total Phosphorus (P)	mg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.026	0.036	-	-	-	-	< 0.02	< 0.02
Dissolved Phosphorus (P)	mg/L	-	0.28	0.064	<0.1	0.011	0.017	0.007	0.009	0.007	0.11	0.10	0.030	0.005	< 0.004
Orthophosphate (P)	mg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<0.2	-	-	-	-	-
Dissolved Sulphate (SO4)	mg/L	128	75	45	19	7.9	17	3.9	3.3	3.4	2.1	7.3	3.7	2.6	3.9
Conductivity	μS/cm	-	220	150	59	28	82	34	35	46	34	80	62	46	67
TOC	mg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.72	_	-	-	-	-
Turbidity	NTU	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.2	-	-	-	-	-
Calculated TDS	mg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25	-	-	-	-	-
Dissolved Mercury (Hg)	μg/L	0.026	< 0.013	< 0.013	< 0.013	< 0.013	< 0.013	< 0.013	< 0.013	< 0.013	< 0.013	< 0.013	< 0.013	< 0.013	
Dissolved Aluminum (Al)	μg/L	5	31	9.8	<5	<5	7.3	9.6	6	<5	47	60	35	12.5	13.1
Dissolved Antimony (Sb)	μg/L	9	5.1	2.2	<1	<1	<1	1.1	<1	<1	1.7	4.5	3.3	2.2	<2
Dissolved Arsenic (As)	μg/L	5	5800	2830	920	500	1680	444	551	298	14	44	45	37.3	35.6
Dissolved Barium (Ba)	μg/L	1000	2.6	3.0	1.9	<1	1.2	1.1	<1	1.1	<1	2.7	1.8	1.5	2
Dissolved Beryllium (Be)	μg/L	0.15	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<1	<1	<1	<0.1	<0.1
Dissolved Bismuth (Bi)	μg/L	-	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2
Dissolved Boron (B)	μg/L	1500	<50	61	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
Dissolved Cadmium (Cd)	μg/L	0.09	< 0.01	0.029	0.016	< 0.01	<0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.016	0.012	0.016	0.016	< 0.01	0.011
Dissolved Calcium (Ca)	μg/L	-	35000	20600	8700	3300	8730	3220	3070	3490	1900	5900	4280	3920	4800
Dissolved Chromium (Cr)	μg/L	1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Dissolved Cobalt (Co)	μg/L	10	<0.4	0.42	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	2.5	0.60	0.60	<0.4	<0.4
Dissolved Copper (Cu)	μg/L	2	0.9	35	63	14	9.6	6.72	3.13	4.8	28	34	16	3.98	9.39
Dissolved Iron (Fe)	μg/L	300	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	66	61	61	<50	<50
Dissolved Lead (Pb)	μg/L	1	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Dissolved Magnesium (Mg)	μg/L	-	860	700	260	170	410	360	360	530	300	1000	690	540	790
Dissolved Manganese (Mn)	μg/L	430	3	85	14	4.9	11	14.6	16.6	24.7	50	29	31	2.5	40.3
Dissolved Molybdenum (Mo)	µg/L	/3	3.2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2
Dissolved Nickel (Ni)	μg/L	25	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	2.2	3.1	3.1	<2	<2
Dissolved Phosphorus (P)	μg/L	-	<100	100	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	110	180	180	<100	<100
Dissolved Potassium (K)	μg/L	-	5500	2750	1600	370	960	860	690	850	1300	2800	2070	1590	1630
Dissolved Selenium (Se)	μg/L	1	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Dissolved Solver (Ag)	μg/L	0.25	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Dissolved Strontium (Sr)	μg/L	-	120	2000	20	15	1/00	2230	2000	4370	4000	100	75	4000 64 2	70.1
Dissolved Thallium (T1)	μg/L μg/Ι	-	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	40	<i>J2.4</i>	20.9 -0.1	43.3		-0.1	/ J	-0 1	/7.1
Dissolved Tin (Sn)	μg/L μg/Ι	0.0	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Dissolved Titanium (Ti)	μg/L μg/I	-	~2	~2	~2	~2	~2	~2	~2	~2	~2	~2	~2	~2	~2
Dissolved Uranium (U)	μ <u>σ</u> /Γ	15	<01	<01	<01	<0.1	<01	<01	0.12	0.26	0.41	0.78	0.41	0.16	0.55
Dissolved Vanadium (V)	<u>ия/Г</u>	120	</th <th><2</th> <th><?</th><th><?.</th><th><?.</th><th><?.</th><th><?.</th><th><?.</th><th><?</th><th><?.</th><th><?.</th><th><?</th><th><?.</th></th></th></th></th></th></th></th></th></th></th></th>	<2	</th <th><?.</th><th><?.</th><th><?.</th><th><?.</th><th><?.</th><th><?</th><th><?.</th><th><?.</th><th><?</th><th><?.</th></th></th></th></th></th></th></th></th></th></th>	.</th <th><?.</th><th><?.</th><th><?.</th><th><?.</th><th><?</th><th><?.</th><th><?.</th><th><?</th><th><?.</th></th></th></th></th></th></th></th></th></th>	.</th <th><?.</th><th><?.</th><th><?.</th><th><?</th><th><?.</th><th><?.</th><th><?</th><th><?.</th></th></th></th></th></th></th></th></th>	.</th <th><?.</th><th><?.</th><th><?</th><th><?.</th><th><?.</th><th><?</th><th><?.</th></th></th></th></th></th></th></th>	.</th <th><?.</th><th><?</th><th><?.</th><th><?.</th><th><?</th><th><?.</th></th></th></th></th></th></th>	.</th <th><?</th><th><?.</th><th><?.</th><th><?</th><th><?.</th></th></th></th></th></th>	</th <th><?.</th><th><?.</th><th><?</th><th><?.</th></th></th></th></th>	.</th <th><?.</th><th><?</th><th><?.</th></th></th></th>	.</th <th><?</th><th><?.</th></th></th>	</th <th><?.</th></th>	.</th
Dissolved Zinc (Zn)	μg/L	7	<5	19	14	68	40	15.9	50.4	23.8	<5	29	16	<5	26.3
		1													

Notes:

Tier 1 EQS: Nova Scotia Tier 1 Environmental Quality Standards

Nova Scotia Environment (NSE) (2021). Table 3 - Nova Scotia Tier 1 Environmental Quality Standards (EQS) for Surface Water and Groundwater Discharging to Surface Water (µg/L). In Notification of Contamination Protocol. Revised September 30, 2021 Ammonia guideline assumes pH 7.0 and temperature of 15°C

Bold italic text indicates a pH outside of the Tier 1 EQS pH range.

Grey shading indicates a concentration above the Tier 1 EQS.

Appendix D.2: Field Bin Results, Table 1 Field Bin Leachate Results

BV Labs ID		RIG678	QFL454	QMD278	QTE594	RAG728	RGZ693	RIG679	QFL455	QMD279	QTE595	RAG729	RGZ694	RIG680
Sampling Date		2021-12-08 12:28	2021-07-27 11:45	2021-08-23	2021-09-20 13:50	2021-10-20 16:36	2021-11-18 13:19	2021-12-08 12:40	2021-07-27 11:54	2021-08-23	2021-09-20 14:06	2021-10-20 12:35	2021-11-18 13:27	2021-12-08 12:50
	UNITS	FB-2	FB-3	FB-3	FB-3	FB-3	FB-3	FB-3	FB-4	FB-4	FB-4	FB-4	FB-4	FB-4
Field pH	-	7.16	7.68	pH meter error	7.52		8.16	7.65	7.92	pH meter error	7.56	8.14	8.17	7.72
Field Temperature	°C	2.18	18.3	22	20.01	Insufficient sample for	3.08	2.87	18.3	21.2	20.2	12.15	3.25	2.67
Field Conductivity	μS/cm	66	176	127	95	field parameters	94	78	131	87	72	76	86	90
Total Volume	L	10	4	-	18		20	20	4	-	20	20	20	10
Hardness (CaCO3)	mg/L	15	9.7	5.2	5.9	4	9.4	9.3	6.0	4.5	4.8	6.1	7.4	8.9
Acidity	mg/L	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5
Total Alkalinity (Total as CaCO3)	mg/L	21	36	26	26	14	26	21	41	29	27	25	25	27
Dissolved Chloride (Cl-)	mg/L	7.9	8.5	6.9	6.3	3.3	5.9	8.3	2.7	2.1	2.3	2.9	5.1	7.1
pH	pН	7.43	7.7	7.4	7.6	7.34	7.4	7.51	7.6	7.5	7.6	7.51	7.35	7.63
Ammonia N	mg/L	< 0.05	-	-	-	-	-	< 0.05	-	-	-	-	-	< 0.05
Nitrate (N)	mg/L	0.12	-	-	-	-	-	0.11	-	-	-	-	-	0.14
Nitrite (N)	mg/L	< 0.01	-	-	-	-	-	< 0.01	-	-	-	-	-	< 0.01
Total Phosphorus (P)	mg/L	-	-	-	-	0.57	< 0.02	-	-	-	-	< 0.02	< 0.02	-
Dissolved Phosphorus (P)	mg/L	0.005	<0.1	0.0011	< 0.004	< 0.004	< 0.004	< 0.004	<0.1	0.0027	< 0.004	< 0.004	< 0.004	< 0.004
Orthophosphate (P)	mg/L	< 0.01	-	-	-	-	-	0.01	-	-	-	-	-	< 0.05
Dissolved Sulphate (SO4)	mg/L	3.9	21	11	11	8.3	13	6.2	9.5	6.2	4.8	6.1	8.3	7.5
Conductivity	μS/cm	65	150	92	100	58	96	72	110	64	70	70	82	84
TOC	mg/L	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	2.1
Turbidity	NTU	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	4.7	-	-	-	-	-	4.2
Calculated TDS	mg/L	37	-	-	-	-	-	41	-	-	-	-	-	51
Dissolved Mercury (Hg)	μg/L	< 0.013	< 0.013	< 0.013	< 0.013	< 0.013	< 0.013	< 0.013	< 0.013	< 0.013	< 0.013	< 0.013	< 0.013	<0.013
Dissolved Aluminum (Al)	μg/L	7.3	90	99	77	90.7	31.7	15.2	170	50	37	25.6	16.9	22.2
Dissolved Antimony (Sb)	μg/L	1.8	1.9	1.6	1.6	<1	<1	<1	7.5	4.4	5.1	4.9	4.6	4.6
Dissolved Arsenic (As)	μg/L	29.6	91	61	68	37.3	46.6	32.1	110	74	93	88.8	88.2	79.9
Dissolved Barium (Ba)	μg/L	1.9	1.8	<1	<1	<1	1	<1	2.2	<1	<1	<1	<1	1.2
Dissolved Beryllium (Be)	μg/L	<0.1	<1	<1	<1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<1	<1	<1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Dissolved Bismuth (Bi)	μg/L	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2
Dissolved Boron (B)	μg/L	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
Dissolved Cadmium (Cd)	μg/L	0.018	0.010	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.012	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.012
Dissolved Calcium (Ca)	μg/L	4660	3100	1700	1970	1330	3180	3100	1900	1500	1590	2030	2440	2880
Dissolved Chromium (Cr)	μg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
Dissolved Cobalt (Co)	μg/L	<0.4	1.7	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	0.78	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4
Dissolved Copper (Cu)	μg/L	5.91	37	14	12	11.4	4.6	5.21	41	14	8.4	3.36	13.4	21.8
Dissolved Iron (Fe)	μg/L	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	120	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50
Dissolved Lead (Pb)	μg/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	0.51	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Dissolved Magnesium (Mg)	μg/L	840	460	220	250	160	370	380	300	200	200	240	320	410
Dissolved Manganese (Mn)	μg/L	34.1	140	42	39	26.5	66.5	75	26	8.2	8.2	<2	8.6	22
Dissolved Molybdenum (Mo)	μg/L	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2
Dissolved Nickel (Ni)	μg/L	<2	6.5	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2
Dissolved Phosphorus (P)	μg/L	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100
Dissolved Potassium (K)	μg/L	1390	2800	1600	1650	1090	1460	1090	2400	1400	1470	1490	1390	1580
Dissolved Selenium (Se)	μg/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Dissolved Silver (Ag)	μg/L	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Dissolved Sodium (Na)	μg/L	6530	30000	17000	17400	10400	16000	10900	24000	12000	13000	12700	14100	14200
Dissolved Strontium (Sr)	μg/L	75.9	67	35	39	26.4	60.9	54	34	23	25	32.7	39.7	46
Dissolved Thallium (Tl)	μg/L	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Dissolved Tin (Sn)	μg/L	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2
Dissolved Titanium (Ti)	μg/L	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	6.8	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2
Dissolved Uranium (U)	μg/L	1.1	0.93	0.47	0.44	0.16	0.55	0.33	1.4	0.65	0.61	0.6	1.04	1.57
Dissolved Vanadium (V)	μg/L	<2	2.6	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	2.5	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2
Dissolved Zinc (Zn)	μg/L	12.8	<5	7.7	7.7	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5

Notes:

Tier 1 EQS: Nova Scotia Tier 1 Environmental Quality Standards

Nova Scotia Environment (NSE) (2021). Table 3 - Nova Scotia Tier 1 Environmental Quality Standards (EQS) for Surface Water and Groundwater Discharging to Surface Water (µg/L). In Notification of Contamination Protocol. Revised September 30, 2021 Ammonia guideline assumes pH 7.0 and temperature of 15°C

Bold italic text indicates a pH outside of the Tier 1 EQS pH range.

Grey shading indicates a concentration above the Tier 1 EQS.

Appendix D: Kinetic Test Results Goldboro Project: Geochemistry Report

Appendix D.2: Field Bin Results, Table 2 Quality Assurance/Quality Control

BV Labs ID		QFL457	QMD281	QTE597	RAG731	RGZ696	RIG682	QFL453	QFL456		QMD276	QMD280	
Sampling Date		2021-07-27	2021-08-23	2021-09-20 14:15	2021-10-20	2021-11-18	2021-12-08	2021-07-27 12:02	2021-07-27 12:00	RPD	2021-08-23	2021-08-23	RPD
	UNITS	FIELD BLANK	FIELD BLANK	Field Blank	Field Blank	Field Blank	Field Blank	FB-2	FB-DUP		FB-1	FB-DUP	
Hardness (CaCO3)	mg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	5.9	5.8	2%	9.0	9.1	1%
Acidity	mg/L	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	0%	<5	<5	0%
Total Alkalinity (Total as CaCO3)	mg/L	<5	<5	<1	<1	<1	<5	11	11	0%	6.5	<5	<5xRDL
Dissolved Chloride (Cl-)	mg/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	1	<1	-	-	1.7	<1.3	<5xRDL
pH	pH	6.33	6.2	6.12	6.59	5.71	6.18	7.1	_	_	6.6	6.5	2%
Ammonia N	mg/L	0.055	-	-	-	-	< 0.05	0.1	0.1	9%	-	-	_
Nitrate (N)	mg/L	< 0.05	-	-	-	-	< 0.05	0.1	0.1	5%	-	-	_
Nitrite (N)	mg/L	< 0.01	-	-	-	-	<0.01	0.0	0.0	0%	-	-	_
Total Phosphorus (P)	mg/L	-	-	-	< 0.02	< 0.02	-		-	_	-	_	_
Dissolved Phosphorus (P)	mg/L	< 0.001	0.0075	< 0.004	< 0.004	< 0.004	< 0.004	0.11	0.09	23%	0.011	<0.001	<5xRDL
Orthophosphate (P)	mg/L	< 0.01	-	-	-	-	<0.01	<0.01	< 0.01	0%	-	-	
Dissolved Sulphate (SO4)	mg/L	<2	<2	<1	<1	<1	<2	2.1	2.4	13%	7.9	7 5	5%
Conductivity	uS/cm	2.1	<1	<1	<1	1.1	<1	34	34	0%	28	27	4%
TOC	mg/L	<0.5	_	_	_	_	<0.5	7	7	0%	_	_	_
Turbidity	NTU	0.83	-	-	<u> </u>	-	0.11	120	150	22%		_	
Calculated TDS	mg/L	<1	-	-	<u> </u>	-	1	120	18	0%		_	
Dissolved Mercury (Hg)	ug/L	<0.013	< 0.013	< 0.013	< 0.013	<0.013	<0.013	<0.013	<0.013	0%	< 0.013	<0.013	0%
Dissolved Aluminum (Al)	ug/L	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	47	47	0%	<5	<5	0%
Dissolved Antimony (Sb)	ug/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	17	1 7	0%	<1	<1	0%
Dissolved Arsenic (As)	ug/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	1.7	1.7	0%	500	500	0%
Dissolved Barium (Ba)	ug/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	1	0%	<1	<1	0%
Dissolved Bervllium (Be)	ug/L	<1	<1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<1	<1	0%	<1		0%
Dissolved Bismuth (Bi)	ug/L	<2	<2	<2.	<2.	<2.	<2	<1	<1	0%	<2		0%
Dissolved Boron (B)	ug/L	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	0%	<50	<50	0%
Dissolved Cadmium (Cd)	ug/L	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.012	<0.01	18%	<0.01	0.01	0%
Dissolved Calcium (Ca)	ug/L	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	1900	1800	5%	3300	3300	0%
Dissolved Chromium (Cr)	ug/L	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	0%	<1	<1	0%
Dissolved Cobalt (Co)	ug/L	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	2.5	2.5	0%	<0.4	<0.4	0%
Dissolved Copper (Cu)	ug/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	2.8	2.8	0%	14	15	7%
Dissolved Iron (Fe)	ug/L	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	<50	66	66	0%	<50	<50	0%
Dissolved Lead (Pb)	ug/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	0%	<0.5	<0.5	0%
Dissolved Magnesium (Mg)	ug/L	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	300	300	0%	170	170	0%
Dissolved Manganese (Mn)	ug/L	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	50	51	2%	4.9	4.8	2%
Dissolved Molvbdenum (Mo)	ug/L	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	</td <td><2</td> <td>0%</td> <td><2</td> <td><2</td> <td>0%</td>	<2	0%	<2	<2	0%
Dissolved Nickel (Ni)	ug/L	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	2.2	2.2	0%	<2	<2	0%
Dissolved Phosphorus (P)	ug/L	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	110	110	0%	<100	<100	0%
Dissolved Potassium (K)	μg/L	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	<100	1300	1200	8%	370	400	8%
Dissolved Selenium (Se)	μg/L	<0.5	< 0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	0%	<0.5	<0.5	0%
Dissolved Silver (Ag)	ug/L	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.0	0%	<0.1	<0.1	0%
Dissolved Sodium (Na)	ug/L	350	160	<100	190	<100	140	4600	4400	4%	850	860	1%
Dissolved Strontium (Sr)	ug/L	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	23	22	4%	15	15	0%
Dissolved Thallium (Tl)	ug/L	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0%	<0.1	<0.1	0%
Dissolved Tin (Sn)	ug/L	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	.</td <td><2</td> <td>0%</td> <td><2</td> <td><2.</td> <td>0%</td>	<2	0%	<2	<2.	0%
Dissolved Titanium (Ti)	ug/L	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	</td <td><?</td><td>0%</td><td><2</td><td><?</td><td>0%</td></td></td>	</td <td>0%</td> <td><2</td> <td><?</td><td>0%</td></td>	0%	<2	</td <td>0%</td>	0%
Dissolved Uranium (U)		<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.41	0 38	8%	<0.1	<01	0%
Dissolved Vanadium (V)	ug/L	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	</td <td><?</td><td>0%</td><td><2</td><td><?</td><td>0%</td></td></td>	</td <td>0%</td> <td><2</td> <td><?</td><td>0%</td></td>	0%	<2	</td <td>0%</td>	0%
Dissolved Zinc (Zn)	ug/L	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	0%	68	71	4%
		1	1	1		1	1						/

Notes:

Relative Percent Difference (RPD) is equal to |Result A - Result B| / ((Result A + Result B)/2) x 100% TOC: Total Organic Carbon, TDS: Total Dissolved Solids, RDL: Reporting Detection Limit

Blue bold italic indicates a concentration in a field blank that's above 2x the RDL

Red bold italic indicates an RPD >20%, where both values are above 5x the RDL

Appendix D.2: Field Bin Results, Table 2 Quality Assurance/Quality Control

BV Labs ID		QTE592	QTE596		RAG726	RAG730		RGZ691	RGZ695		RIG677	RIG683	
Sampling Date		2021-09-20 13:30	2021-09-20 14:06	RPD	2021-10-20 12:15	2021-10-20 12:00	RPD	2021-11-18 12:53	2021-11-18 12:00	RPD	2021-12-08 12:07	2021-12-08 12:00	RPD
	UNITS	FB-1	FB-DUP	1 1	FB-1	FB-DUP		FB-1	FB-DUP		FB-1	FB DUP	1
Hardness (CaCO3)	mg/L	24	24	0%	9.5	9.6	1%	9.2	9.3	1%	11	11	0%
Acidity	mg/L	<5	<5	0%	<5	<5	0%	<5	<5	0%	<5	<5	0%
Total Alkalinity (Total as CaCO3)	mg/L	5.1	4.8	6%	7.4	6.9	7%	7.6	7.2	5%	15	13	14%
Dissolved Chloride (Cl-)	mg/L	1.6	1.7	6%	1.4	1.6	13%	2.7	2.7	0%	6.6	6	10%
pH	pH	7.1	6.9	2%	7.08	7.1	0%	6.93	6.92	0%	7.21	7.22	0%
Ammonia N	mg/L	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	< 0.05	< 0.05	0%
Nitrate (N)	mg/L	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	0.086	0.085	1%
Nitrite (N)	mg/L	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	< 0.01	<0.01	0%
Total Phosphorus (P)	mg/L	-	-	_	0.026	0.027	4%	0.036	0.036	0%	-	-	_
Dissolved Phosphorus (P)	mg/L	0.017	0.018	6%	0.007	0.007	0%	0.009	0.008	12%	0.007	0.007	0%
Orthophosphate (P)	mg/L	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	_		<0.2	0.1	<5rRDL
Dissolved Sulphate (SO4)	mg/L	17	17	0%	3.9	3.8	3%	3.3	3.2	3%	3.4	3.9	14%
Conductivity	uS/cm	82	67	20%	34	33	3%	35	35	0%	46	45	2%
TOC	mg/L	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	_	0.72	0.75	4%
Turbidity	NTU	<u> </u>	_	_		-	_	-	_	_	1.2	1.1	9%
Calculated TDS	mg/L	-	_	_		_		-	-	-	25	25	0%
Dissolved Mercury (Hg)		< 0.013	< 0.013	0%	< 0.013	< 0.013	0%	< 0.013	< 0.013	0%	<0.013	<0.013	0%
Dissolved Aluminum (Al)	ш <u>я/</u> Ц	7.3	10.1	<5rRDL	9.6	9.2	<u> </u>	6	6	0%	<5	<5	0%
Dissolved Antimony (Sb)	ш <u>я/</u> Г.	<1	<1	0%	11	1	10%	<1	<1	0%	<1	<1	0%
Dissolved Arsenic (As)	μς/L	1680	1680	0%	444	442	0%	551	543	1%	298	297	0%
Dissolved Barium (Ba)	μς/L	12	13	8%	11	11	0%	<1	<1	0%	11	11	0%
Dissolved Bervllium (Be)	μς/L	<0.1	<0.1	<5rRDI	<01	<0.1	0%	<0.1	<0.1	0%	<0.1	<01	0%
Dissolved Bismuth (Bi)	μς/L	.</td <td><2</td> <td>0%</td> <td><?</td><td><2</td><td>0%</td><td><?.</td><td><?</td><td>0%</td><td><?</td><td><?.</td><td>0%</td></td></td></td></td></td>	<2	0%	</td <td><2</td> <td>0%</td> <td><?.</td><td><?</td><td>0%</td><td><?</td><td><?.</td><td>0%</td></td></td></td></td>	<2	0%	.</td <td><?</td><td>0%</td><td><?</td><td><?.</td><td>0%</td></td></td></td>	</td <td>0%</td> <td><?</td><td><?.</td><td>0%</td></td></td>	0%	</td <td><?.</td><td>0%</td></td>	.</td <td>0%</td>	0%
Dissolved Boron (B)	μς/Ι	<50	<50	0%	<50	<50	0%	<50	<50	0%	<50	<50	0%
Dissolved Cadmium (Cd)	μς/L	<0.01	<0.01	0%	<0.01	<0.01	0%	<0.01	<0.01	0%	0.016	<0.01	<5rRDI
Dissolved Calcium (Ca)	μς/Ι	8730	8840	1%	3220	3260	1%	3070	3130	2%	3490	3520	1%
Dissolved Chromium (Cr)	μς/Ι	<1	<1	0%	<1	<1	0%	<1	<1	0%	<1	<1	0%
Dissolved Cabalt (Ca)	μg/L	<0.4	<0.4	0%	<0.4	<0.4	0%	<0.4	<0.4	0%	<0.4	<0.4	0%
Dissolved Copper (Cu)	μg/L	9.6	9.55	0%	6.72	6 54	3%	3 13	3 74	18%	4.8	4 92	2%
Dissolved Iron (Fe)	μς/L	<50	<50	0%	<50	<50	0%	<50	<50	0%	<50	<50	0%
Dissolved Lead (Pb)	μς/Ι	<0.5	<0.5	0%	<0.5	<0.5	0%	<0.5	<0.5	0%	<0.5	<0.5	0%
Dissolved Magnesium (Mg)	μς/Ι	410	420	2%	360	340	6%	360	370	3%	530	540	2%
Dissolved Manganese (Mn)	μg/L	11	10.8	2%	14.6	14.5	1%	16.6	17.2	1%	24.7	25.5	30%
Dissolved Molybdenum (Mo)	μς/L	<2	</td <td>0%</td> <td><?</td><td><2</td><td>0%</td><td><2</td><td><?</td><td>- - 70</td><td><?</td><td><?.</td><td>0%</td></td></td></td></td>	0%	</td <td><2</td> <td>0%</td> <td><2</td> <td><?</td><td>- - 70</td><td><?</td><td><?.</td><td>0%</td></td></td></td>	<2	0%	<2	</td <td>- - 70</td> <td><?</td><td><?.</td><td>0%</td></td></td>	- - 70	</td <td><?.</td><td>0%</td></td>	.</td <td>0%</td>	0%
Dissolved Nickel (Ni)	μς/L	<2	<2	0%	<2	<2	0%	<2	3.5	<5rRDI	<2	<2	0%
Dissolved Phosphorus (P)	μς/L	<100	<100	0%	<100	<100	0%	<100	<100	(JARDL)	<100	<100	0%
Dissolved Potassium (K)	μς/Ι	960	990	3%	860	850	1%	690	720	4%	850	870	2%
Dissolved Selenium (Se)	μg/L	<0.5	<0.5	0%	<0.5	<0.5	0%	<0.5	<0.5	- - 70	<0.5	<0.5	0%
Dissolved Silver (Ag)	μg/L	<0.1	<0.5	0%	<0.1	<0.1	0%	<0.5	<0.5	0%	<0.1	<0.1	0%
Dissolved Sodium (Na)	μg/L	1700	1730	2%	2230	2150	40%	2660	2660	0%	4370	4460	20%
Dissolved Strontium (Na)	μg/L μg/I	40	40.5	2%	32.4	32.5	4%	30.9	31.1	1.0/	43.5	4400	2%
Dissolved Thallium (TI)	με/L		-0.0 ∠0.1	1 %0	<u> </u>	~0 1	0%	-0 1	~0.1	1 70			0%
Dissolved Tin (Sp)	μg/L		~0.1 _/)	00/		~0.1 ~2	0%	~0.1		0%		×0.1	0%
Dissolved Titenium (Ti)	μg/L	~2	~2	00/	~2	~2	0%	~2	~2	0%	~2	<u>~</u>	0%
Dissolved Inallull (11)	μg/L 	<	<0 1	00/	<	<2	0%	0.12	0.12	0%	0.26	0.28	0%
Dissolved Varadium (U)	μg/L 	<0.1	<0.1	00/	<0.1	<u.1 _2</u.1 	0%	-2	-2	0%	-2	-2	/%
Dissolved Vanadium (V)	μg/L	<2	<2	0%	<2	</td <td>0%</td> <td><2</td> <td><2</td> <td>0%</td> <td><2</td> <td><2</td> <td>0%</td>	0%	<2	<2	0%	<2	<2	0%
Dissolved Zinc (Zn)	μg/L	40	41.3	2%	15.9	10.3	2%	50.4	52.5	4%	23.8	23.5	1%

Notes:

Relative Percent Difference (RPD) is equal to |Result A - Result B| / ((Result A + Result B)/2) x 100% TOC: Total Organic Carbon, TDS: Total Dissolved Solids, RDL: Reporting Detection Limit

Blue bold italic indicates a concentration in a field blank that's above 2x the RDL

Red bold italic indicates an RPD >20%, where both values are above 5x the RDL

Appendix D.3: Tailings Humidity Cell Results, Table 1: Cell Description

Cell No.	Sample ID	Sample Type	Method Reference	Column Dimensions		Column Packing			Total Volume of Initial Flushings	Flushing Rate/Weekly Input*	Temp	Sampling Frequency	Start-up Date	Sampling Day	Operation Procedure	Sample Prep for Flushings
				Inner Diameter (cm)	Length (cm)	Dry Wt. of Sample (kg)	Other Materials Used	Column Material	(mL)	(mL)	(°C)		2021			
T1	Goldboro CN-Detox tailings	Tailings	MEND	20.00	10.00	1.00	Plexiglas perforated disk & nylon mesh	Plexiglas	750	500	20-22 °C	Weekly	26-Aug	Thursday	Flood Leach	Stirred

Appendix D.3: Tailings Humidity Cell Results, Table 2: T1 Results

T1

Sample = Goldboro CN-Detox tailings

Date	Cycle	Volun	ne mL	pН	Cond.	Total	Sulphate	Total	WAD CN	Free CN	Ammonia	Nitrate	Nitrite	Chloride	Fluoride	Hardness	Al	Sb	As	Ba	Be	Bi	В	Cd	Ca	Cr	Со	Cu
	No.	Input	Output			Alkalinity		CN			as	as	as			CaCO ₃												
					µmhos/cm	mgCaCO ₃ /L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	Nmg/L	Nmg/L	Nmg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
26-Aug-21	0	750	415	8.01	1895	99.1	798	1.58	0.02	0.019	6.9	<0.3	0.72	12	0.36	347	0.04	0.021	0.739	0.0256	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.19	0.00006	129	<0.00004	0.0229	0.094
02-Sep-21	1	500	465	7.57	398	87.0	141	3.11	0.02	0.021	2.0	0.35	<0.3	<1	0.26	94.3	0.057	0.0382	1.98	0.00591	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.051	0.000021	34.8	<0.00004	0.0115	0.0083
09-Sep-21	2	500	450	8.09	246	81.5	68	2.03			0.7	<0.3	<0.15	<1	0.22	71.8	0.046	0.0204	2.01	0.00498	<0.0000035	0.00006	0.031	0.000015	26.2	<0.00004	0.00753	0.0040
16-Sep-21	3	500	480	8.07	241	63.9	47	1.08			0.5	<0.03	0.05	<1	0.14	79.2	0.056	0.0165	1.94	0.00415	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.020	0.000033	29.0	0.00008	0.00452	0.0021
23-Sep-21	4	500	390	8.06	377	55.1	110																					
30-Sep-21	5	500	465	8.09	380	62.6	101	0.14	0.01	0.013	0.2	<0.3	<0.15	<1	0.11	155	0.043	0.0129	1.05	0.00975	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.018	0.000019	57.2	<0.00004	0.00288	0.0011
07-Oct-21	6	500	450	8.08	379	60.0	111																					
14-Oct-21	7	500	435	8.02	395	58.0	119	0.18	0.03	0.033	0.1	<0.3	<0.15	<1	0.09	178	0.038	0.0112	1.15	0.00890	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.010	0.000033	66.6	<0.00004	0.00253	0.0012
21-Oct-21	8	500	410	8.05	411	58.6	120																					
28-Oct-21	9	500	425	8.04	418	61.3	118	0.37	0.033	0.03	NSS	<0.3	<0.15	<1	<0.03	172	0.033	0.0093	1.53	0.00936	<0.0000035	<0.000005	0.006	0.000042	64.4	0.00012	0.00244	0.0012
04-Nov-21	10	500	440	7.92	581	57.4	130																					
11-Nov-21	11	500	415	7.96	483	63.1	148	0.52	0.04	0.04	<0.05	<0.3	0.67	<1	<0.03	187	0.039	0.0096	2.57	0.0135	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.013	0.00005	70.4	0.00048	0.0029	0.0016
18-Nov-21	12	500	485	8.02	461	65.2	137																					L
25-Nov-21	13	500	465	7.9	439	59.9	124	0.79	0.021	0.02	<0.05	<0.3	<0.15	8.5	<0.03	142	0.02	0.0087	2.85	0.0104	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.003	0.000046	54	0.00027	0.0019	0.0012
02-Dec-21	14	500	435	7.87	424.8	51.8	130																					
09-Dec-21	15	500	455	7.86	461.2	53.25	148	1.16	0.04	0.042	<0.05	<0.3	<0.15	<1	<0.03	186	0.034	0.0091	3.63	0.0138	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.006	0.000054	71.4	0.00056	0.00228	0.0022
16-Dec-21	16	500	440	7.9	479.5	62.57	127																					
23-Dec-21	17	500	495	7.89	433.9	60.05	118	1.04	0.05	0.046	<0.05	<0.3	<0.15	<1	<0.03	178	0.025	0.0094	3.36	0.0128	0.000011	<0.000005	0.006	0.000035	68.3	0.00072	0.00241	0.0017
30-Dec-21	18	500	420	7.89	434	53.2	159																					
06-Jan-22	19	500	450	7.68	433.8	47.58	143	1.49	0.14	0.142	<0.05	<0.3	<0.15	<1	<0.03	176	0.03	0.0065	3.85	0.0105	<0.000035	<0.000005	0.004	0.000044	68	0.00078	0.00202	0.0013
13-Jan-22	20	500	410	7.85	449.6	44.53	150																					
20-Jan-22	21	500	495	7.85	426.7	52.03	129																					
27-Jan-22	22	500	435	7.83	421	47.4	151																					

Notes:

Appendix D.3: Tailings Humidity Cell Results, Table 2: T1 Results

T1

Sample = Goldboro CN-Detox tailings

Date	Cycle	Fe	Pb	Li	Mg	Mn	Hg	Мо	Ni	Р	K	Se	Si	Ag	Na	Sr	S	Tl	Sn	Ti	U	V	Zn	Zr		Major	Major	Diff	Diff
	No.																								SGS File #	Anions	Cations		(%)
		mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	ug/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L					
26-Aug-21	0	0.96	<0.000045	0.021	5.66	0.0592	<0.005	0.0175	0.004	0.48	36.1	0.0021	3.60	0.0083	292	0.789	290	<0.000025	0.0116	<0.000025	0.00199	0.0005	<0.001	<0.001	CA15553-AUG21	19.12	20.68	1.56	3.9%
02-Sep-21	1	1.58	<0.000045	0.0174	1.79	0.0198	< 0.005	0.00698	0.0008	0.019	13.8	0.00059	5.70	<0.000025	37.2	0.202	29	0.000011	0.0194	<0.000025	0.00190	0.00074	<0.001	<0.001	CA15101-SEP21	4.81	4.05	-0.77	-8.7%
09-Sep-21	2	1.07	0.00010	0.0092	1.54	0.0239	< 0.005	0.00361	0.0007	0.007	10.2	0.00030	5.94	<0.000025	12.6	0.154	9	0.000007	0.0234	0.00014	0.00120	0.00077	<0.001	<0.001		3.14	2.40	-0.74	-13.3%
16-Sep-21	3	0.456	0.00009	0.0127	1.62	0.0209	<0.005	0.00180	0.0005	0.004	8.90	0.00018	5.50	<0.000025	6.91	0.151	17	<0.000025	0.0201	<0.000025	0.000651	0.00071	<0.001	<0.001		2.31	2.23	-0.08	-1.8%
23-Sep-21	4																												
30-Sep-21	5	0.070	<0.000045	0.0187	2.91	0.0616	<0.005	0.00388	0.0004	<0.0015	10.0	0.00016	6.02	<0.000025	2.99	0.274	39	0.000006	0.0258	0.00006	0.000625	0.00042	<0.001	<0.001	CA15165-OCT21	3.37	3.54	0.18	2.5%
07-Oct-21	6																												
14-Oct-21	7	0.130	<0.000045	0.0166	2.99	0.0804	<0.005	0.00850	0.0013	<0.0015	11.3	0.00011	5.60	<0.000025	2.01	0.287	44	<0.000025	0.0264	0.00010	0.000482	0.00052	<0.001	<0.001	CA15526-OCT21	3.65	4.01	0.36	4.7%
21-Oct-21	8																												
28-Oct-21	9	0.172	<0.000045	0.0171	2.68	0.0888	<0.005	0.0191	0.0013	0.004	10.3	0.00018	5.51	<0.000025	1.30	0.281	45	<2.5E-06	0.0217	0.00006	0.000457	0.00061	<0.001	<0.001	CA14063-OCT21	3.70	3.84	0.14	1.8%
04-Nov-21	10																												
11-Nov-21	11	0.3	<0.000045	0.0161	2.63	0.0988	<0.005	0.0366	0.0018	<0.0015	12.8	0.00054	5.23	<0.000025	0.92	0.283	57	<2.5 <i>E</i> -06	0.024	0.00192	0.000422	0.00095	0.003	<0.001	CA15335-NOV21	4.37	4.23	-0.13	-1.6%
18-Nov-21	12																												
25-Nov-21	13	0.292	0.00013	0.0134	1.62	0.069	<0.005	0.038	0.0012	<0.0015	10.8	0.00063	5.07	<0.000025	0.66	0.21	36	0.000007	0.0218	<0.000025	0.000358	0.00054	<0.001	<0.001	CA15540-NOV21	4.05	3.27	-0.78	-10.6%
02-Dec-21	14																												
09-Dec-21	15	0.487	0.00024	0.0103	1.97	0.0752	<0.005	0.0627	0.0017	<0.0015	15	0.00031	4.06	<0.000025	0.92	0.215	52	0.000005	0.0211	0.00013	0.000285	0.00063	0.009	<0.001	CA15316-DEC21	4.19	4.33	0.14	1.6%
16-Dec-21	16																												
23-Dec-21	17	0.486	0.00028	0.0122	1.9	0.0867	<0.005	0.0499	0.0022	0.003	13.7	0.00099	4.45	<0.000025	1.98	0.206	44	0.000024	0.0198	0.00025	0.000302	0.00071	0.003	<0.001	CA15621-DEC21	3.70	4.17	0.47	6.0%
30-Dec-21	18																												
06-Jan-22	19	0.678	0.00019	0.0097	1.43	0.0421	<0.005	0.0457	0.0015	<0.0015	12.2	0.00036	4.61	<0.000025	0.75	0.192	50	<2.5 <i>E</i> -06	0.0185	0.00006	0.000244	0.00062	<0.001	<0.001	CA15125-JAN22	3.99	4.06	0.07	0.8%
13-Jan-22	20																												
20-Jan-22	21																												
27-Jan-22	22																												

Notes:

Stn.Code	Sample No.	Collect Date/Time	Vol-Leachate-LE	Vol-Eff-LE	pH-LE	Cond-LE	T-Alk-LE	DOC	NH3	NO2-N	NO3-N	CN-WAD	T-CN	SO4	D-Br	D-Cl	D-F	D-Ag	D-Al	D-As	D-B
Units			mL	mL	pH	uS/cm	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
GB_Tailings_INF	VA21B3979-002	2021-07-08 11:30	200		7.79	3030	255	28.5	26.4	0.0386	< 0.100	<1.00	33.4	1350	<1.00	11.2	<0.400	0.0000224	0.0124	0.994	0.0448
GB_Tailings_INF2	VA21C8551-003	2021-12-20 15:30	200		7.24	1392	109	5.61	3.85	0.0588	0.0486	< 0.0050	0.0151	588	< 0.250	10.3	0.191	0.0000653	0.0117	0.529	0.0463
GB_Tailings	VA21B3979-001	2021-07-08 11:30	258	7	7.59	3940	118	5.25	13.3	< 0.0200	< 0.100	< 0.0200	0.526	2270	<1.00	11.7	< 0.400	0.0000945	0.0165	0.388	0.0719
GB_Tailings	VA21B5142-001	2021-07-22 11:30	240		7.57	3930	129	5.74	12.8	< 0.0200	< 0.100	< 0.0050	1.18	2330	<1.00	11.9	< 0.400	0.0000905	0.0174	0.897	0.0839
GB_Tailings	VA21B6347-001	2021-08-05 11:00	235	7	7.63	3940	139	8.64	11.5	< 0.0200	< 0.100	0.0098	3.60	2210	<1.00	12.0	< 0.400	0.0000607	0.0101	1.12	0.0867
GB_Tailings	VA21B7647-001	2021-08-19 11:00	216		7.63	3890	133	11.3	11.0	< 0.0200	< 0.100	0.0075	7.65	2120	<1.00	11.8	<0.400	<0.0000250	0.0094	1.21	0.0842
GB_Tailings	VA21B8977-001	2021-09-02 11:54	235		7.71	3720	124	12.4	3.91	< 0.0200	< 0.100	< 0.0400	5.96	2050	<1.00	11.6	< 0.400	<0.0000250	0.0064	1.27	0.0828
GB_Tailings	VA21C0303-001	2021-09-16 10:30	229		7.64	3550	164	12.0	8.49	0.0525	< 0.100	< 0.0400	4.72	1880	<1.00	11.9	< 0.400	<0.0000250	0.0071	1.41	0.0895
GB_Tailings	VA21C1475-001	2021-09-29 11:00	237		7.70	3360	180	11.3	1.66	< 0.0200	< 0.100	< 0.200	4.68	1760	<1.00	12.0	< 0.400	<0.0000100	0.0087	1.41	0.0862
GB_Tailings	VA21C2814-001	2021-10-14 12:30	239		7.74	3230	195	10.9	7.21	< 0.0200	< 0.100	< 0.0400	3.37	1680	<1.00	11.8	< 0.400	<0.0000100	0.0064	1.45	0.0808
GB_Tailings	VA21C4087-001	2021-10-28 10:30	246		7.76	3120	196	10.3	6.84	< 0.0200	< 0.100	< 0.0400	2.37	1640	<1.00	12.4	<0.400	<0.0000100	0.0074	1.40	0.0770
GB_Tailings	VA21C5259-001	2021-11-10 10:30	223		7.67	3040	202	9.44	6.94	0.0211	< 0.100	< 0.0400	1.71	1490	<1.00	12.8	< 0.400	<0.0000100	0.0069	1.32	0.0749
GB_Tailings	VA21C6328-002	2021-11-25 10:30	169		7.75	2960	194	8.89	7.04	< 0.0200	< 0.100	< 0.0400	1.20	1470	<1.00	11.6	< 0.400	<0.000020	0.0095	1.37	0.071
GB_Tailings	VA21C7559-002	2021-12-09 10:30	174		7.81	2922	184	7.23	7.28	< 0.0200	< 0.100	< 0.0400	0.957	1460	<1.00	11.6	< 0.400	<0.000020	0.0081	1.44	0.064
GB_Tailings	VA21C8551-002	2021-12-22 11:00	171		7.84	2904	178	6.20	7.96	< 0.0200	< 0.100	< 0.0400	0.729	1480	<1.00	11.4	< 0.400	<0.0000100	0.0065	1.23	0.0582
GB_Tailings	VA22A0212-002	2022-01-06 10:30	175		8.09	2903	174	6.08	8.90	< 0.0200	< 0.100	< 0.0400	0.503	1400	<1.00	11.6	< 0.400	< 0.000020	0.0094	1.36	0.060
GB_Tailings	VA22A1125-002	2022-01-20 9:30	183		7.79	2851	175	4.16	9.83	< 0.0200	< 0.100	< 0.0400	0.395	1380	<1.00	11.4	<0.400	<0.0000100	0.0065	1.34	0.0540
GB_Tailings	VA22A2231-002	2022-02-03 10:00	182		7.80	2606	162	5.68	11	<0.0200	< 0.100	< 0.100	0.334	1240	<1.00	11.3	0.508	<0.0000100	0.0074	1.35	0.0574

Appendix D.4: Saturated Tailings Column Results

Stn.Code	Sample No.	Collect Date/Time	D-Ba	D-Be	D-Bi	D-Ca	D-Cd	D-Co	D-Cr	D-Cs	D-Cu	D-Fe	D-Hg	D-K	D-Li	D-Mg	D-Mn	D-Mo	D-Na	D-Ni	D-P
Units			mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
GB_Tailings_INF	VA21B3979-002	2021-07-08 11:30	0.0537	< 0.0000100	< 0.0000100	199	< 0.0000100	0.0990	< 0.00020	< 0.0000100	0.000565	11.1	< 0.0000050	44.6	0.0200	5.79	0.0139	0.0334	437	0.000754	< 0.100
GB_Tailings_INF2	VA21C8551-003	2021-12-20 15:30	0.0359	< 0.0000050	< 0.0000050	104	< 0.0000150	0.0269	< 0.00010	0.000213	0.0561	< 0.0010	< 0.0000050	45.7	0.0378	4.14	0.0503	0.0285	147	0.00159	< 0.050
GB_Tailings	VA21B3979-001	2021-07-08 11:30	0.0406	< 0.0000250	< 0.0000250	408	0.000260	0.0239	< 0.00050	< 0.0000250	0.00363	0.232	0.0000511	74.1	0.0565	30.5	0.471	0.0538	483	0.00371	< 0.250
GB_Tailings	VA21B5142-001	2021-07-22 11:30	0.0426	<0.0000250	< 0.0000250	445	0.0000650	0.0256	< 0.00050	0.0000374	0.00336	0.664	0.0000285	85.1	0.0516	35.3	0.593	0.0556	544	0.00539	< 0.250
GB_Tailings	VA21B6347-001	2021-08-05 11:00	0.0393	<0.0000250	< 0.0000250	432	< 0.0000300	0.0262	< 0.00050	< 0.0000250	0.00299	1.92	0.0000187	72.7	0.0429	32.3	0.570	0.0518	479	0.00473	< 0.250
GB_Tailings	VA21B7647-001	2021-08-19 11:00	0.0339	< 0.0000250	< 0.0000250	372	< 0.0000250	0.0263	< 0.00050	< 0.0000250	< 0.000250	1.91	0.0000070	66.3	0.0374	30.8	0.499	0.0432	439	0.00248	< 0.250
GB_Tailings	VA21B8977-001	2021-09-02 11:54	0.0316	<0.0000250	< 0.0000250	358	< 0.0000300	0.0278	< 0.00050	< 0.0000250	0.000887	2.49	< 0.0000050	62.6	0.0375	29.5	0.350	0.0480	448	0.00203	< 0.250
GB_Tailings	VA21C0303-001	2021-09-16 10:30	0.0299	< 0.0000250	< 0.0000250	337	< 0.0000250	0.0310	< 0.00050	< 0.0000250	0.000409	1.94	< 0.000100	60.4	0.0345	28.5	0.256	0.0509	463	0.00146	< 0.250
GB_Tailings	VA21C1475-001	2021-09-29 11:00	0.0265	< 0.0000100	< 0.0000100	316	< 0.0000200	0.0311	< 0.00020	< 0.0000100	0.000793	1.53	< 0.0000050	56.4	0.0342	25.2	0.210	0.0494	464	0.00135	< 0.100
GB_Tailings	VA21C2814-001	2021-10-14 12:30	0.0255	< 0.0000100	< 0.0000100	284	< 0.0000150	0.0357	< 0.00020	< 0.0000100	0.000727	1.23	< 0.0000050	55.9	0.0298	26.0	0.191	0.0477	463	0.00100	< 0.100
GB_Tailings	VA21C4087-001	2021-10-28 10:30	0.0242	< 0.0000100	< 0.0000100	268	< 0.0000150	0.0377	< 0.00020	< 0.0000100	0.000488	0.897	< 0.0000050	52.3	0.0309	23.4	0.185	0.0500	447	0.000831	< 0.100
GB_Tailings	VA21C5259-001	2021-11-10 10:30	0.0221	< 0.0000100	< 0.0000100	242	< 0.0000100	0.0369	< 0.00020	< 0.0000100	0.000293	0.677	< 0.0000050	49.7	0.0276	20.0	0.176	0.0460	412	0.000812	< 0.100
GB_Tailings	VA21C6328-002	2021-11-25 10:30	0.0202	< 0.000040	< 0.000100	224	< 0.0000250	0.0388	< 0.00100	< 0.000020	< 0.00040	0.539	< 0.000100	44.8	0.0266	18.5	0.177	0.0446	407	< 0.00100	< 0.100
GB_Tailings	VA21C7559-002	2021-12-09 10:30	0.0208	< 0.000040	< 0.000100	206	< 0.0000100	0.0422	< 0.00100	< 0.000020	< 0.00040	0.435	< 0.0000050	47.8	0.0238	18.5	0.191	0.0458	404	< 0.00100	< 0.100
GB_Tailings	VA21C8551-002	2021-12-22 11:00	0.0186	< 0.0000100	< 0.0000100	181	< 0.0000100	0.0370	< 0.00020	< 0.0000100	0.000142	0.338	< 0.0000050	42.1	0.0230	16.2	0.179	0.0430	405	0.000386	< 0.100
GB_Tailings	VA22A0212-002	2022-01-06 10:30	0.0201	< 0.000040	< 0.000100	185	< 0.0000100	0.0378	< 0.00100	<0.000020	< 0.00040	0.292	< 0.0000050	46.1	0.0237	16.0	0.210	0.0455	482	< 0.00100	< 0.100
GB_Tailings	VA22A1125-002	2022-01-20 9:30	0.0193	< 0.0000100	< 0.0000100	167	< 0.0000150	0.0338	< 0.00020	0.0000104	< 0.000100	0.231	< 0.0000050	45.2	0.0223	15.9	0.209	0.0466	426	0.000392	< 0.100
GB_Tailings	VA22A2231-002	2022-02-03 10:00	0.0194	< 0.0000100	< 0.0000100	160	< 0.0000100	0.0277	<0.00020	< 0.0000100	< 0.000100	0.176	< 0.0000050	43.8	0.0212	12.8	0.2	0.0481	416	0.000416	< 0.100

Appendix D.4: Saturated Tailings Column Results

Collect Date/Time D-Pb D-Rb D-S D-Sb D-Si D-Sn D-Sr D-Te Stn.Code Sample No. D-Se Units mg/L mg/L mg/L mg/L mg/L mg/L mg/L mg/L mg/L GB_Tailings_INF VA21B3979-002 2021-07-08 11:30 0.000022 0.00964 522 0.0406 0.00138 4.47 0.00151 1.52 0.000101 2021-12-20 15:30 GB_Tailings_INF2 VA21C8551-003 2.76 < 0.000020 0.000036 0.0213 203 0.0362 0.000940 0.000330 0.953 0.000143 GB_Tailings VA21B3979-001 2021-07-08 11:30 0.000094 0.0197 843 0.0162 0.000695 5.47 0.000159 2.50 GB_Tailings VA21B5142-001 2021-07-22 11:30 0.000089 969 0.0138 7.03 0.000310 2.86 0.000212 0.0218 0.00119 VA21B6347-001 2021-08-05 11:00 0.000088 0.0191 0.0123 0.000719 0.000560 0.000101 GB_Tailings 781 6.29 2.62 < 0.000050 GB_Tailings VA21B7647-001 2021-08-19 11:00 0.0138 0.0116 0.000451 6.87 0.000777 2.45 0.000141 808 GB_Tailings VA21B8977-001 2021-09-02 11:54 < 0.000050 0.0110 717 0.0106 0.000712 6.78 0.000809 2.29 < 0.000100 GB_Tailings VA21C0303-001 2021-09-16 10:30 < 0.000050 0.00834 653 0.000505 6.80 0.000985 2.14 0.000118 0.0104 GB_Tailings VA21C1475-001 2021-09-29 11:00 < 0.000020 0.00604 682 0.00956 0.000300 7.25 0.000985 1.98 0.000139 0.00824 VA21C2814-001 0.00529 647 0.000224 7.73 1.76 0.000074 GB_Tailings 2021-10-14 12:30 < 0.000020 0.000908 0.000075 GB_Tailings VA21C4087-001 2021-10-28 10:30 < 0.000020 0.00474 598 0.00826 0.000172 7.02 0.00100 1.66 GB_Tailings VA21C5259-001 2021-11-10 10:30 < 0.000020 0.00431 598 0.00728 0.000127 0.000954 1.60 0.000073 7.10 < 0.00040 GB_Tailings VA21C6328-002 2021-11-25 10:30 < 0.000100 0.00420 512 0.00690 0.000108 6.24 0.00094 1.36 GB_Tailings VA21C7559-002 2021-12-09 10:30 < 0.000100 0.00419 531 0.00606 < 0.000100 6.33 0.00098 1.36 < 0.00040 0.00408 GB_Tailings VA21C8551-002 2021-12-22 11:00 < 0.000020 462 0.00560 0.000094 6.47 0.000810 1.21 < 0.000040 VA22A0212-002 2022-01-06 10:30 < 0.000100 0.00472 0.00562 0.000145 0.00100 < 0.00040 GB_Tailings 524 6.63 1.26 GB_Tailings VA22A1125-002 2022-01-20 9:30 < 0.000020 0.00503 512 0.0053 0.000093 6.55 0.000933 1.2 0.000066 GB_Tailings VA22A2231-002 2022-02-03 10:00 < 0.000020 0.00501 492 0.00546 < 0.000080 6.29 0.000875 1.06 < 0.000040

Appendix D.4: Saturated Tailings Column Results

D-Th	D-Ti	D-Tl	D-U	D-V	D-W	D-Zn	D-Zr
mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
< 0.0000100	< 0.000100	0.0000122	0.00908	0.000325	0.00320	< 0.00100	< 0.000020
< 0.0000050	< 0.000100	0.0000756	0.000462	0.000298	0.00235	0.00139	< 0.000010
<0.0000250	< 0.000250	0.0000916	0.00186	0.000255	0.00119	0.00666	< 0.000050
<0.0000250	< 0.000250	0.0000548	0.00112	0.000466	0.000981	< 0.00250	< 0.000050
<0.0000250	< 0.000250	0.0000313	0.00110	0.000404	0.000799	< 0.00250	<0.000050
0.0000306	< 0.000250	<0.0000250	0.00113	0.000312	0.000595	< 0.00250	< 0.000050
<0.0000250	< 0.000250	<0.0000250	0.00130	0.000400	0.000697	< 0.00250	< 0.000050
<0.0000250	< 0.000250	<0.0000250	0.00135	0.000416	0.000833	<0.00250	< 0.000050
<0.0000100	0.000117	<0.0000100	0.00150	0.000433	0.000654	<0.00100	<0.000020
<0.0000100	< 0.000100	<0.0000100	0.00149	0.000413	0.000631	<0.00100	<0.000020
<0.0000100	< 0.000100	<0.0000100	0.00157	0.000370	0.000666	<0.00100	<0.000020
<0.0000100	< 0.000100	<0.0000100	0.00142	0.000333	0.000646	<0.00100	<0.000020
<0.00020	< 0.00060	<0.000020	0.00126	<0.00100	0.00055	< 0.0020	<0.00060
<0.00020	< 0.00060	< 0.000020	0.00114	< 0.00100	0.00063	< 0.0020	< 0.00060
<0.0000100	< 0.000100	<0.0000100	0.000934	0.000275	0.000658	< 0.00100	< 0.000020
<0.00020	< 0.00060	< 0.000020	0.000959	< 0.00100	0.00075	< 0.0020	<0.00060
< 0.0000100	< 0.000100	< 0.0000100	0.00067	0.000217	0.000764	< 0.00100	<0.000020
< 0.0000100	< 0.000100	< 0.0000100	0.000604	0.000201	0.000845	< 0.00100	< 0.000020

Appendix E: 2021 Bulk Sample Stockpile Runoff Water Quality



Appendix E: 2021 Bulk Sample Stockpile Runoff Water Quality

Bureau Veritas ID			PZA627	QFL451	QMD282	RAG732	RGZ697	RIG681
Sampling Date		Tier 1 EQS	2021-06-28 11:00	2021-07-27 11:30	2021-08-23	2021-10-20	2021-11-18 13:30	2021-12-08 13:06
	UNITS		DRAINAGE FROM ORE	DRAINAGE FROM ONE				
Calculated Parameters								
Field pH		6.5-9.0	-	-	-	7.61	7.77	7.35
Field Temperature	С	-	-	-	-	13.66	3.04	3.72
Field Conductivity	μS/cm	-	-	-	-	46	29	90
Anion Sum	me/L	-	-	0.12	-	-	-	0.7
Bicarb. Alkalinity (calc. as CaCO3)	mg/L	-	-	5.5	-	-	-	4.8
Calculated TDS	mg/L	-	-	6	-	-	-	52
Carb. Alkalinity (calc. as CaCO3)	mg/L	-	-	<1	-	-	-	<1
Cation Sum	me/L	-	-	0.1	-	-	-	0.74
Hardness (CaCO3)	mg/L	-	-	2.7	35	15	6	30
Ion Balance (% Difference)	% N/A	-	-	9.09	-	-	-	2.78
Langelier Index (@ 20C)	N/A	-	-	-3.88	-	-	-	-2.84
Langelier Index (@ 4C)	N/A	-	-	-4.13	-	-	-	-3.09
Nitrate (N)	mg/L	13	-	0.16	-	-	-	0.28
Saturation pH (@ 20C)	N/A	-	-	10.5	-	-	-	9.59
Saturation pH (@ 4C)	N/A	-	-	10.7	-	-	-	9.84
Inorganics	/т				.5			
	mg/L		-	-	<5	<)	-	<5
Discoluted Chloride (CL)	mg/L	- 100	-	5.5	8.3	-	-	9.5
Dissolved Unioride (UI-)	mg/L	120	-	<1	<1	1.2	-	<u> </u>
Colour Niterate + Niterite (NI)	т	-	-	<5	-	-	-	8.0
Nitaite (N)	mg/L	-	-	0.011	-	-	-	0.28
Nume (N)	mg/L	0.00	-	0.011	-	-	-	<0.01
Nitrogen (Ammonia Nitrogen)	mg/L	5.7	-	0.16	-	-	-	<0.05
Total Organic Carbon (C)	mg/L	-	-	2.4	-	-	-	1./
Orthophosphate (P)	mg/L	-	-	<0.01	-	-	-	<2
pH D: L IN L	рН	6.5-9.0	-	6.61	6.41	6.54	-	6./5
Dissolved Phosphorus	mg/L	-	-	-	0.031	0.014	-	0.19
Total Phosphorus	mg/L	-	-	-	-	0.053	-	-
Reactive Silica (SiO2)	mg/L	-	-	<0.5	-	-	-	5.8
Dissolved Sulphate (SO4)	mg/L	128	-	<2	29	12	-	20
	NIU	-	-	2	-	-	-	15
Alkalinity (Total as CaCO3)	mg/L	-	-	-	-	1.3	-	4.9
	μS/cm	-	-	8.3	83	42	-	80
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dissolved Mercury (Hg)	µg/L	0.026	-	-	<0.013	<0.013	<0.013	<0.013
Dissolved Aluminum (Al)	mg/L	0.005	0.1	0.011	0.021	0.0056	0.0055	0.0249
Dissolved Anumony (Sb)	mg/L	0.009	<0.001	<0.001	0.0013	<0.001	<0.001	0.0018
Dissolved Arsenic (As)	mg/L	0.005	0.247	0.02	1.2	0.75	0.001	5.38
Dissolved Barlum (Ba)	mg/L	l	0.0019	0.001	0.004	0.0011	0.001	0.0015
Dissolved Beryllium (Be)	mg/L	0.00015	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
Dissolved Distiluti (D)	mg/L	- 1.5	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002
Dissolved Bololi (B)	mg/L	0.00000	0.00024	0.000	<0.03	< 0.05	<0.03	0.00028
Dissolved Calaium (Ca)	mg/L mg/I	0.00009	1.50	1 1	12	5.2	2.02	10.0
Dissolved Chromium (Cr)	mg/L	-	-0.001		-0.001		<u> </u>	-0.001
Dissolved Cobalt (Co)	mg/L	0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001 ~0.000/
Dissolved Copper (Cu)	mg/L	0.01	0.0004	0.0004	0.0004	<u> </u>	<0.0004 <0.0005	0.00131
Dissolved Iron (Eq.)	mg/L	0.002	0.00517	<0.05	<0.05	<0.005	<0.0005	<0.05
Dissolved Lead (Pb)	mg/L	0.5	0.000	<0.03 <0.005	<0.03 <0.005	<0.05	<0.05 <0.005	<0.05
Dissolved Magnesium (Mg)	mg/L mg/I	0.001	0.25	<0.0005	0.5	0.31	0.23	0.71
Dissolved Manganese (Mn)	mg/L mg/I	0.43	0.25	0.0093	0.0032	0.014	0.0092	0.023
Dissolved Molybdenum (Mo)	mg/L	0.43	<0.0201	<0.0075	<0.0032	<0.002	<0.0092	<0.002
Dissolved Nickel (Ni)	mg/L mg/I	0.075	<0.002	<0.002	0.002	<0.002	<0.002	0.002
Dissolved Phoenhorus (P)	mg/L	0.025	<0.002	<0.1	<0.1	<0.002	<0.002	<0.1
Dissolved Potassium (K)	mg/L	-	0.65	0.12	1 4	0.64	0.36	1 27
Dissolved Selenium (Se)	mg/L	0.001	<0.005	<0.0005	<0.0005	<0.04	<0.005	<0.0005
Dissolved Silver (Ag)	mg/L mg/I	0.001	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003
Dissolved Sodium (Na)	mg/L	0.00023	1 70	0.61	0.62	0.00	1 52	2 /
Dissolved Strontium (Sr)	mg/L	-	0.0046	~0.002	0.02	0.70	0.0065	0.0423
Dissolved Thallium (TI)	mg/L	0.0008	<u> </u>	~0.002	<u> </u>	<u>~0.000</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Dissolved Tin (Sn)	mg/L	0.0000	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
Dissolved Titanium (Ti)	mg/L	-	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002
Dissolved Uranium (II)	mg/L	0.015	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002
Dissolved Vanadium (V)	mg/L	0.013	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001	<0.0001
Dissolved Zinc $(7n)$	mg/L	0.12	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	<0.002	
Notes:	mg/L	0.007	0.0000	0.0077	0.015	0.0051	<u>\0.005</u>	0.0072

Notes: Tier 1 EQS: Nova Scotia Tier 1 Environmental Quality Standards Nova Scotia Environment (NSE) (2021). Table 3 - Nova Scotia Tier 1 Environmental Quality Standards (EQS) for Surface Water and Groundwater Discharging to Surface Water (µg/L). In Notification of Contamination Protocol. Revised September 30, 2021 Ammonia guideline assumes pH 7.0 and temperature of 15°C Bold italic text indicates a pH outside of the Tier 1 EQS pH range. Grey shading indicates a concentration above the Tier 1 EQS.

Appendix F: Source Terms



Appendix F: Source Terms Goldboro Project: Geochemistry Report

Location	Time	Scenario	рН	Alkalinity	Sulphate	Cl	F	Al	Sb	As	Ba	Be	В	Cd	Ca	Cr	Со	Cu	Fe	Pb	Mg
			mg/L	mg CaCO3/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
	Short-term	Base Case	7.5	10.0	151	8.5	0.18	0.025	0.0069	0.16	0.0086	0.00050	0.051	0.000038	35	0.0010	0.0025	0.00062	0.12	0.0011	1.0
SE Dump		Upper Case	6.5	5.0	312	17	0.30	0.066	0.014	0.42	0.017	0.0010	0.10	0.000076	70	0.0020	0.0050	0.00095	0.24	0.0021	2.0
-	Long-term	Base Case	7.0	10.0	151	8.5	0.18	0.016	0.0069	0.10	0.0086	0.00050	0.026	0.000038	35	0.0010	0.0025	0.00052	0.12	0.0011	1.0
		Upper Case	6.0	5.0	315	17	0.30	0.043	0.014	0.27	0.017	0.0010	0.053	0.000076	70	0.0020	0.0050	0.00081	0.24	0.0021	2.0
	Short-term	Base Case	7.5	10.0	151	8.5	0.18	0.025	0.00/1	0.17	0.0086	0.00050	0.052	0.000038	35	0.0010	0.0025	0.00063	0.12	0.0011	1.0
NE Dump		Upper Case	6.5	5.0	313	17	0.30	0.068	0.014	0.43	0.017	0.0010	0.10	0.000076	70	0.0020	0.0050	0.00097	0.24	0.0021	2.0
	Long-term	Base Case	/.0	10.0	151	8.5	0.18	0.016	0.0070	0.11	0.0086	0.00050	0.027	0.000038	35	0.0010	0.0025	0.00053	0.12	0.0011	1.0
		Deper Case	0.0	5.0	310	1/	0.30	0.044	0.014	0.27	0.017	0.0010	0.055	0.000076	25	0.0020	0.0050	0.00082	0.24	0.0021	2.0
	Short-term	Base Case	1.5	10.0	211	8.5	0.18	0.024	0.0067	0.15	0.0080	0.00050	0.050	0.000038	35	0.0010	0.0025	0.00060	0.12	0.0011	1.0
NW Dump		Deper Case	0.5	3.0	511	1/	0.50	0.004	0.014	0.39	0.017	0.0010	0.10	0.000078	25	0.0020	0.0030	0.00093	0.24	0.0021	2.0
	Long-term	Lipper Case	7.0	5.0	212	0.J	0.18	0.015	0.0007	0.099	0.0080	0.00030	0.020	0.000038	<u> </u>	0.0010	0.0023	0.00031	0.12	0.0011	2.0
		Pasa Casa	0.0	10.0	151	1/	0.30	0.041	0.015	0.23	0.017	0.0010	0.031	0.000070	25	0.0020	0.0030	0.00079	0.24	0.0021	2.0
	Short-term	Lipper Case	6.5	5.0	310	0.5	0.17	0.022	0.0003	0.14	0.0080	0.00030	0.048	0.000038	70	0.0010	0.0023	0.00038	0.12	0.0011	2.0
Backfill		Base Case	7.0	10.0	151	85	0.17	0.000	0.015	0.07	0.017	0.0010	0.075	0.000070	35	0.0020	0.0030	0.00089	0.12	0.0021	1.0
	Long-term	Upper Case	6.0	5.0	311	17	0.17	0.015	0.0003	0.070	0.0000	0.00050	0.025	0.000038	70	0.0010	0.0023	0.00030	0.12	0.0011	2.0
		Base Case	7.5	10.0	80	85	0.18	0.040	0.0075	0.24	0.017	0.0010	0.038	0.0000100	10.8	0.0020	0.0025	0.00017	0.12	0.0021	1.0
	Short-term	Unner Case	6.5	5.0	171	17	0.10	0.020	0.0075	0.73	0.0000	0.0010	0.030	0.000016	15	0.0010	0.0020	0.00013	0.12	0.0021	2.0
Pit Wall - NPAG		Base Case	7.0	10.0	89	8.5	0.18	0.017	0.0075	0.24	0.0086	0.00050	0.026	0.000020	14	0.0010	0.0025	0.00014	0.12	0.0011	1.0
	Long-term	Upper Case	6.0	5.0	167	17	0.28	0.025	0.015	0.57	0.017	0.0010	0.051	0.000032	19	0.0020	0.0050	0.0016	0.24	0.0021	2.0
	~	Base Case	7.5	10.0	94	8.5	0.19	0.018	0.0075	0.33	0.0086	0.00050	0.061	0.0000110	16	0.0010	0.0025	0.00022	0.12	0.0011	1.0
	Short-term	Upper Case	6.5	5.0	192	17	0.30	0.025	0.015	0.80	0.017	0.0010	0.12	0.000017	22	0.0020	0.0050	0.0025	0.24	0.0021	2.0
Pit Wall - PAG2	T .	Base Case	5.5	-0.17	124	33	0.19	0.030	0.046	0.15	0.0086	0.00050	0.053	0.000038	30	0.0026	0.0025	0.0018	0.42	0.0011	1.0
	Long-term	Upper Case	5.0	-0.60	237	89	0.31	0.043	0.065	0.37	0.17	0.010	0.104	0.00076	41	0.0053	0.050	0.020	0.48	0.021	20
	<u>01</u>	Base Case	7.0	10.0	93	8.5	0.18	0.0105	0.0075	0.073	0.0086	0.00050	0.039	0.0000100	16	0.0010	0.0025	0.00015	0.12	0.0011	1.0
D'4 Wall DAC1	Snort-term	Upper Case	6.0	5.0	186	17	0.29	0.015	0.015	0.17	0.017	0.0010	0.077	0.000016	22	0.0020	0.0050	0.0017	0.24	0.0021	2.0
Pit wall - PAGI	Long tom	Base Case	4.0	-6.6	653	30	0.18	4.5	0.028	0.13	1.07	0.47	0.042	0.0012	6.0	0.0055	5.1	0.069	102	1.4	61
	Long-term	Upper Case	3.5	-23	1075	82	0.29	6.4	0.039	0.31	2.6	0.93	0.084	0.0019	8.1	0.0110	12	0.78	116	2.8	119
PAC1 in TME	Short-term	Base Case	7.0	10.0	148	8.5	0.10	0.0091	0.0040	0.44	0.0070	0.0010	0.027	0.000032	35	0.0010	0.0025	0.00036	0.18	0.0011	1.1
	Short-term	Upper Case	6.0	5.0	298	17	0.20	0.024	0.0079	1.4	0.014	0.0020	0.053	0.000076	70	0.0020	0.0050	0.00055	0.37	0.0021	2.2
NPAG Cover	Long-term	Base Case	7.0	10.0	140	8.5	0.12	0.013	0.0038	0.075	0.0086	0.00050	0.017	0.000038	35	0.0010	0.0025	0.00035	0.12	0.0011	1.0
	Long term	Upper Case	6.0	5.0	271	17	0.24	0.036	0.0077	0.19	0.017	0.0010	0.034	0.000076	70	0.0020	0.0042	0.00053	0.24	0.0021	2.0
	Short-term	Base Case	7.5	10.0	140	8.5	0.18	0.024	0.0067	0.15	0.0086	0.00050	0.050	0.000038	35	0.0010	0.0025	0.00060	0.12	0.0011	1.0
TMF Dam		Upper Case	6.5	5.0	282	17	0.30	0.064	0.014	0.39	0.017	0.0010	0.10	0.000076	70	0.0020	0.0050	0.00093	0.24	0.0021	2.0
	Long-term	Base Case	7.0	10.0	151	8.5	0.18	0.015	0.0067	0.099	0.0086	0.00050	0.026	0.000038	35	0.0010	0.0025	0.00051	0.12	0.0011	1.0
		Upper Case	6.0	5.0	313	17	0.30	0.041	0.013	0.25	0.017	0.0010	0.051	0.000076	70	0.0020	0.0050	0.00079	0.24	0.0021	2.0
Till Stockpile	Short-term	Upper Case	5.2	1.9	13	6.0	0.060	0.15	0.00090	0.0025	0.011	0.000042	0.024	0.000038	2.7	0.0028	0.00053	0.0026	0.35	0.0012	1.1
Organics Stockpile		Upper Case	3.8	-8.4	7.1	61	0.060	0.81	0.00090	0.0072	0.038	0.00012	0.092	0.00018	11	0.0021	0.0012	0.0079	0.42	0.011	3.9
Overburden	Long-term	Upper Case	4.2	-3.2	14	8.5	0.060	0.81	0.00090	0.012	0.0050	0.00012	0.050	0.000090	1.1	0.0010	0.0010	0.0020	0.42	0.0017	0.83
Process Water	Short-term	Base Case	7.4	179	970	11	0.30	0.018	0.038	0.82	0.051	0.000015	0.047	0.000044	168	0.00030	0.067	0.042	0.51	0.000051	5.2
	Short-term	Upper Case	7.2	106	1553	11	0.40	0.023	0.040	1.1	0.066	0.000025	0.047	0.000074	231	0.00050	0.11	0.056	1.0	0.000066	6.3
TMF Seenage	_	Base Case	7.8	181	1332	12	0.40	0.0074	0.0061	1.4	0.020	0.000010	0.062	0.000010	196	0.00020	0.037	0.00035	1.9	0.000020	19
Init Scepage		Upper Case	7.7	203	1678	13	0.51	0.0095	0.0083	1.4	0.024	0.000040	0.077	0.000025	269	0.0010	0.042	0.00049	4.5	0.00010	23

Notes: "PAG1 in TMF" source terms were applied for ROM Ore Stockpile during operations

Location	Time	Mn	Hg	Мо	Ni	K	Se	Ag	Na	Sr	TI	Sn	U	V	Zn	Ammonia (as N)	Nitrite (as N)	Nitrate (as N)	Cyanide
		mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg N/L	mg N/L	mg N/L	mg/L
	Short term	0.14	0.0000070	0.0032	0.021	17	0.00050	0.00010	30	0.13	0.00010	0.0012	0.0016	0.0050	0.018	0.37	0.20	23	
SE Dump	Short-term	0.28	0.000013	0.0059	0.062	31	0.0010	0.00020	60	0.26	0.00020	0.0024	0.0031	0.010	0.069	0.65	0.35	41	-
SE Dump	Long term	0.14	0.0000070	0.0032	0.028	17	0.00050	0.00010	30	0.13	0.00010	0.00098	0.0016	0.0035	0.018	-	-	-	-
	Long-term	0.28	0.000013	0.0058	0.062	33	0.0010	0.00020	60	0.26	0.00020	0.0020	0.0031	0.0071	0.070	-	-	-	-
	Short term	0.14	0.0000070	0.0032	0.021	17	0.00050	0.00010	30	0.13	0.00010	0.0012	0.0016	0.0052	0.018	0.37	0.20	23	-
NF Dump	Short-term	0.28	0.000013	0.0061	0.062	32	0.0010	0.00020	60	0.26	0.00020	0.0025	0.0031	0.010	0.070	0.66	0.36	41	-
NE Dump	Long term	0.14	0.0000070	0.0032	0.029	17	0.00050	0.00010	30	0.13	0.00010	0.00100	0.0016	0.0036	0.019	-	-	-	-
	Long-term	0.28	0.000013	0.0052	0.062	34	0.0010	0.00020	60	0.26	0.00020	0.0020	0.0031	0.0073	0.071	-	-	-	-
	Short-term	0.14	0.0000070	0.0032	0.022	17	0.00050	0.00010	30	0.13	0.00010	0.0012	0.0016	0.0048	0.018	0.31	0.17	19	-
NW Dump	Short-term	0.28	0.000013	0.0055	0.062	30	0.0010	0.00020	60	0.26	0.00020	0.0024	0.0031	0.0096	0.067	0.55	0.30	34	-
	Long-term	0.14	0.0000070	0.0032	0.027	17	0.00050	0.00010	30	0.13	0.00010	0.00096	0.0016	0.0034	0.018	-	-	-	-
	Long term	0.28	0.000013	0.0064	0.062	32	0.0010	0.00020	60	0.26	0.00020	0.0019	0.0031	0.0068	0.068	-	-	-	-
	Short-term	0.14	0.0000070	0.0032	0.023	17	0.00050	0.00010	30	0.13	0.00010	0.0011	0.0016	0.0045	0.017	0.77	0.42	48	-
Backfill		0.28	0.000013	0.0054	0.062	29	0.0010	0.00020	60	0.26	0.00020	0.0023	0.0031	0.0090	0.066	1.4	0.74	86	-
Duckini	Long-term	0.14	0.0000070	0.0032	0.026	17	0.00050	0.00010	30	0.13	0.00010	0.00094	0.0016	0.0032	0.017	-	-	-	-
	Long term	0.28	0.000013	0.0064	0.062	30	0.0010	0.00020	60	0.26	0.00020	0.0019	0.0031	0.0065	0.066	-	-	-	-
	Short-term	0.14	0.0000070	0.0032	0.031	7.3	0.00050	0.000090	30	0.13	0.000089	0.00061	0.0016	0.0027	0.0090	3.2	0.27	5.8	-
Pit Wall - NPAG		0.28	0.000013	0.0064	0.062	24	0.0010	0.00018	60	0.26	0.00018	0.0012	0.0031	0.0054	0.0117	16	2.4	15	-
	Long-term	0.14	0.0000070	0.0032	0.031	8.0	0.00050	0.000089	30	0.13	0.000089	0.00048	0.0016	0.0022	0.0090	-	-	-	-
		0.28	0.000013	0.0064	0.062	27	0.0010	0.00018	52	0.26	0.00018	0.00097	0.0031	0.0045	0.0116	-	-	-	-
	Short-term	0.14	0.0000070	0.0032	0.031	8.3	0.00050	0.000095	30	0.13	0.000095	0.00052	0.0016	0.0023	0.0095	3.2	0.27	5.8	-
Pit Wall - PAG2		0.28	0.000013	0.0064	0.062	28	0.0010	0.00019	60	0.26	0.00019	0.00104	0.0031	0.0046	0.012	16	2.4	15	-
	Long-term	0.14	0.000013	0.0060	0.031	6.9	0.0023	0.000098	40	0.13	0.00020	0.00091	0.0016	0.00040	0.25	-	-	-	-
		2.8	0.000025	0.0093	0.62	23	0.0039	0.00020	68	2.6	0.00039	0.0018	0.031	0.00079	0.33	-	-	-	-
	Short-term	0.14	0.0000070	0.0032	0.031	6.7	0.00050	0.000091	30	0.13	0.000091	0.00073	0.0014	0.0014	0.0093	3.2	0.27	5.8	-
Pit Wall - PAG1		0.28	0.000013	0.0064	0.062	22	0.0010	0.00018	60	0.26	0.00018	0.0015	0.0024	0.0028	0.012	16	2.4	15	
	Long-term	1.12	0.0000110	0.040	18	9.4	0.012	0.000087	83	0.52	0.00099	0.0045	0.093	0.000069	1.9	-	-	-	
		2.9	0.000022	0.062	39	31	0.021	0.00018	141	1.3	0.0020	0.0091	0.16	0.00014	2.5	-	-	-	-
PAG1 in TMF	Short-term	0.14	0.000013	0.0032	0.0070	15	0.00050	0.00010	30	0.13	0.00010	0.00090	0.0016	0.0018	0.012	-	-	-	-
		0.28	0.000026	0.0044	0.014	19	0.0010	0.00020	60	0.26	0.00020	0.0018	0.0031	0.0035	0.047	-	-	-	-
NPAG Cover	Long-term	0.14	0.0000070	0.0032	0.017	1/	0.00050	0.00010	30	0.13	0.00010	0.00065	0.0016	0.0027	0.012	0.050	0.011	3.0	-
		0.28	0.000013	0.0045	0.062	21	0.0010	0.00020	20	0.20	0.00020	0.0013	0.0031	0.0055	0.046	0.46	0.048	<u>8.9</u>	-
	Short-term	0.14	0.0000070	0.0032	0.021	1/	0.00050	0.00010	<u> </u>	0.13	0.00010	0.0012	0.0016	0.0048	0.018	0.050	0.011	3.0	-
TMF Dam		0.28	0.000013	0.0033	0.002	30	0.0010	0.00020	20	0.20	0.00020	0.0024	0.0031	0.0096	0.007	0.40	0.048	0.9	-
	Long-term	0.14	0.0000070	0.0032	0.027	17	0.00050	0.00010	<u> </u>	0.13	0.00010	0.00096	0.0016	0.0034	0.018	-	-	-	-
		0.28	0.000013	0.0032	0.002	52	0.0010	0.00020	00	0.20	0.00020	0.0019	0.0051	0.0008	0.008	-	-	-	-
Till Stockpile	Short-term	0.022	0.000010	0.00067	0.0011	1.8	0.0012	0.000050	4.7	0.016	0.000031	0.00030	0.00011	0.0015	0.033	0.30	0.030	0.35	-
Organics Stockpile		0.092	0.000020	0.0057	0.0031	6.2	0.00097	0.000050	13	0.094	0.00021	0.00038	0.00021	0.0036	0.16	1.4	0.030	0.62	-
Overburden	Long-term	0.36	0.000020	0.0020	0.0020	0.50	0.0010	0.000050	5.4	0.013	0.00010	0.00038	0.00010	0.0020	0.013	0.050	0.050	0.050	-
Process Water	Short-term	0.080	0.0000060	0.031	0.0014	47	0.0014	0.00013	295	1.2	0.000062	0.0012	0.0054	0.00035	0.0019	18	0.45	0.44	1.3
		0.11	0.0000070	0.033	0.0016	48	0.0018	0.00019	443	1.5	0.000076	0.0020	0.010	0.00041	0.0025	33	0.83	0.84	2.5
TMF Seenage	_	19	0.0000050	0.046	0.00083	46	0.00011	0.000010	413	1.4	0.000010	0.00096	0.0011	0.00037	0.0010	7.6	0.020	0.050	0.84
		21	0.00010	0.050	0.0010	52	0.00017	0.000020	484	1.7	0.000020	0.0010	0.0016	0.0010	0.0020	11	0.021	0.10	2.4

Notes: "PAG1 in TMF" source terms were applied for ROM Ore Stockpile during operations

Appendix E.4

Mine Rock Management Plan



Goldboro Project -ML/ARD Management Plan

Prepared for: Signal Gold Inc. 20 Adelaide St. East, Suite 915 Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5C 2T6

Prepared by: Lorax Environmental Services Ltd. 2289 Burrard St. Vancouver, BC, V6J 3H9

> May 27, 2022 Project No. A606-2



Document Revision Record

Date	Nature of Change	Page Inserted, Replaced, Revised or Cancelled	Signature

Table of Contents

DOCUMENT REVISION RECORD	
TABLE OF CONTENTSII	I
1. INTRODUCTION	-1
1.1 SCOPE AND OBJECTIVE1	-1
1.2 Project Understanding	-1
2. ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES	2-1
3. MATERIAL CHARACTERISTICS AND BALANCE	3-1
3.1 WASTE ROCK	3-1
3.2 ORE	3-6
3.3 OVERBURDEN	3-7
3.4 TAILINGS	3-8
4. ML/ARD MANAGEMENT	-1
4.1 MINE ROCK	-1
4.1.1 WASTE ROCK STORAGE AREAS	-1
4.1.2 PAG1 WASTE ROCK	-3
4.1.3 CONSTRUCTION MATERIAL	-3
4.1.4 OPEN PITS	-4
4.1.5 ROM ORE STOCKPILE	-4
4.2 TAILINGS	-5
4.3 Overburden	-5
5. ML/ARD MONITORING	5-1
5.1 PRE-BLAST MINE ROCK MONITORING	<i>i</i> -1
5.2 Overburden Monitoring	5-2
5.3 TAILINGS MONITORING	5-2
5.4 VERIFICATION (POST-DEPOSITION) MONITORING	5-2
5.5 QA/QC SAMPLING	5-3
5.6 ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES	5-3
5.7 SEEP SURVEYS	5-5
6. CONTINGENCY MANAGEMENT	5-1
7. IMPLEMENTATION AND REPORTING	/-1
7.1 COMMUNICATION AND OPERATIONAL PROTOCOLS7	/-1
7.2 RECORD KEEPING AND TRACKING7	/-1
7.3 Reporting	/-1
8. CLOSURE	3-1
References 1	

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1-1:	GOLDBORO PROJECT GENERAL ARRANGEMENT
FIGURE 3-1:	DISTRIBUTION OF ARD DESIGNATIONS DETERMINED BY THE GEO-ENVIRONMENTAL BLOCK MODEL
FIGURE 3-2:	DISTRIBUTION OF SOLID PHASE ARSENIC DETERMINED BY THE GEO-ENVIRONMENTAL BLOCK MODEL
FIGURE 4-1:	Flow Chart Illustrating the Decision Sequence and ML/ARD Management Strategies to be Employed for Waste Rock
FIGURE 4-2:	LEACHABLE ARSENIC VERSUS SOLID PHASE ARSENIC FOR THE DIFFERENT WASTE ROCK ARD DESIGNATIONS
FIGURE 5-1:	$\label{eq:linear} Linear \ Regression \ of \ measured \ operational \ NPR \ versus \ [Total \ C \ / \ Total \ S] \ for \ the \ development \ of \ environmental \ proxies \ \dots \ 5-4$

LIST OF TABLES

 TABLE 3-1: SUMMARY OF TOTAL SULPHUR AND ARSENIC CONTENTS OF GOLDBORO WASTE ROCK ARD DESIGNATIONS TABLE 3-2: WASTE ROCK TONNAGES FOR THE DIFFERENT ARD DESIGNATIONS ESTIMATED BY BLOCK MODELLING OVER THE LIFE OF MINE TABLE 3-3: WASTE ROCK PRODUCTION SCHEDULE (IN KT) OVER THE LIFE OF MINE TABLE 3-4: ORE PRODUCTION SCHEDULE (IN KT) OVER THE LIFE OF MINE TABLE 3-5: ANNUAL TILL PRODUCTION SCHEDULE (IN KT) OVER THE LIFE OF MINE TABLE 3-5: SAMPLING FREQUENCY FOR THE DIFFERENT MATERIAL TYPES DURING OPERATIONAL MONITORING TABLE 6-1: OVERVIEW OF POTENTIAL ML/ARD CONTINGENCY MEASURES 	TABLE 2-1:	SUMMARY OF ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES	. 2-1
TABLE 3-2: WASTE ROCK TONNAGES FOR THE DIFFERENT ARD DESIGNATIONS ESTIMATED BY BLOCK MODELLING OVER THE LIFE OF MINE 3 TABLE 3-3: WASTE ROCK PRODUCTION SCHEDULE (IN KT) OVER THE LIFE OF MINE 3 TABLE 3-4: ORE PRODUCTION SCHEDULE (IN KT) OVER THE LIFE OF MINE 3 TABLE 3-5: ANNUAL TILL PRODUCTION SCHEDULE (IN KT) OVER THE LIFE OF MINE 3 TABLE 3-5: SAMPLING FREQUENCY FOR THE DIFFERENT MATERIAL TYPES DURING OPERATIONAL MONITORING 5 TABLE 6-1: OVERVIEW OF POTENTIAL ML/ARD CONTINGENCY MEASURES 6	TABLE 3-1:	SUMMARY OF TOTAL SULPHUR AND ARSENIC CONTENTS OF GOLDBORO WASTE ROCK AF DESIGNATIONS	RD 3-2
TABLE 3-3: WASTE ROCK PRODUCTION SCHEDULE (IN KT) OVER THE LIFE OF MINE	TABLE 3-2:	WASTE ROCK TONNAGES FOR THE DIFFERENT ARD DESIGNATIONS ESTIMATED BY BLOCK MODELLING OVER THE LIFE OF MINE	к 3-3
TABLE 3-4: ORE PRODUCTION SCHEDULE (IN KT) OVER THE LIFE OF MINE 3 TABLE 3-5: ANNUAL TILL PRODUCTION SCHEDULE (IN KT) OVER THE LIFE OF MINE 3 TABLE 5-1: SAMPLING FREQUENCY FOR THE DIFFERENT MATERIAL TYPES DURING OPERATIONAL MONITORING 5 TABLE 6-1: OVERVIEW OF POTENTIAL ML/ARD CONTINGENCY MEASURES 6	TABLE 3-3:	WASTE ROCK PRODUCTION SCHEDULE (IN KT) OVER THE LIFE OF MINE	. 3-6
TABLE 3-5: ANNUAL TILL PRODUCTION SCHEDULE (IN KT) OVER THE LIFE OF MINE 3 TABLE 5-1: SAMPLING FREQUENCY FOR THE DIFFERENT MATERIAL TYPES DURING OPERATIONAL 5 TABLE 6-1: OVERVIEW OF POTENTIAL ML/ARD CONTINGENCY MEASURES 6	TABLE 3-4:	ORE PRODUCTION SCHEDULE (IN KT) OVER THE LIFE OF MINE	. 3-7
TABLE 5-1: SAMPLING FREQUENCY FOR THE DIFFERENT MATERIAL TYPES DURING OPERATIONAL MONITORING 5 TABLE 6-1: OVERVIEW OF POTENTIAL ML/ARD CONTINGENCY MEASURES	TABLE 3-5:	ANNUAL TILL PRODUCTION SCHEDULE (IN KT) OVER THE LIFE OF MINE	. 3-8
TABLE 6-1: OVERVIEW OF POTENTIAL ML/ARD CONTINGENCY MEASURES 6	TABLE 5-1:	SAMPLING FREQUENCY FOR THE DIFFERENT MATERIAL TYPES DURING OPERATIONAL MONITORING	. 5-1
	TABLE 6-1:	OVERVIEW OF POTENTIAL ML/ARD CONTINGENCY MEASURES	. 6-1

1.1 Scope and Objective

The scope of this metal leaching and acid rock drainage (ML/ARD) management plan (the Plan) is to define practical handling and monitoring strategies for geologic materials produced throughout construction and operations of the Goldboro Gold Project (the Project). For the purpose of this document, the term 'geologic materials' encompasses waste rock, ore, overburden, and tailings. The Plan also outlines relevant aspects associated with the implementation of these practices, such as the definition of roles and responsibilities, as well as record keeping and reporting procedures.

The primary objective of the Plan is the minimization of detrimental geochemical effects associated with ML/ARD on water quality in the receiving environment downgradient of the Project site. Strategies to achieve this objective and outlined herein are based on the site-specific geochemical understanding of the Project materials discussed in the Goldboro Geochemistry Report (Lorax, 2022). It is important to note that this Plan is considered a "living" document that will be continuously refined as additional geochemical data and mine plan information become available.

1.2 Project Understanding

The Project, owned by Signal Gold Inc. (Signal Gold), is located on the eastern shore of Nova Scotia, approximately 175 km northeast of the city of Halifax and 60 km southeast of the town of Antigonish. The Project target is a turbidite-hosted gold deposit which formed in a unique structural setting defined by the Upper Seal Harbour Anticline. The primary host rock lithologies are variably-altered and interbedded greywacke and argillite. Gold and sulphide mineralization has occurred preferentially in or near the hinges of the anticline and is associated with both wall rock and quartz veining. Argillites contain diagenetic and secondary (hydrothermal) pyrite, pyrrhotite, and arsenopyrite (Nordmin, 2022).

Open pit mining is currently proposed over a 11-year mine life. Project infrastructure considered for the purpose of this Plan include the following components:

- One tailings management facility (TMF).
- Two open pits (West Pit and the East Pit).
- Four waste rock storage areas (WRSA); note: the southwest WRSA contains stripped till rather than waste rock.
- Segregated till and organics (topsoil) stockpiles.

An overview of the proposed site layout is given in Figure 1-1.



Roles and Responsibilities

A summary of the roles and responsibilities for the ML/ARD monitoring programs is provided in Table 2-1. Waste rock sample collection should be undertaken by the Mine Geologist. Tailings sampling will be conducted by the metallurgists or other mill personnel at site. The Mine Operations team is responsible for the implementation of ML/ARD management strategies with guidance from the Environmental department who manages, reviews, and reports any geochemical data produced as part of this program. Chemical analyses, including total sulphur (S) and carbon (C) will be conducted by onsite laboratory staff.

2.

Department/Title	Roles and Responsibilities
Mine Rock ML/ARD Monitoring	and Management
Mine Geologist or designate	 Generate ML/ARD sampling SOP Collect grade control or blast samples Communicate with Mine Operations and Environment Transfer samples to onsite laboratory or Environment for (external) duplicates
Mine Operations	Plan blasting and oversee blasting activitiesImplement material handling for PAG and NPAG material
Environment	 Review blast materials sampling procedure in SOP Ship samples to external lab for appropriate testing Review and manage ML/ARD sampling results Provide guidance to Geology and Mine Operations regarding sampling frequency requirements and material handling
Tailings ML/ARD Monitoring an	nd Management
Metallurgist	 Review and update tailings sampling SOP Facilitate availability of sampling equipment and access to the sampling location
Metallurgical Technician	 Perform tailings solid sampling as per SOP Transfer samples to onsite laboratory or Environment for (external) duplicates
Environment	 Review tailings sampling procedure in SOP Ship samples to external lab for appropriate testing Review and manage ML/ARD sampling results Provide guidance to Geology and Mine Operations regarding sampling frequency requirements and material handling

 Table 2-1:

 Summary of Roles and Responsibilities

Notes: SOP = Standard Operating Procedure; PAG = Potentially Acid Generating; NPAG = Non Potentially Acid Generating.

The ARD potential of geologic materials is determined by acid base accounting (ABA) testwork, which measures a variety of parameters relating to the ratio of acid generating to acid neutralizing minerals. The likelihood of a sample to generate acidity can be quantified by the comparison of the neutralization potential (NP) and acid potential (AP). In general, NP is primarily related to the carbonate content of a sample, while AP is calculated from the sulphur content of a sample. The net potential ratio (NPR = NP/AP) represents a measure that is commonly used to identify whether a sample is potentially acid generating (PAG) or non PAG (NPAG).

Metal leaching potential can be assessed using a variety of testing, including solid phase elemental abundance, shake flask extraction (SFE), and kinetic testwork. While the solid phase elemental contents, compared with average crustal abundance, can provide a screening tool as to which elements are naturally enriched in geological materials and to what extent, leach testing such as SFE or humidity cells is generally recommended at a minimum to assess the metal mobility under neutral and acidic conditions.

A comprehensive ML/ARD sampling program was initiated in 2020 to support the Project's Feasibility Study and Environmental Assessment Registration Document required for provincial permitting. This program included static testing for waste rock (n = 174), ore (n = 14), tailings (n = 8), and overburden (soil and till; n = 28) (Lorax, 2022). All samples were submitted for ABA and solid phase metals analysis, while a subset of samples underwent more advanced geochemical (*e.g.*, SFE and kinetic testing) and mineralogical analyses.

3.1 Waste Rock

3.

The ARD characteristics of the Project waste rock and ore were defined in Lorax (2022) on the basis of the NPR as follows:

- $PAG1 NPR < 1 \text{ or } 1 \le NPR \le 2 \text{ and total } S \ge 0.2 \text{ wt. }\%$
- $PAG2 1 \le NPR \le 2$ and total S < 0.2 wt. %
- NPAG NPR > 2

This classification scheme is in agreement with recommendations made in Price (2009) and provides increased resolution on PAG risk where PAG1 materials are considered more likely to generate low-pH drainage in the long-term than the PAG2 designation.

Static geochemical testing revealed that waste rock had relatively low total S content with 90th percentile values for all three ARD designations falling below 0.55 wt.%. In general, total S contents increase from NPAG to PAG2 to PAG1 (Table 3-1). In the static testing database, waste rock is dominantly NPAG (63%), with lesser PAG1 (26%) and PAG2 (11%). It is important to note however, that geochemical proxies based on results from the geochemical characterization (Lorax, 2022) were imported into the geological block model (prepared by others) to more accurately constrain tonnages of PAG1, PAG2, and NPAG rock produced over the life of mine based on the full assay database. The resulting estimates for waste rock using the block model approach are 9% PAG1, <1% PAG2, and 91% NPAG (Table 3-2). A plan view of the modeled distribution of the different material types across the two open pits is given in Figure 3-1.

The time to onset of acidic conditions for PAG material was constrained using the NP depletion rates from four humidity cell tests (Lorax, 2022). The results of this exercise showed that it will take approximately 9 years for 25% of all PAG samples to turn acidic and around 25 years for 75% of PAG samples to become acidic should these materials be exposed in isolation and under oxidizing conditions. For water quality modelling purposes it was recommended that, considering the information at hand to date, ARD onset be conservatively modelled to occur at 5 (five) years after material exposure for PAG materials (Lorax, 2022).

Table 3-1:
Summary of Total Sulphur and Arsenic Contents of Goldboro Waste Rock ARD
Designations

T 11 **A** 4

Parameter	Total S	As µg/g	
Units	wt.%		
Waste Rock (n = 174)			
<i>NPAG</i> (<i>n</i> = 110)			
Median	0.029	62	
90 th Percentile	0.066	380	
Maximum	0.32	2,600	
<i>PAG1</i> $(n = 45)$			
Median	0.24	1,700	
90 th Percentile	0.54	6,360	
Maximum	1.7	20,000	
<i>PAG2</i> $(n = 19)$			
Median	0.086	220	
90 th Percentile	0.17	1,680	
Maximum	0.18	2,100	

Notes:

PAG: Potentially Acid Generating; NPAG: Non Potentially Acid Generating.

Material Type	Units	Tonnage	Percentage
NPAG	kt	107,539	91%
PAG1	kt	10,721	9%
PAG2	kt	227	0.2%

Table 3-2:
Waste Rock Tonnages for the Different ARD Designations Estimated by Block
Modelling Over the Life of Mine

Notes:

NPAG: Non Potentially Acid Generating; PAG: Potentially Acid Generating.

Arsenic was identified to be the main element of concern exceeding 10x the average upper continental crustal abundance (AUCCA; Rudnick and Gao, 2014) in 73% of analyzed waste rock samples. Arsenic concentrations were also generally elevated in the waste rock SFE test leachates (Lorax, 2022). All samples had As values above the Nova Scotia Tier I Environmental Quality Standards (EQS) for Surface Water and Groundwater Discharging to Surface Water (NSECC, 2021). This result indicates that As is a parameter of concern for the site even under neutral drainage conditions. This is further confirmed by As leaching results from the humidity cells tests which indicate that elevated As concentrations are not limited to waste rock with a high ARD risk. Nevertheless, As has been shown to be largely hosted in sulphides (arsenopyrite, pyrite, pyrrhotite) and a positive relationship exists between solid-phase As and S contents (Table 3-1). Therefore, it is believed that, ambient water chemistry conditions being the same, As leaching would occur at higher rates from waste rock with higher solid phase As content. Early field kinetic test results confirm this hypothesis (Lorax, 2022). The distribution of solid phase As derived through block modelling is given in Figure 3-2.

Waste rock humidity cell and field bin tests have shown relatively low metal leaching rates with respect to dissolved species other than As (Lorax, 2022). It should however be noted that leachate has remained circumneutral in leach tests conducted to date and increased metal loading rates are anticipated from PAG materials once acidic conditions are established.

In total, approximately 118 Mt of waste rock will be excavated over the life of mine (Table 3-3). NPAG waste rock excavated from the East and West Pits will be used for construction, stored within one of the WRSAs, or backfilled in the pit.



Figure 3-1: Distribution of ARD designations determined by the geo-environmental block model.



Figure 3-2: Distribution of solid phase arsenic determined by the geo-environmental block model.

T 7		East Pit		West Pit			T (1
Year	NPAG	PAG1	PAG2	NPAG	PAG1	PAG2	Total
-2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
-1	2,161	67	1	0	0	0	2,229
1	5,533	97	1	1,352	676	12	7,671
2	7,132	72	1	3,176	721	36	11,139
3	6,265	101	2	3,007	694	23	10,093
4	4,281	71	4	8,729	1,110	22	14,217
5	7,820	144	4	8,132	1,334	29	17,464
6	7,186	137	3	1,397	402	9	9,134
7	3,542	82	0	5,196	648	21	9,489
8	103	1	0	15,296	1,151	36	16,588
9	0	0	0	10,550	1,657	22	12,229
10	0	0	0	5,557	1,316	7	6,880
11	0	0	0	1,123	238	0	1,361
Total	44,023	773	17	63,516	9,948	217	118,494

Table 3-3: Waste Rock Production Schedule (in kt) Over the Life of Mine

Notes:

NPAG: Non Potentially Acid Generating; PAG: Potentially Acid Generating.

3.2 Ore

The ML/ARD classification described above for waste rock also applies to ore. Ore material is overall classified as PAG1 (93%), based on the ore samples included in the ML/ARD database (Lorax, 2022).

Ore is expected to have a similar or higher ML potential relative to waste rock. In general, the ore samples show total S and solid phase metal contents comparable to or higher than those recorded for PAG1 waste rock and also showed elevated As in SFE leachates. Parameters of potential concern identified in field bin and the bulk sample stockpile drainage include As, Cu, Pb, and Zn despite circum-neutral conditions (Lorax, 2022).

Ore from the East and West Pits transported from the mine to the process plant area by haul trucks for primary crushing. It is expected that stockpiled, crushed ore will be rehandled for processing very shortly after placement. The mill feed system is designed to maintain an ore feed of 181 t/h into the ball mill via conveyors (Nordmin, 2022). A total of almost 16 Mt of ore will be excavated over the life of mine (Table 3-4).

Year	East Pit	West Pit	Total
-2	0	0	0
-1	221	0	221
1	705	343	1,048
2	910	550	1,460
3	863	597	1,460
4	144	1,316	1,460
5	532	928	1,460
6	1,174	286	1,460
7	875	585	1,460
8	44	1,416	1,460
9	0	1,600	1,600
10	0	1,960	1,960
11	0	750	750
Total	5,468	10,331	15,799

 Table 3-4:

 Ore Production Schedule (in kt) Over the Life of Mine

3.3 Overburden

Overburden material representing organic substrate (*i.e.*, soil) and till will be stripped in various Project site areas during the construction phase. The overburden samples collected as part of the geochemical characterization program (Lorax, 2022) generally had total S content of less than 0.2 wt.% and lacked NP. All soil samples and most till samples (88%) were considered PAG with NPR values below 2.0.

In general, metal contents are lower in the overburden samples in comparison to waste rock, with Se being an exception. Selenium contents were below the detection limit (<0.70 ppm) in all waste rock samples but was measured at concentrations as high as 4.0 ppm in the overburden samples.

The overburden SFE leachates were mildly acidic (pH < 6.5) and several metals showed elevated concentrations in the leachate for both the soil and till samples. Further geochemical characterization of the soil material was recommended to assess the source of acidity in these materials and longer-term ML potential.

The largest volume of overburden is expected to be disturbed and removed in the footprint of the open pits. Organics and till will be segregated and stored in temporary stockpiles during operations for later use in the construction of infrastructure (*e.g.*, TMF)

embankment) and WRSA reclamation covers. The total estimated soil tonnage for the Project is 0.6 Mt. The till production and storage schedule is provided in Table 3-5. and includes a total of 7.5 Mt of till. A detailed soil production schedule was not available at the time of preparation of this Plan; it is expected that soil will be excavated alongside till at proportionally lower tonnages.

Year	East Pit	West Pit	Total
-2	0	0	0
-1	1,398	0	1,398
1	1,069	1,545	2,614
2	253	1,608	1,861
3	36	154	190
4	0	4	4
5	0	0	0
6	0	0	0
7	0	1,456	1,456
8	0	0	0
9	0	0	0
10	0	0	0
11	0	0	0
Total	2,756	4,767	7,523

 Table 3-5:

 Annual Till Production Schedule (in kt) Over the Life of Mine

3.4 Tailings

The tailings samples included in the geochemical characterization program (Lorax, 2022) had total S contents between 0.16 and 0.57 wt.%. All tailings samples were classified as PAG1, with NPR less than or close to 1. Time to onset of ARD has not been estimated based on current humidity cell results to date; however, under current mine plan considerations, tailings will not be exposed to unsaturated conditions (Nordmin, 2022) and therefore acidic drainage within the TMF is not expected.

All tailings samples showed As contents above 10x the AUCCA, consistent with findings for mine rock. Arsenic was also identified as the primary parameter of concern for leaching from tailings, as indicated by various leach tests. Tailings humidity cell results indicate that metal loading rates from tailings are consistently higher than those measured in mine rock humidity cells. This can be explained by the finer grain size of the tailings as well as the
dissolution of labile, metal-bearing oxidation products that are formed in the mill environment.

All tailings will be deposited within the TMF. An estimated 16.2 Mt of tailings is expected to be produced over the life of mine.

4.1 Mine Rock

Material handling practices for mine rock, referring to waste rock and ore, were designed to minimize the risk of water quality impacts and degradation through operational segregation and strategic placement of waste rock into the three ARD designations defined above (*i.e.*, NPAG, PAG1, PAG2). The following sections outline the key management strategies with respect to ML/ARD considerations.

4.1.1 Waste Rock Storage Areas

The WRSAs including East Pit backfill will store excess NPAG waste rock and overburden not required for construction. The limited amounts of PAG2 waste rock (<1 Mt; Figure 3-1) produced during the life of mine will be interlayered with NPAG waste rock operationally in the various WRSAs. Based on the low sulphur content of these materials (<0.2%), the geochemical knowledge gained from kinetic testing (Lorax, 2022), and the understanding of the production schedule of NPAG and PAG2 waste rock, it is not currently expected that prescriptive interlayering specifications (*e.g.*, maximum PAG2 thicknesses) are required. Rather, the low volumes of PAG2 waste rock will be intermixed with NPAG rock sufficiently to prevent the onset of acidic conditions. In general, the exposure of PAG2 material in the uppermost lifts and outermost lift faces of the final WRSA configurations is to be avoided.

Aside from the knowledge gained from geo-environmental block modelling, the designation and volume of the different waste rock classes will be validated through operational monitoring (Section 5.1). The sequence of work steps informing waste rock handling decisions is given in Figure 4-1. Should unexpectedly large tonnages of PAG2 material be identified during operational monitoring, this material may need to be temporarily stockpiled to allow for the encapsulation of PAG2 layers of limited thickness within NPAG rock to prevent ARD onset (see Section 6). This thickness would be based on geochemical first principles and should rely on site-specific kinetic testing. Alternatively, PAG2 material may be backfilled into the East Pit where it will ultimately be flooded.

At closure, reclamation covers constructed with stockpiled overburden materials will be placed on all WRSAs containing waste rock (*i.e.*, exclusive of the SE WRSA). These covers will be re-vegetated and are intended to limit infiltration and exposure of waste rock in the long-term (GHD, 2022a). Note that these covers were not assumed to act as an oxygen barrier for geochemical modelling purposes (Lorax, 2022).



Figure 4-1: Flow Chart Illustrating the Decision Sequence and ML/ARD Management Strategies to be Employed for Waste Rock

4.1.2 PAG1 Waste Rock

All PAG1 waste rock produced during operations will be co-deposited with tailings in the TMF (Figure 4-1) and will be inundated by the tailings slurry and the associated water cover within three (3) years of exposure (Blackwell, pers. comm., 2022) to inhibit sulphide oxidation. This timeline will prevent the onset of ARD from these materials. The deposition of PAG materials under saturated conditions is considered an industry best practice for the prevention of ARD (MEND, 2001). Further, the TMF will be fully lined to minimize pore water seepage from this facility into the receiving environment.

At mine closure, the water cover will be drained, and a dry cover constructed with NPAG waste rock will be implemented. In this configuration, all PAG1 waste rock will be encapsulated by tailings and remain shielded from oxygen ingress in the long-term.

4.1.3 Construction Material

Waste rock will be used for the construction of selected site infrastructure including laydown areas/pads, roads, and the TMF embankments/cover. Of these, the latter will contain the largest amounts of waste rock (18.9 Mt). All construction sites outside of the WRSAs will exclusively utilize NPAG waste rock to prevent the formation of ARD. Further, low-As waste rock will be targeted for these facilities. The geochemical assessment demonstrated a weak but non-negligible positive relationship of solid phase As content and As leachate data (SFE testing) (Figure 4-2). Based on this relationship, a solid phase As concentration of 100 ppm is proposed as a preliminary cut-off to use for waste rock for construction since samples with lower As contents generally had lower SFE As concentrations. This solid phase As value falls between the median and 75th percentile values for the NPAG waste rock population (Lorax, 2022). The distribution of low As contents in NPAG waste rock was constrained using the block model and will be confirmed through operational monitoring (Figure 4-1). The pre-mine understanding suggests that As contents are generally significantly lower in the peripheral zones of the open pits with zones containing <100 ppm being relatively abundant within the NPAG domains (Figure 3-2). While the precise mechanisms of As leaching are affected by a variety of geochemical controls, this material handling strategy is believed to reduce the risk for As leaching across the mine site.



Figure 4-2: Leachable arsenic *versus* solid phase arsenic for the different waste rock ARD designations

4.1.4 Open Pits

The excavation of the open pits will expose mine rock material in the pit walls. During operations, pit wall runoff will be captured in the pit sump and sent to the water treatment plant as required. It is expected that exposures of all mine rock material types, including PAG1, PAG2, and NPAG will be available to react with precipitation and produce a geochemical load that, along with groundwater inflows, contributes to the pit sump chemistry. Due to the transient nature of the open pit resulting from active mining, it is not expected that large surface areas of PAG rock will be exposed for extended periods of time. Therefore, no specific ML/ARD management strategies have been developed for pit wall exposures during operations.

When pit dewatering is terminated at the end of mining, the groundwater level will rebound naturally, thereby flooding large portions of the pit walls. West Pit filling is further accelerated by decanting of the TMF water cover. Flooding of PAG pit walls will effectively mitigate ARD from submerged PAG pit wall exposures.

4.1.5 ROM ore Stockpile

While ore can largely be classified as PAG1, this material will only be stockpiled temporarily near the mill site before being processed. Further, this area will be covered (Nordmin, 2022) and ingress of precipitation can be expected to be minimal. Therefore, onset of ARD is not expected to occur between ore production and processing. Should a portion of the ore be deemed uneconomical due to unforeseen market adjustments, this

material would be transported to the TMF or backfilled into the East Pit at the end of operations.

4.2 Tailings

All tailings will be deposited in slurry form in the TMF which is lined by a geomembrane to minimize seepage losses from the facility. The current mine plan assumes that the tailings solids will be saturated throughout operations, thereby inhibiting sulphide oxidation, ARD onset, and minimize associated ML. Specifically, a 2m water cover will be maintained over the tailings throughout operations (Nordmin, 2022).

The water cover will be partially drained to allow for the placement of a dry cover constructed with NPAG waste rock and overburden. Hydrological modelling has determined that tailings will remain saturated in the long-term.

4.3 Overburden

Overburden comprises till and soil materials which will be segregated and selectively used for construction (*e.g.*, TMF embankments). Excess material will be temporarily stored in designated stockpiles. Till will make up the entire SW WRSA and a portion of the NE WRSA footprint. Soils will be deposited in organics stockpiles across the site (Figure 1-1).. No specific material handling strategies are proposed for these materials during operations. Over the 12-year mine life for which overburden is stockpiled, geochemical effects will be mitigated through water management and treatment as necessary. It is understood that seepage volumes from these stockpiles are minimal as a result of the physical properties (*i.e.*, fine grain size) of these materials (GHD, 2022b).

At the end of mining, all overburden stockpiles will be consumed for use in WRSA and TMF reclamation covers. While largely deemed PAG, overburden geochemical behaviour is considered significantly different than that of waste rock where pore water acidity from soil material in particular is thought to be produced by organic substrate rather than sulphide oxidation.

The overarching objective of the ML/ARD monitoring program is to generate a high density of representative waste rock, ore, overburden, and tailings samples in order to enhance and validate the current (pre-mining) geochemical databases. An overview of the minimum sample frequency for the different material types is given in Table 5-1.

Table 5-1:
Sampling Frequency for the Different Material Types During Operational
Monitoring

Sample Type	Sampling Frequency
Waste Rock	1 sample per 50,000 t
Ore	1 sample per 100,000 t
Tailings/Supernatant	5 samples per quarter
Overburden	1 sample per 100,000 t (per material type)
Verification (WRSAs, TMF Embankments)	1 sample per 200,000 t for each facility
Verification (ROM ore stockpile)	1 sample per month
QA/QC (external laboratory)	1 duplicate per 10 samples

Notes:

WRSA: Waste Rock Stockpile Area; TMF: Tailings Management Facility; QA/QC: Quality Assurance/Quality Control.

5.1 Pre-Blast Mine Rock Monitoring

Waste rock and ore will be monitored by collecting grade control drill cuttings from within the mine pit with subsequent analysis at the onsite laboratory. If grade control sampling does not adequately cover waste rock domains within the open pit, blast hole sampling may need to be conducted. To allow for material handling flexibility, grade control sampling is preferable since the relevant geochemical data would be produced well before material movement occurs allowing for the integration into the geological block model and increased operational flexibility.

The overall sampling frequency for waste rock will be a minimum of one (1) sample per 50,000 tonnes of material blasted. This would result in approximately 2,370 samples over the life of mine. Materials falling within the projected ore zones will require a lower sampling frequency since ore will only be stored temporarily in a covered ROM stockpile and therefore the environmental impact during operations and in the long term will be significantly reduced compared with waste rock. The definition of ore and waste zones for this purpose will rely on the geological block modelling results.

Geochemical data obtained from the ML/ARD monitoring program will be incorporated in the mine plan ahead of mining. The evolving geo-environmental model will continuously be compared against the pre-mine understanding of PAG and NPAG mine rock distribution and adjustments to the material movement schedules will be made as necessary.

5.2 Overburden Monitoring

Soil and till materials will be monitored by collecting composite samples from test pits or active excavation areas as it is being excavated. One (1) composite soil and till sample is to be collected for every 100,000 t of each material type disturbed. This equates to approximately 6 samples of soil and 75 samples of till. The reduced sampling frequency with respect to waste rock is justified by the well-established geochemical character and the fact that no specific material management is considered for overburden. All samples should be analyzed for ABA parameters at the onsite laboratory. In total, a minimum of five (5) composite soil samples and 20 composite till samples should additionally be submitted to an external laboratory for a larger suite of geochemical analyses including SFE testing or similar. These results will help confirm the validity of geochemical source terms that are currently based on SFE result.

5.3 Tailings Monitoring

The tailings slurry will be sampled at a frequency of five (5) samples per quarter. This sampling frequency may be reduced after two years if the initial results show low variability. The slurry will be filtered and the tailings solids will be submitted for analysis at the onsite laboratory. Supernatant (process water) associated with the tailings slurry should undergo water analysis at the same frequency. Given the proposed 11 year mine life over which tailings production will occur, this sampling frequency will result in approximately 240 tailings solid and supernatant samples in total, assuming the sampling frequency is not reduced after two years.

5.4 Verification (Post-Deposition) Monitoring

A verification monitoring program will be initiated for selected facilities to confirm the geochemical character of deposited materials and the effectiveness of segregation ML/ARD management practices. This secondary monitoring program will also help validate assumptions made for geochemical source terms. Verification monitoring will apply to:

- WRSAs
- TMF Embankments

• ROM ore pile

The verification monitoring program samples will be collected *in situ* from the *versus* stockpiles and embankments. The program will be implemented at a sampling frequency of one (1) sample per 200,000 t for waste rock facilities (WRSA and TMF Embankments) and one (1) sample per month for the ROM ore stockpile. These samples will comprise relatively large (> 5 kg) composite samples collected along ~10-20 m long transects in the respective facilities to ensure that a lift or other target deposit zone is adequately captured. All TMF embankment samples will be submitted to an external laboratory for additional testing to assess the As content of this material.

5.5 QA/QC Sampling

In order to maintain quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC) of the monitoring data, duplicate samples will be collected and submitted to an accredited external laboratory. One in every 10 samples analyzed for a limited parameter suite by the onsite laboratory shall be submitted to an external laboratory for full ABA testwork and solid phase metals. These results will be compared to the onsite analyses to ensure that the results are in good agreement. Note that this QA/QC frequency will only apply to mine rock and tailings. Additional, external testing for overburden samples is specified in Section 5.2.

5.6 Analytical Techniques

Onsite testing is expected to include total S and total C by using a combustion furnace (LECO[®] or similar). An in-depth geochemical evaluation using the static test database available to date has demonstrated a strong positive correlation between the operational NPR and the ratio of total C and total S (Total C / Total S; Figure 5-1). This relationship shows that the NPR can be approximated via linear regression with an r^2 value of 0.92. This relationship is even stronger in the lower NPR range where the accuracy of the calculation method is the most critical. Effectively, the slope of the linear regression represents the factor by which [Total C / Total S] is multiplied to yield the predicted NPR value. On this basis, a statistical analysis was conducted juxtaposing measured and predicted ARD designations (*i.e.*, NPAG, PAG1, PAG2) in an effort to identify the regression slope with the most reliable outcome. It was found that the highest accuracy was achieved by the following relationship:

NPR = 1.85 * [Total C / Total S]

Using this calculation method yielded an ARD designation match of 92% and therefore 8% of samples were misclassified. Of these, the majority (5%) where misclassified conservatively, meaning that the predicted ARD designation represents a less favorable

class (PAG1 or PAG2) than measured. Conversely, only 3% of all samples were predicted to fall in a more favorable category than measured by geochemical testing. It is therefore concluded that routine utilization of total S and total C measurements constitutes a reliable method for the rapid onsite determination of mine rock ARD potential.

The QA/QC samples described in Section 5.5 should additionally undergo a more comprehensive analytical suite at an external laboratory comprising:

- Total S;
- Sulphate S by HCl digestion;
- Total Inorganic Carbon;
- Modified NP; and
- Solid phase elemental analysis.

All TMF embankment verification samples will be submitted to an external laboratory for the full suite of analyses. If the sample has high As (>100 ppm), SFE testing will additionally be conducted to provide an indication of the As leaching potential.

The external laboratory results will be used to validate the onsite measurements and NPR proxy calculation methods will be reviewed and improved continuously as needed.

Further, laboratory QA/QC procedures (e.g., analysis of standard reference materials and internal duplicates) will be conducted by the laboratory to ensure analytical precision and replicability.



Figure 5-1: Linear regression of measured operational NPR *versus* [Total C / Total S] for the development of environmental proxies

5.7 Seep Surveys

Along with the operational water quality monitoring program discussed under separate cover, a contact water seep survey should be carried out on a semi-regular basis. Monitoring seep water quality can provide an early indication of increasing metal leaching or acidification of porewater. Where possible, seep monitoring should occur as close to the contact zone (*e.g.*, toe of a stockpile) as possible to minimize dilution and attenuation effects in the target water source. The data produced will be valuable for the confirmation and refinement of geochemical source terms and help improve future water quality modelling iterations. Potential monitoring locations include:

- Toes of the WRSAs;
- Toe of the ROM ore stockpile;
- Toes of the till and organics (topsoil) stockpiles;
- TMF ditch systems

Seep water quality monitoring is expected to be more irregular than other contact water quality monitoring due to changes in site hydrology but should be conducted opportunistically and on a quarterly basis at a minimum. The analytical suite should mirror the suite of parameters of the regular water quality monitoring program.

6. Contingency Management

The ML/ARD management strategies and monitoring program described in the previous chapters are expected to effectively monitor and minimize the geochemical impacts of mine rock and tailings on mine-affected water quality. However, should operational monitoring indicate that PAG classification, segregation, and other geochemical conditions (*i.e.*, As leaching) are significantly different from the targeted outcomes, or that portions of the mine design cannot be effectively achieved, a number of contingency measures may be triggered to further mitigate potential ML/ARD risk of the Project. These are summarized in Table 6-1. Within each conditions described, the corresponding actions are sorted from more proactive to more reactive. Due to the proactive nature of the primary ML/ARD management strategies (Section 4), such corrective measures are not generally anticipated.

Condition	Contingency Measure
Higher than expected PAG1/PAG2 proportions	Adjustment of assay proxies
	Redefinition of block model using ML/ARD monitoring results
	Redesign of PAG1 storage facilities in TMF/ Adjustment of mine plan
	Re-evaluation of maximum PAG2 thickness within WRSAs
	Revisit source term assumptions and water quality models
Misplaced PAG1 material (WRSAs) or high-As NPAG rock (construction sites)	Delineation of misplaced rock zones via additional sampling
	Rehandling of misplaced material as necessary
	Quantification of effect on source term and water quality models
	Adjustment of water management and/or treatment capacities
Failure to submerge PAG rock and tailings within prescribed time frame in TMF	Increase water quality monitoring frequency at location of interest to assess pH stability
	Optimize tailings spigot placement to ensure unsaturated beaches are covered prior to onset of ARD
	Adjust water management/sourcing to accelerate flooding of PAG materials
	Add lime to tailings ponds or tailings discharge line to stabilize decreasing pH as necessary

Table 6-1:
Overview of Potential ML/ARD Contingency Measures

Notes:

NPAG: Non Potentially Acid Generating; PAG: Potentially Acid Generating; WRSA: Waste Rock Storage Areas; TMF: Tailings Management Facility.

7.1 Communication and Operational Protocols

The Environmental Manager or designate is responsible for the implementation of the ML/ARD Management Plan with support from Mine Engineering, Geology, and Mill personnel. Currently, the staffing and associated organizational structure for aspects relating to operational management have not been formalized. Once these components are finalized, more detail with respect to communication protocols will be added to this Plan.

7.2 Record Keeping and Tracking

Geochemical test results will be reviewed by the Environmental Manager or designate with support from a Qualified Person (QP) for geochemistry as needed. All onsite and external lab test results will be compiled into an electronic database. The Environmental Manager or designate is responsible to ensure the maintenance of the original records and databases. Parameters of concern will also be tracked graphically and reviewed periodically to identify geochemical trends.

Investigation and corrective action will be undertaken with the guidance from a QP if monitoring data indicate that observed geochemical characteristics are significantly different from the geochemical understanding obtained to date.

7.3 Reporting

Results of the ML/ARD monitoring program will be reviewed and summarized in external reports as needed. This is anticipated to include, at a minimum, an operational ML/ARD monitoring memorandum to support annual reporting. A discussion of the new sampling results along with the effectiveness of ML/ARD management should be included and any notable deviations from previous years should be discussed. Non-compliance as identified by verification ML/ARD monitoring as well as any required corrective actions is to be reported to the regulatory agencies immediately.

This document was prepared by Lorax Environmental Services Ltd. for the exclusive use of Signal Gold Inc. This initial plan has been developed to outline ML/ARD monitoring measures and management options that can be considered for the Goldboro Gold Project. Please contact the undersigned should you have any questions or comments or require additional information.

Sincerely, LORAX ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES LTD.

Prepared by:

got the

Jennifer Stevenson, M.Sc., P.Geo. (BC) Environmental Geoscientist



Timo Kirchner, M.Sc., P.Geo. (BC, NS, ON) Environmental Geoscientist

Reviewed by:

Ence Mattson

Bruce Mattson, M.Sc., P.Geo. (BC, NWT/NU) Senior Environmental Geoscientist

- GHD, 2022a. Conceptual Reclamation and Closure Plan Goldboro Gold Project. Prepared for Anaconda Mining Inc. in May 2022.
- GHD, 2022b. Water Balance Analysis Summary Report Goldboro Gold Project. Prepared for Anaconda Mining Inc. in April 2022.
- Lorax (2022). Goldboro Project: Geochemical Characterization & Source Terms. Prepared for Signal Gold Inc. in May 2022.
- Mine Environment Neutral Drainage Program (MEND), 2001. Prevention and Control. Volume 4. Manual 5.4.2d. G.A. Tremblay and C.M. Hogan (Eds.), CANMET.
- Nordmin (2022). NI 43-101 Technical Report & Feasibility Study Goldboro Gold Project, Eastern Goldfields District, Nova Scotia (Project # 20048-02). Prepared for Anaconda Mining Inc. in January 2022.
- Nova Scotia Environment and Climate Change (NSECC) (2021). Table 3 Nova Scotia Tier 1 Environmental Quality Standards (EQS) for Surface Water and Groundwater Discharging to Surface Water (μg/L). In Notification of Contamination Protocol. Revised September 30, 2021.
- Price, W.A. (2009). Prediction Manual of Drainage Chemistry from Sulphidic Geologic Materials. Canadian Mine Environment Neutral Drainage (MEND). Report 1.20.1.
- Rudnick, R.L. and S. Gao (2014). Composition of the Continental Crust. In: Holland, H. and Turekian, K. (eds). Treatise on Geochemistry 2nd Edition, Vol. 4, pp. 1-51. Oxford, UK, Elsevier Ltd.
- Sexsmith, K., MacGregor, D., Barnes, A. (2015) Comparison of actual and calculated lag times in humidity cell tests. In: Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Acid Rock Drainage and IMWA Annual Conference. April 21 – 24, 2015. Santiago, Chile.